```
IB1JKET1
                               Trial
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                             17 Cr. 00243 SHS
                 V.
     ANDREW OWIMRIN, a/k/a "Andrew Owens,"
5
      a/k/a "Jonathan Stewart," and
      SHAHRAM KETABCHI, a/k/a "Steve Ketabchi,"
6
 7
                     Defendants.
 8
                                              November 1, 2018
9
                                              9:15 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                           HON. SIDNEY H. STEIN,
12
                                              District Judge
                                                and a jury
13
                                APPEARANCES
14
      GEOFFREY S. BERMAN,
          United States Attorney for the
15
           Southern District of New York
     KIERSTEN ANN FLETCHER,
16
     ROBERT BENJAMIN SOBELMAN,
17
     BENET JEANNE KEARNEY,
          Assistant United States Attorneys
18
      SAM A. SCHMIDT,
     ABRAHAM JABIR ABEGAZ-HASSEN,
19
           Attorneys for defendant Owimrin
20
     KENNETH A. PAUL,
21
     JACOB MITCHELL,
           Attorneys for defendant Ketabchi
22
     Also Present:
23
           CHRISTOPHER BASTOS, Detective NYPD and HSI
           CHRISTINE LEE, Paralegal USAO
24
           SAMUEL TUREFF, Paralegal
25
```

(Trial resumes)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 (In open court; jury not present)

> THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. The jurors are here. The government has rested. Mr. Schmidt, is it your intention today to put on a case and to have Mr. Owimrin to testify?

MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, your Honor, but before Mr. Owimrin testifies, our paralegal is going to testify as to summary charts.

THE COURT: Have you shown them to the government?

MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, the government has them.

THE COURT: I want to talk to your client now about his testifying, Mr. Schmidt. I want to talk to your client now about his decision to testify.

Mr. Owimrin, if you would stand, sir.

There are certain decisions that in the course of the trial that are for the attorney to make, Mr. Schmidt to make; for example, what witnesses to call, if any, what questions to ask the government's witnesses, what questions to ask the defense witnesses if there is a defense case, what motions to make pretrial. Those decisions and others like them are really decisions for the lawyer to make.

There are certain important decisions, though, that are for you, the client, the defendant, to make. One of those important decisions that are for the client, not the lawyer to IB1JKET1 Trial

make is whether or not you, the client, the defendant in this case, wishes to testify on your own behalf. Do you understand that, sir?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: Yes.

THE COURT: So have you spoken with Mr. Schmidt about whether or not you're going to take the stand and testify here?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you had questions, did he answer any questions you had about that issue?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Don't tell me what his advice was. That's attorney-client, that is privileged, that is between you and Mr. Schmidt.

What I want to tell you is I want you to have listened to that advice. That doesn't mean you have to follow it, but he is your lawyer, his sole interest is you, so listen to him, but ultimately whether or not you are going to testify is your decision. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions of me about that issue?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: No, sir.

THE COURT: What is your decision, sir, do you wish to testify in your own defense or not?

And, by the way, if you don't testify, I am going to

IB1JKET1 Trial

instruct the jury that a defendant is under no obligation to put on any evidence because the burden of proof is always on the government, and if you do not testify, the jury cannot draw any inference from the fact that you've decided not to testify.

Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: I understand.

THE COURT: What is your decision, sir?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: I will testify today, sir.

THE COURT: You do want to testify?

DEFENDANT OWIMRIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Let's bring the jury in.

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, there is one additional issue before the jury comes in.

MS. FLETCHER: I notice AUSA Sobelman is no longer sitting at the table. We expect he will not be for remainder of the trial, which may prompt some curiosity or questions among the jurors. We request your Honor tell the jurors that he is attending to another matter and to not speculate and to move on.

THE COURT: If that is what you want. You want me to tell them he is not going to be here, he is working on another matter, and they can draw no inference from the fact he is not here?

MS. FLETCHER: Yes, that would be our request.

THE COURT: Fine. Defense, I take it you have no objection?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAUL: No. Judge, I know the jury is lined up, but there is an issue that has to be brought to your Honor's attention immediately.

First of all, I think as your Honor may or may not know, we put in a request for authorization of an expert. The problem is he is coming from the other side of this country, from Washington. The government is --

THE COURT: Washington State?

MR. PAUL: Correct. I wish it was Washington, D.C.

The government is unwilling to stipulate. It has to do with an IP address concerning Jane Thompson. The jury has been shown an email and follow up to that email that has Arash Ketabchi with positive faith, my client's email address.

What we wanted, because the government obviously objects to save everybody time and expense and everything, that IP address, if located, would not be located in California. That is what our expert is always going to be testifying to, so we asked for a stipulation from the government. They refuse. So, consequently, we are asking for an authorization for this expert to come in for that limited purpose.

THE COURT: That sounds a bit absurd. Let me see if I can understand it. I didn't think IP addresses are located anywhere physically. I thought they're kind of located up

there somewhere along with Instagram posts.

MR. PAUL: I am like you, your Honor. Maybe
Mr. Mitchell can explain just briefly.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, your Honor, an IP address, it shows where an internet connection is occurring. So it is similar, you can think of it almost as a zip code in a sense. It is going to give you a general location.

From an IP address, you can tell if someone is doing something from a particular computer, they might be doing anything from a particular computer. So in this case, the IP address would show that the contract that was sent to a victim was sent from the metropolitan area, not California where our client was located. So it is significant —

THE COURT: Wait just a minute. The computer on my desk --

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- that is the tower I am told that is under my desk, it has a specific IP number.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And presumably there is some record now that this IP address is being used. Is that right?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, your Honor, okay.

THE COURT: If I take this tower and bring it to California and plug it in there, the IP address will show as being used in California?

IB1JKET1 Trial

MR. MITCHELL: A different IP address, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: The same computer. 3 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Doesn't the IP address go with the 5 hardware? 6 MR. MITCHELL: The IP address goes with the router. 7 MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor --MR. MITCHELL: The internet connection. 8 9 THE COURT: The internet connection? 10 So you say the IP address on an email would show where 11 the internet connection is taking place. Is that the idea? 12 MR. MITCHELL: Right. The IP address would show where 13 that communication occurred from and it connects to a specific 14 geographic location. 15 THE COURT: All right. The government? MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, that may be the case, but 16 17 it is not always the case. So, for example, if I use my phone 18 and connect to the internet by using Dectective Bastos' hot spot, it will show one particular IP address. 19 20 By searching on a website called, "What is my IP address," you can determine the geographic location of that 21 22 particular internet location. However, to use a DOJ phone, for 23 example, if I connect to the internet using this phone, my 24 communications are actually routed through the DOJ server in

Washington. If you search the IP address for my particular

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phone, the geographic location that will come up for my IP address is actually in Washington, D.C.

THE COURT: So if you connect right now, if you connect through a hot spot, put aside the IP here in Manhattan, your IP address will show up as being located in Washington?

MS. FLETCHER: Yes.

THE COURT: Because you have --

MS. FLETCHER: On the Department of Justice phone, it routes through a non-New York IP address.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. FLETCHER: What Mr. Paul and Mr. Mitchell have asked us to do is to stipulate that IP address is located in New York. The government, to the extent they want to call a witness to testify to that fact, would like to cross-examine that witness to make clear, for example, that --

THE COURT: You have the right to cross-examine any witness, yes, I understand. You want to make clear, for example, what you said about your phone?

> MS. FLETCHER: Yes.

MR. MITCHELL: The expert would testify what Ms. Fletcher is referring to is a proxy, and you have to take additional steps to create a proxy, and there are tests and diagnostics to be run to determine whether or not a proxy was used, and we expect this expert to testify that all of the tests that he had run indicate a proxy was, in fact, not used,

25

meaning it was not rerouted. 1 2 THE COURT: I understand. 3 MS. FLETCHER: We would like to cross-examine their 4 expert. 5 THE COURT: Bring him in. MR. MITCHELL: We need to know whether or not we have 6 7 authorization to bring in this expert because I have to go call him right now and get him on a plane. 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. MITCHELL: Thank your Honor. 11 MS. FLETCHER: The government has, apart from what 12 Mr. Mitchell just informed us this morning --13 THE COURT: Wait. 14 MS. FLETCHER: -- has not received any notice of their 15 intention to call an expert. The government would -- this 16 issue first became aware to the government when Ms. Thompson 17 was cross-examined about the IP address on her particular 18 document. I suspect this issue was known to Mr. Paul and 19 Mr. Mitchell long before that. This is an untimely expert 20 The government is going to try to get our hands around 21 whatever materials Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Paul can provide to us. 22 THE COURT: Are you providing materials? 23 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, your Honor.

(212) 805-0300

MR. MITCHELL: Right now I can provide the CV of the

THE COURT: Now?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Trial

expert and I can also explain, it is fairly clear, a summary of what his testimony will be based on what we are discussing now, and I will have the expert send everything over, everything he is basing his opinions on.

THE COURT: Right now, this morning?

MR. MITCHELL: I will tell him right now, this morning to send it.

MS. FLETCHER: Presumably this witness will testify tomorrow?

MR. PAUL: Yes. He is flying in tonight if your Honor authorizes it?

THE COURT: When Mr. Owimrin gets off, I would like to give the jury, which I assume will be today, I would like to give the jury Friday afternoon off. Let's get him in here right now. Bring the jury in.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated in the courtroom.

All right, ladies and gentlemen, yesterday you saw the government rest. The issue now is whether Mr. Schmidt, on behalf of Mr. Owimrin, is going to put a defense case on. I told you the defense is under no obligation to prove anything because the burden is always on the government to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Mr. Schmidt, is there a defense case on behalf of Mr. Owimrin that you wish to present?

1 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Call your first witness.

3 MR. SCHMIDT: The first witness is Samuel Tureff.

SAMUEL TUREFF,

called as a witness by the Defendant Owimrin,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. Mr. Tureff, what do you do for a living?
- 10 A. I'm an independent paralegal consultant, typically within
- 11 | the Eastern and Southern District of New York.
- 12 | Q. How old are you?
- 13 | A. 28.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 14 | Q. What is your educational background?
- 15 | A. I have a BA in psychology from Wesleyan University.
- 16 | Q. How long have you been a paralegal?
- 17 A. Since I graduated college, about six and a half years.
- 18 | Q. As a paralegal, what are your duties generally?
- 19 A. For the most part, I assist the attorney in the matter at
- 20 | hand. I review discovery evidence, whether it is phone
- 21 records, medical records, bank records, financial records and
- 22 | put together review memos, I help assist in drafting legal
- 23 memorandum for the court, visit with the clients, whether they
- 24 | be incarcerated at the various prisons around New York or at
- 25 meetings such like that.

- 1 You're retained to be a paralegal --2 THE COURT: Well, at prisons only if the defendant is 3 incarcerated? 4 THE WITNESS: Of course, yes, or meetings. 5 THE COURT: Meetings outside? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 BY MR. SCHMIDT: 9 Were you retained as a paralegal in this matter? 10 Retained by the defense? Α. 11 0. Yes. 12 Α. No, I was not. 13 How did you end up working for the defense in this case? 0. 14 I was appointed as a paralegal expert pursuant to the CJA Α. qualifications, appointed by the court. 15 What does CJA mean? 16 0. 17 The Criminal Justice Act. 18 Does that mean that ultimately you're paid by the court? 19 Α. Yes. 20 THE COURT: When you say "paralegal expert," that is a 21 fancy way of saying you were appointed as a paralegal, right? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was going for the terms in the 23 materials I submit.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- 1 | Q. Now, in relation to your testimony here, what did you do?
 - A. I reviewed Mr. Owimrin's --
- 3 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, just so you're
- 4 aware, the Criminal Justice Act provides under certain
- 5 circumstances federal funds from the Criminal Justice Act,
- 6 provided from the Criminal Justice Act can be used to pay
- 7 | various attorneys and their assistants. That is what is
- 8 | happening here. Proceed.
- 9 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 10 | Q. Did you examine any particular records for the purpose of
- 11 | testifying here today?
- 12 A. Yes, I did. We had reviewed Mr. Owimrin's cell phone
- 13 records from January 2015 through March 2016, and those were
- 14 primarily the records I reviewed. .
- 15 \parallel Q. I am going to show you what is marked as SP-1.
- MR. SCHMIDT: May I approach.
- 17 Q. I want you to take a look at these records. What are those
- 18 | records?
- 19 A. These are the cell phone records I was looking through.
- 20 | They're for Mr. Owimrin's cell phone.
- 21 | Q. What period of time do they cover?
- 22 | A. They span from January 1st of 2015 to March 3rd of 2016.
- 23 | Q. Now, were there any other -- did you have access to any
- 24 other cell phone records beyond that period of time for
- 25 Mr. Owimrin?

25

1 Α. No, I did not. MR. SCHMIDT: I offer that in evidence as Defense 2 3 Exhibit SP-1. 4 MS. FLETCHER: No objection, your Honor. I understand 5 there is also a stipulation that covers these records. 6 THE COURT: No objection to the admission of SP-1. 7 (Defendant's Exhibit SP-1 received in evidence) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I meant to point out 8 9 to you simply that you may notice that Mr. Sobelman is no 10 longer here. Now he is working on another case and you should draw no inference from the fact he is not here. We now know he 11 12 is working on another case. Is there a stipulation? 13 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, there is, your Honor. 14 (Off-the-record discussion) 15 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, the stipulation reads: It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between 16 17 Andrew Owimrin, by and through his attorney, Sam A. Schmidt and Abraham J. Abegaz-Hassen, Esquire, and United States of 18 American by Geoffrey S. Berman, United States Attorney, 19 20 Kiersten A. Fletcher, Benet J. Kearney and Robert Sobelman, 21 United States Attorney's, and Shahram Ketabchi, by and through 22 his attorneys, Kenneth A. Paul and David Mitchell, Esquire, 23 that:

One, if called as a witness at trial, a custodian of records from Sprint would testify that Defense Exhibit SP-1,

IB1JKET1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tureff - direct

including all parts and subdivisions thereof, contains true and correct copies of records obtained from Sprint regarding the account opened and maintained at Sprint bearing telephone numbers 201-838-7774, and from January 1, 2015 through March 3, 2016, the original records while made at or near the time by or from the information transmitted by a person with knowledge of the matters set forth in the records, that they were kept in the ordinary course of Sprint's regularly conducted business activity, that it was a regular practice of that business activity to make such records.

The custodian of records from Sprint would further testify that the Defense SP-1 only records of voice calls are included, records of text messages sent to or from 7774 are not included. The column date and "at" reflects the month and date on which the call was placed or received. The columns time and "at" reflect the time at which the calls were placed or received.

The columns phone number and to and from reflect D. the number of the party to the call that is not the 7774 number. If the call is an outgoing call, to and from column reflects the number that received the calm from the 7774 If the call is an incoming call, the phone number the to and from column reflects the number placed the call to the 7774 number. The columns destination and call destination reflect outgoing calls, the city and state associated with the

Tureff - direct

number called by the 7774 number. The column minutes used and min, M I N, reflected duration of the call rounded up to the nearest minute.

It is further stipulated and agreed that this stipulation may be received in evidence at a trial of the above-referenced matter, dated November 1, and signed by the attorneys for all parties, and I offer that stipulation in evidence as SP-5.

THE COURT: SP-5 admitted.

MR. SCHMIDT: Excuse me, your Honor, SP-6.

THE COURT: SP-6 admitted without objection.

(Defendant's Exhibit SP-6 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Proceed. Next question.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- Q. Now, in the examination of all of the telephone records in SP-1, what was the purpose of the examination of all of the telephone records in SP-1?
- A. There were two purposes for which I examined the records.

The first was to review the phone numbers associated with sales that Mr. Owimrin made while at Olive Branch and to compare those telephone numbers to his records to see if there are any matches; and the second, I reviewed telephone records pertaining to his calls with a Brooke Marcus and Jane Thompson, I reviewed them, if there are any matches between his records and that phone number.

- 1 Q. Do you know another name used by Brooke Marcus?
- 2 A. Yes, Emily Miller.
- 3 | Q. Now, when you reviewed the records for the time that
- 4 Mr. Owimrin was working at Olive Branch, did you review the
- 5 | whole period of time they worked there or only the period of
- 6 | time that the records that you had reflected?
- 7 A. Right, so we, the records we had reflect only part of his
- 8 | time at Olive Branch spanning from the beginning of January
- 9 | 2015 when our phone records begin until the end of September
- 10 | 2015 when he no longer worked there.
- 11 Q. How long did you obtain the telephone numbers of the people
- 12 | who Andrew Owimrin sold to?
- 13 A. There were a couple of places where I found these phone
- 14 | numbers. Primarily they were within the Google calendar
- 15 documents that we received. Their phone numbers were
- 16 associated, listed in with the calendar appointments. The
- 17 | remaining phone numbers that I could not find on the calendars
- 18 | I found primarily in the WEE lists that were also provided on
- 19 | the WEE lists or in discovery. I apologize.
- 20 | Q. Did you search many other documents to assure yourself that
- 21 | you had at least a fair --
- 22 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 24 | Q. Do you know whether you were able to locate all of the
- 25 | sales made by Mr. Owimrin during that period of time?

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- 1 Α. No, I do not.
- Are you confident that you obtained a substantial or most 2 Q. 3 of those calls --
 - MS. FLETCHER: Objection.
- 5 Q. -- of those sales?
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
 - Q. How did you actually physically obtain that information from the documents that you saw?
- 10 To compile Mr. Owimrin's sales, there were a couple of 11 charts, sales charts within the discovery, primarily found on 12 the Olive Branch marketing one at Gmail dot com account. 13 were Excel charts attached to emails.
 - There is a shared reporting chart. There was a Youngevity sales chart and I also found sales through coded sales forms as well as the calendar entries.
 - Q. Did you actually physically search through all of these documents or did you use any technology to help you?
 - I used the primarily the search and find function on Excel a lot of times as well as -- that is the main technology I used to help find and isolate his sales.
- 22 Q. The search technology for Excel would only find documents 23 in Excel?
- 24 Α. In that document that I pulled up, yes.
 - What about other documents?

1	A. I searched our database with that provided all the
2	discovery evidence as well as the other discovery materials
3	with a similar search and find function.
4	Q. Were you aware of what the database included?
5	MS. FLETCHER: Objection, your Honor.
6	MR. SCHMIDT: I'll rephrase the question.
7	BY MR. SCHMIDT:
8	Q. Do you know from what devices the data, in the database
9	came from?
10	A. Yes, there were
11	MS. FLETCHER: Objection, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: If he knows.
13	MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, may we be heard at sidebar?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	(Continued on next page)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(At sidebar) 1 2 THE COURT: The question is from what devices the 3 database came. 4 MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, my concern is that this 5 line of questioning is going to make it appear to the jury there is a bunch of evidence they haven't seen. What I think 6 7 Mr. Schmidt is doing is trying to establish he conducted a diligent search for phone numbers. The government won't 8 9 challenge that. We ask he not create the impression there is a 10 whole bunch of stuff the jury is not being shown. MR. SCHMIDT: How about I use the word search through 11 12 all the material received on this case from the government? 13 MS. FLETCHER: Sure. 14 THE COURT: Let's go. 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

- 1 (In open court)
- BY MR. SCHMIDT: 2
- 3 Q. Mr. Tureff, would it be fair to say that your examination
- included all of the material and documents provided by the 4
- 5 government in discovery?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 Q. Did you prepare a chart of the telephone numbers and names
- 8 of the people that you found to be purchasers from Andrew
- 9 Owimrin during the time-frame?
- A. Yes, I did. 10
- 11 I ask you to take a look at SP-5. There are how many pages
- 12 in SP-5?
- 13 THE COURT: Purchases from Andrew Owimrin based on his
- phone records. Is that right? 14
- 15 THE WITNESS: Purchases, your Honor?
- 16 THE COURT: The sales. I am sorry. Sales of Andrew
- 17 Owimrin based on his phone records, is that what we're talking
- about? 18
- 19 THE WITNESS: This is a comparison of Andrew Owimrin's
- 20 phone records --
- 21 THE COURT: No. I am sorry. The question was --
- 22 MR. SCHMIDT: Let me back up a second, your Honor.
- 23 I'll make it clearer.
- 24 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 25 After you found the names of the people that Andrew Owimrin

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sold to, how did you obtain the telephone numbers of those people?

MS. FLETCHER: Objection to foundation.

THE COURT: How did you find the names of the people that Andrew Owimrin sold to?

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, apologies, but objection to foundation on your Honor's question as well.

MR. SCHMIDT: That testimony is already in evidence.

THE COURT: Your objection to my question is overruled.

MS. FLETCHER: Expectedly, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's go. Sir?

THE WITNESS: To answer your question, I reviewed sales documents within the discovery. There were a number of larger sales documents for certain time periods as well as weekly sales reports and as well calendar entries that I use.

THE COURT: That you just testified to?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Proceed.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

Q. How did you obtain the telephone numbers of the purchasers?

A. As I said, I mostly found those phone numbers within the calendar entries that related to this appointment for that sale. I also found the couple of phone numbers I couldn't find

in the calendar entries were located on lead lists also in the

- 1 discovery.
- 2 | Q. Lead lists of the same names?
- 3 A. Yes, sorry, lead lists associated with that customer
- 4 itself.
- Q. Were you able to obtain the telephone numbers of everybody
- 6 single person that you had on that list?
- 7 A. I believe I did, yes.
- 8 Q. When you prepared the document SP-5 that shows the
- 9 | telephone number, the name and the result of your review --
- 10 MS. FLETCHER: Objection to form.
- 11 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 13 | Q. I am going to give you what is marked SP-5 so you
- 14 physically have it in front of you. What did you do with the
- 15 | result of your searches?
- 16 A. I drafted a summary chart.
- 17 | Q. What does the summary chart include?
- 18 A. The summary chart includes the date of the sale, the
- 19 | telephone number or on occasion plural numbers that I found
- 20 associated with those customers as well as the result of
- 21 comparing those telephone numbers to Mr. Owimrin's cell phone
- 22 records.
- 23 Q. Now, did you also include a date relating to each of the
- 24 sales?
- 25 A. Yes, the date of the sales, the first column on the left.

- How did you find or locate the date of the sales? 1
- 2 Those again were listed on service agreements on the Α.
- 3 calendar entries, and those were the two sources as well as,
- sorry, as well as the sales spreadsheets. 4
- 5 Q. Did SP-5 correctly and accurately reflect the result of
- 6 vour searches?
- 7 A. Yes, it does.
- MR. SCHMIDT: I offer SP-5 into evidence. 8
- 9 MS. FLETCHER: May I have a moment, your Honor?
- 10 THE COURT: Yes.
- 11 (Off-the-record discussion).
- 12 MS. FLETCHER: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Admitted without objection, SP-5.
- 14 (Defendant's Exhibit SP-5 received in evidence)
- MR. SCHMIDT: Would you publish SP-5. 15
- 16 THE COURT: Next question.
- 17 MR. SCHMIDT: Can we just publish it.
- 18 THE COURT: Next question.
- BY MR. SCHMIDT: 19
- 20 Q. Now, were there any merchants of Mr. Owimrin having
- 21 telephone contact with the names on the list on his cell phone?
- 22 A. Of the, I believe, 87 customers that matches I reviewed for
- 23 the time period, I believe there was one customer, yes, where
- 24 Mr. Owimrin had contact with on his telephone.
- 25 Who was that customer?

25

Diane Weissenberger. 1 Α. The contact that Mr. Owimrin had with Ms. Weissenberger, 2 Q. 3 did it match the date of the sale that he made while at Olive 4 Branch? 5 MS. FLETCHER: Objection to foundation. THE COURT: I will allow that. 6 7 MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, it assumes facts not in 8 evidence. 9 THE COURT: He has testified --10 MR. SCHMIDT: It is in evidence. THE COURT: -- he testified of his view of when he was 11 at Olive Branch. You may answer. Restate the question so the 12 13 witness has it. 14 MR. SCHMIDT: I forgot the question. THE COURT: Did the contact that Mr. Owimrin had on 15 16 his cell phone with Ms. Weissenberger reflected in your chart 17 match the date of sale of DOS that he made while at Olive Branch? 18 19 THE WITNESS: No. The phone calls occurred after, 20 after the sale. 21 THE COURT: The sales were in the contract. 22 What is the date of sale, when the contract was 23 signed, if you know?

THE WITNESS: The date of sale I believe for Ms. Weissenberger was the date entered for the sale on the

- 1 Youngevity sales chart when the sale was completed.
- THE COURT: One contact you found was on Owimrin's
- 3 cell phone was after the sale?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
- 5 THE COURT: Next.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, it did not match the sales.
- 8 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 9 | Q. You indicated on the chart the actual date of the contact?
- 10 | A. I did.
- 11 Q. Now, what was the purpose of -- now, did you make charts
- 12 related to the second purpose of your examination?
- 13 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Where did you obtain the telephone number or telephone
- 15 | numbers of Brooke Marcus?
- 16 A. They were located within Jane Thompson's 3500 material, a
- 17 screen-shot of her contact, of Emily Miller in her contacts and
- 18 | the two associated cell phones.
- 19 Q. You said Emily Miller.
- Is that because you, in your review of the records,
- 21 | you believe Emily Miller and Brooke Marcus are one and the same
- 22 people?
- 23 | A. Yes. Emily Miller is the name Brooke Marcus used.
- 24 | Q. Now, in the examination of -- was that time-frame more
- 25 | limited?

IB1JKET1

Tureff - direct

1 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

2 \mathbb{Q} . Than the --

THE COURT: The time-frame of that search?

THE WITNESS: I would have to see my chart to provide the specific dates, but I believe it ranged from the end of December 2015 through the beginning of March 2016.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

Q. I am going to show you what is marked as SP-2.

Is that a chart that you made as a result of your search efforts?

- A. Yes, SP-2 is the contact between Brooke Marcus' cell phone we have on your records and Mr. Owimrin's cell phone.
- Q. Is there more than one cell phone that you were aware that

 Brooke Marcus had communications with?
- A. Yes, I was aware of two cell phones.
- Q. How did you do the searches to make a determination of the communications?
 - A. Again so in Andrew Owimrin's cell phone records I did a search and did find function for those two telephone numbers.
 - Q. Page 1 and Page 2 the result of you those searches?
- A. SP-2 and SP-3, yes, those are the results of my searches with the two cell phone records associated with Brooke Marcus.
- Q. What does the information of those documents -- what information is supplied in those documents?
- 25 A. It is the date of a cell phone record, date of a call

Tureff - direct

- between Ms. Marcus and Mr. Owimrin, the time the call occurred,
 whether the call came in, meaning it went from Brooke Marcus to
 Andrew Owimrin or out from Mr. Owimrin to Ms. Marcus as well as
- Q. When you say from and to Mr. Owimrin or Ms. Marcus, you mean from their telephones, not necessarily, you know, they actually had a conversation?
- 8 A. Correct, correct, to and from those associated phone numbers.
- 10 Q. SP-4 is a separate chart. What does that chart show?
- 11 \blacksquare A. SP-4 is a comparison between the phone records for
- 12 Mr. Owimrin and Jane Thompson.

the duration in minutes.

- Q. When you say the "phone records," you mean all of the phone records that he made, all the phones he used or a particular
- 15 | phone?
- 16 A. The phone records that we have in discovery for
- 17 Mr. Owimrin's cell phone ending in 7774.
- 18 Q. Did you have access to any other telephones that
- 19 Mr. Owimrin did or did not use?
- 20 | A. No. These are the records I had where did you get
- 21 Ms. Thompson's telephone number, Ms. Thompson's telephone
- 22 | number again I found in her 3500 material.
- 23 | Q. Did you locate more than one cell phone for Ms. Thompson?
- 24 A. I cannot say whether the other phone number was a cell
- 25 phone. I did find another phone number associated with Ms.

- 1 | Thompson in her notes.
- 2 Q. Did you do the same kind of search in these Sprint records
- 3 | for the numbers you had for Ms. Thompson?
- 4 | A. I did.
- 5 Q. Does SP-4 accurately show the results of that search?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 MR. SCHMIDT: I offer SP-2, 3 and 4 into evidence.
- 8 MS. FLETCHER: No objection.
- 9 | THE COURT: Admitted.
- 10 (Defendant's Exhibits SP-2, SP-3 and SP-4 received in evidence)
- 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Would you show the jury.
- 13 (Pause)
- 14 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. That one is of Brooke Marcus' phone ending in 3998. May we
- 16 have the next one?
- 17 THE COURT: Speak into the Mike, please.
- 18 Q. May we have the next one, please. (Pause)
- 19 | A. Jane Thompson's telephone number that there were calls and
- 20 | I quess there is one other page. (Pause) Mr. Owimrin and Ms.
- 21 | Marcus in phone number ending in 9446.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 | Q. Let's go back a second for the Diane Weissenberger.
- 24 What was the date that you found that she made the
- agreement to purchase products from Andrew Owimrin while he was

1 at Olive Branch?

2

- A. The date I have is September 17th, 2015.
- 3 MR. SCHMIDT: I have no further questions.
- 4 THE COURT: Is there any cross-examination?
- 5 MS. FLETCHER: There is, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Paul, do you have any questions?
- 7 MR. PAUL: I do not, your Honor. Thank you.
- 8 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MS. FLETCHER:
- 10 Q. Good morning, Mr. Tureff.
- 11 A. Good morning.
- 12 Q. Ms. Lee, can we please pull up what is now in evidence as
- 13 Defense Exhibit SP-5.
- 14 Mr. Tureff, you testified on direct that you only
- 15 | included on SP-5 the sales made while Mr. Owimrin was working
- 16 | for Olive Branch. Is that correct?
- 17 \parallel A. That is not entirely correct. The SP-5 is the sales that
- 18 Mr. Owimrin made at Olive Branch that fit the time range that
- 19 we have for his phone records.
- 20 | Q. So you did not include any sales that Mr. Owimrin made
- 21 after September 29th of 2015?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. Why did you not include any sales after September 29, 2015?
- 24 A. Those were the records I was asked to review as pertaining
- 25 | his sales at Olive Branch.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

16

18

Tureff - cross

THE COURT: In other words, you did what you were told, and you weren't told to add any sales for any other time Is that correct? period.

THE WITNESS: Yes, that was the task.

MS. FLETCHER: Ms. Lee, can you please go to the last page of SP-5 and blow up 9-17-15 entry for Diane Weissenberger. BY MS. FLETCHER:

- Q. Mr. Tureff, you said that, you testified on direct the sale you understood Mr. Owimrin made to Ms. Weissenberger was on September 17th of 2015. Is that correct?
- 11 I believe so. That was the date listed on the sales chart.
- 12 When you looked at Mr. Owimrin's cell phone records, you 13 didn't see any calls from his cell phone to Ms. Weissenberger

on that date?

- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. But you did see, it looks like, 9 calls that Mr. Owimrin 17 made to Ms. Weissenberger on the dates listed in the right-hand column of your chart. Is that right?
- There were 9 phone calls, I believe they included both 19 20 ingoing and outgoing calls, so they were not necessarily 9 21 calls made by Mr. Owimrin.
- 22 Q. 9 calls between Mr. Owimrin and Ms. Weissenberger on the 23 dates reflected in the far-right column of your chart at SP-5?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Is that right? Q.

IB1JKET1 Tureff - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. One of those dates is October 7th of 2015?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Correct? Ms. Lee, can we please pull up what is in
- 5 | evidence as government exhibit -- I believe it is 503. Do you
- 6 see that on your screen, Mr. Tureff?
- 7 | A. I do.
- 8 Q. This is one of the appointments that you testified about,
- 9 | right, the appointments in Mr. Owimrin's calendar?
- 10 A. This is an appointment. I have no way of seeing whether
- 11 | this was in Mr. Owimrin's calendar or not.
- 12 Q. Fair enough.
- Taking a look at Government Exhibit 503, do you see
- 14 | where it says upsell Diane Weissenberger?
- 15 | A. I do.
- 16 | Q. And the date of that appointment is September 25, 2015?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. That appointment indicates Ms. Weissenberger had signed a
- 19 | COS?
- 20 A. I see that note, yes.
- 21 | Q. And based on your review of the discovery in this case, you
- 22 | know a COS means a Continuation of Services Agreement?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Is that fair?
- 25 And individuals at Olive Branch, customers of Olive

Tureff - cross

- Branch were asked to sign Continuation of Services Agreements 1 when they had initiated charge-backs? 2
- 3 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Yes, sustained.
- 5 BY MS. FLETCHER:
- The date of this appointment is 9-25-2015? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.

9

- Is that correct? Okay. Ms. Lee, can we please pull up 8 what is in evidence as Government Exhibit 1103. If we can blow
- 10 up just the top half of that.
- 11 Do you see that on your screen, Mr. Tureff?
- 12 Α. I do.
- 13 This is a Product and Services Agreement with Al Business
- 14 Consultants?
- A. Yes. 15
- Q. And it is an agreement between Al Business Consultants and 16
- 17 Diane Weissenberger?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Do you see that just to the right of where it says Diane
- 20 Weissenberger, there are initials that say DW?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. It says 10/7/15?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 That is October 7th of 2015, correct? Ο.
- 25 Α. Yes.

Tureff - cross

- If we please can go to the last page of that exhibit, I 1
- believe it is Page 3, this is the signature page of the Product 2
- 3 and Services Agreement, correct?
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Signed by Diane Weissenberger?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Dated October 7th, 2015? Q.
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Ο. And she authorizes two credit card charges on that day --
- MR. SCHMIDT: I am objecting. This is far beyond the 10
- 11 scope.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 BY MS. FLETCHER:
- 14 Q. Is it fair to say Ms. Weissenberger made additional
- purchases on October 7th of 2015? 15
- MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor. 16
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- BY MS. FLETCHER: 18
- Can you please go back to SP-5. The data of this in SP-5, 19
- 20 Mr. Tureff, is culled from the 7774 phone records, right?
- 21 Α. The date?
- 22 Q. The data?
- 23 Α. The data? This chart is --
- 24 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: No. I will allow that.

Tureff - cross

- A. -- which section of data is pulled from -- I don't understand your question. I am sorry.
 - Q. The dates and the phone numbers -- let me make sure I understand exactly what you did.
 - A. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Once you reviewed the appointment calendars and the sales sheets and got an idea of the sales made by Mr. Owimrin, do I understand that what you did was you looked for the phone numbers of those particular victims in --

MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.

- Q. -- in Mr. Owimrin's phone records?
- 12 | THE COURT: No. I will allow that.
 - A. Yes, I tried to match up the phone number to see whether the phone numbers for the customers of those sales appeared in Mr. Owimrin's cell phone records.
 - Q. And to be clear, you only reviewed his cell phone records?

 You didn't review, for example, the landline records

 from Olive Branch?
- 19 A. Correct.
 - Q. You reviewed only voice records? You didn't review whether he had any text message communications with these customers?
 - A. I reviewed the records we were provided from Sprint.
 - THE COURT: Did you review the records of the cell phones of other employees?
- 25 THE WITNESS: No. I reviewed --

IB1JKET1 Tureff - cross

1 THE COURT: Next question. I am sorry. You reviewed 2

7774?

3

4

5

6

7

14

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's it?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

BY MS. FLETCHER:

- Q. You didn't review any communications that Mr. Owimrin may 8
- 9 have had on his cell phone after September 29th of 2015?
- 10 For this particular exhibit, no. Α.
- 11 Would you please please pull up Page 104 of what is now in
- 12 evidence as Defense Exhibit SP-1.
- 13 A. What was that page?
 - THE COURT: She is just putting up an exhibit.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. 15
- 16 Mr. Tureff, I am hoping you'll be able to see Page 1 of 4
- 17 on your screen.
- 18 (Pause) If we could blow it up. Α.
- 19 Ms. Lee, can you please blow up the bottom half of that,
- 20 the calls on October 14th. Mr. Tureff, do you see that on your
- 21 screen?
- 22 Α. I do.
- 23 Do you see the call on October 14th at 5:00 pm to an area
- 24 code 352 in Wildwood, Florida?
- 25 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, I object. This is beyond

Tureff - cross

- the scope of this witness' testimony. The testimony was the 1 telephone calls while Mr. Owimrin was at Olive Branch, period. 2
- 3 THE COURT: Overruled.
- I see that entry. 4 Α.
- BY MS. FLETCHER: 5
- 6 Do you see the area code 352? 0.
- 7 Α. I do.
- That is Charlene Foster's phone number, isn't it? 8
- 9 I don't know that answer. Α.
- 10 Take a look at the next page. Page 105, the very bottom of Q. 11 the page, October 16th, several calls on October 16th.
- 12 Do you see the third one from the bottom, area code
- 13 352 in Wildwood, Florida?
- 14 Α. I see that entry.
- 15 Q. Those are Charlene Foster's phone records?
- MR. SCHMIDT: Objection again. 16
- 17 THE COURT: I don't know that he would know that.
- 18 Do you know that?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know who that phone number is
- 20 associated with.
- 21 BY MS. FLETCHER:
- 22 Q. So in preparing Government Exhibit SP-5, you looked at
- 23 sales --
- 24 THE COURT: No, no. Defense exhibit.
- 25 MS. FLETCHER: Sorry, your Honor.

IB1JKET1

Tureff - cross

- Q. Defense Exhibit SP-5, in preparing Defense Exhibit SP-5, you looked at sales sheets from Olive Branch Marketing?
- 3 | A. I did.
- 4 Q. Is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. In those sales sheets, you didn't see Charlene Foster's phone number?
- 8 A. No, I don't believe so. I wasn't attempting to find it.
- 9 It wasn't one of the sales -- wasn't one of the customers for
- 10 most of the sales I found I was searching, attempting to locate
- 11 | the telephone.
- 12 Q. Ms. Lee, please pull up Page 120 of that same exhibit, the
- 13 calls on November 12th.
- Now, we'll look at the exhibits you created with
- respect to the calls of Brooke Marcus. You were asked to
- 16 collect phone calls between Andrew Owimrin and Brooke Marcus on
- 17 Andrew Owimrin's cell phone. Isn't that right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Take a look at the entry three lines from the bottom on
- 20 government exhibit -- sorry -- Defense Exhibit SP-1, Page 120,
- 21 do you see that entry there, 3:57 pm on November 12th?
- 22 | A. I do.
- 23 | Q. And that phone number beginning in 949?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. That is Shahram Ketabchi's phone number?

- 1 A. I don't know that.
- 2 Q. You weren't looking to collect phone calls from Shahram
- 3 Ketabchi and Andrew Owimrin for the purposes of preparing your
- 4 exhibit?

- 5 A. I was not. That was not the scope of the search.
- Q. Can we please pull up what is now in evidence as Government
 Exhibit 165, Page 8.
 - MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, again this is beyond the scope.
- 10 | THE COURT: I think so, but I want to hear a question.
- 11 | I shouldn't say, "I think so." I want to hear a question.
- 12 BY MS. FLETCHER:
- 13 Q. Can you see that on your screen, Mr. Tureff?
- 14 A. I see that entry, yes.
- 15 | Q. This is Jane Thompson's notebook, right?
- 16 THE COURT: Do you know that, sir?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.
- 18 | THE COURT: Fine.
- 19 BY MS. FLETCHER:
- 20 | Q. You testified on direct that you reviewed certain entries
- 21 | in Jane Thompson's notebook for the purposes of conducting your
- 22 | analysis?
- 23 A. I found her cell phone records, I found her cell phone
- 24 | number amongst the 3500 material.
- 25 | Q. That included review of Government Exhibit 165, Jane

Tureff - cross

- 1 | Thompson's notebook?
- 2 A. I didn't particularly look through Government Exhibit 165
- 3 | closely. I found her cell phone number in her 3500 material.
- 4 | Q. Taking a look at that particular page, do you see the two
- 5 | phone numbers?
- 6 | A. I do.
- Q. What is the first phone number there listed next to the
- 8 name Jonathan Stewart?
- 9 A. Do you want me to read you the telephone number?
- 10 201-448-9788.
- 11 | Q. Are you familiar with that phone number?
- 12 A. Not particularly.
- 13 | Q. You didn't include any calls to or from that phone number
- 14 | in conducting your analysis of what calls there were between
- 15 | Andrew Owimrin and Jane Thompson. Is that right?
- 16 A. I compared Ms. Thompson's phone number which I found in the
- materials to Andrew Owimrin's cell phone, 7774 number for which
- 18 we have records.
- 19 | Q. So your summary charts would not reflect, for example,
- 20 | calls between Ms. Thompson and this phone number, 201-448-9788?
- 21 A. I do not have the records for either of those phone
- 22 numbers.
- 23 | THE COURT: The answer to the question is that's
- 24 correct, your search did not include those?
- 25 | THE WITNESS: Correct, I did not search for trying to

Tureff - cross

- find a link between those two phone numbers because I don't
- 2 have the records to do so.
- 3 BY MS. FLETCHER:
- 4 | Q. If we can pull up what is now in evidence as Defense
- 5 Exhibit SP-2 and SP-3. If we can put those side-by-side, Ms.
- 6 Lee. Do you have those documents in front of you, Mr. Tureff?
- 7 | A. I do.
- 8 \mathbb{Q} . SP-2 and SP-3?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. The date range on those two documents combined is December
- 11 28, 2015 through March 1st of 2016. Is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I understand you don't have records after March 1st of
- 14 | 2016. Were there any phone calls between Brooke Marcus and
- 15 | Andrew Owimrin's cell phone before December 28th of 2015?
- 16 | A. I did not find any between that cell phone for Ms. Marcus,
- 17 | either cell phone for Ms. Marcus and the 7774 number in the
- 18 rest of 2015 that was included in Mr. Owimrin's cell phone
- 19 records.
- 20 | Q. How about text messages?
- 21 A. I believe those records that I have only include voice
- 22 calls.
- 23 Q. How about phone calls between one of Brooke Marcus' two
- 24 cell phones and any other number used by Andrew Owimrin?
- 25 A. Again the records that we have are for Andrew Owimrin's

```
IB1JKET1
                                 Tureff - cross
      cell phone, the number ending in 7774.
 1
 2
      Q. Can we pull up what is now in evidence as Defense Exhibit
 3
      SP-4.
 4
                (Continued on next page)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 \parallel Q. Do you see that up on the screen?
- 2 | A. I do.
- 3 | Q. These are the calls, you testified on direct, between Mr.
- 4 Owimrin's 7774 number and the phone number that you had for
- 5 Ms. Jane Thompson, is that right?
- A. For one of the two phone numbers I had for Ms. Thompson,
- 7 yes.
- 8 Q. You testified that the other Jane Thompson phone number did
- 9 | not have any calls with this cell phone number, right?
- 10 A. To Mr. Owimrin's cell phone number, correct.
- 11 Q. Understood.
- 12 Now, this chart doesn't include any calls that Ms.
- 13 | Thompson may have had with Mr. Owimrin on any other phone
- 14 | number, is that correct?
- 15 A. This chart includes the records for Mr. Owimrin at 7774 and
- 16 Ms. Thompson at 2059, the number I found.
- 17 | THE COURT: I gather, although I don't want to put
- 18 words in your mouth, the answer to Ms. Fletcher's question is
- 19 yes.
- 20 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, the exhibit actually speaks
- 21 | for itself. The question is somewhat redundant.
- 22 | THE COURT: Can you answer my question or have you
- 23 | lost --
- 24 THE WITNESS: Can she repeat the question?
- 25 THE COURT: Sure.

Tureff - Cross

- 1 Q. I have lost the thread of it.
- I think what I asked, Mr. Tureff, is this exhibit
- 3 doesn't include any phone calls that Ms. Thompson may have made
- 4 | to any other phone number that Andrew Owimrin used, including
- 5 | the one in her notebook?
- 6 A. Correct.
 - Q. It doesn't include text messages?
- 8 A. Yes.

7

- 9 Q. If Jane Thompson --
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 THE COURT: None of the records you have looked at
- 12 | included texts?
- 13 | THE WITNESS: Correct. The cell phone records I have
- 14 | for Mr. Owimrin only includes calls.
- 15 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, that is indicated in the
- 16 stipulation as well.
- 17 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 18 Next question.
- 19 Q. Mr. Tureff, if Andrew Owimrin was on the phone with Brooke
- 20 | Marcus and she patched in Jane Thompson, she meaning Brooke
- 21 Marcus initiated a three-way call to include Jane Thompson in
- 22 | the call, that wouldn't be reflected on Andrew Owimrin's phone
- 23 | records, would it?

- 24 A. I cannot say yes or no to that. I don't know that answer.
 - Q. Did you see any indication on Andrew Owimrin's phone

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- 1 records that he was participating in three-way calls with Jane 2 Thompson?
 - I don't believe there was a designation for a three-way call in the records.
 - THE COURT: Based on the records and that's all you have to go on.
 - THE WITNESS: I have no way of knowing. As far as I'm aware, I have no way of knowing.
 - Q. Similar question. If Jane Thompson was on the phone with Brooke Marcus and Brooke Marcus used one of her two cell phones to patch in Andrew Owimrin on his cell phone, that wouldn't be reflected on Andrew Owimrin's records as a call between Andrew Owimrin and Jane Thompson, would it?
 - I don't know the answer to that. Α.
 - Wouldn't it be reflected as a call between Brooke Marcus 0. and Andrew Owimrin if Ms. Marcus is the one who patched in Mr. Owimrin to speak to Jane Thompson?
 - MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained. He is not a telephone expert. 20 He is a paralegal.
- MS. FLETCHER: May I have a moment, your Honor? THE COURT: He did what he was told, and didn't do 23 what he wasn't told.
- 24 MS. FLETCHER: No further questions, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Anything?

Tureff - Redirect

1 MR. SCHMIDT: Very briefly. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. SCHMIDT: 3 Q. Mr. Tureff, did you have access working this case to every 4 5 bit of discovery that was received by the defense from the 6 government? 7 I believe so. Q. Was your search -- withdrawn. 8 9 Now, do you recall the testimony of Mr. Sinclair that 10 salespeople may have used cell phones to make sales calls? 11 MS. FLETCHER: Objection. Beyond the scope of cross. 12 MR. SCHMIDT: It certainly explains --13 MS. FLETCHER: And direct. 14 THE COURT: Just a moment. 15 MS. FLETCHER: Also 801. MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, if you want me to lay a 16 17 better foundation I can. 18 THE COURT: It does seem to be beyond the scope, sir. Ask another question. 19 20 BY MR. SCHMIDT: 21 Q. You answered all of the questions that the government asked 22 limiting the time frame of the calls up to the point that he 23 left Olive Branch Marketing, is that right? 24 MS. FLETCHER: Objection to form.

THE COURT: Up to the date he was given.

1 You searched the phone records within the dates you were provided, correct? 2 3 THE WITNESS: The date range, yes, was up until Mr. 4 Owimrin left Olive Branch Marketing. 5 Q. The dates that you had was from the beginning of the date that you had telephone records to the date that was relevant to 6 7 when Mr. Owimrin left Olive Branch Marketing, is that correct? MS. FLETCHER: Objection to form. 8 9 THE COURT: I will allow it. 10 That's the date range for the records in SP5 for the Yes. 11 review. 12 Q. Do you recall Mr. Sinclair's testimony as to the use of 13 cell phones by salespeople? 14 MS. FLETCHER: Objection. Scope. 801. THE COURT: Sustained. Beyond the scope. 15 Do you know what the purpose of your limited search was in 16 17 relation to cell phone calls with customers? 18 THE COURT: Sustained. Just rephrase it. 19 I didn't understand it. That's why I am asking. 20 Did you understand the purpose, the limited purpose of your 21 examination of the records limiting it to that time frame? 22 Α. Yes, I did. 23 What was that purpose? 0. 24 MS. FLETCHER: Objection. 801.

THE COURT: Sustained.

1	MR. SCHMIDT: May we approach, your Honor?
2	THE COURT: Not on this. Let's close it.
3	801 is the appropriate objection. Proceed.
4	MR. SCHMIDT: I have no further questions.
5	THE COURT: All right. You may step down, sir.
6	(Witness excused)
7	THE COURT: Next witness for the defense.
8	MR. SCHMIDT: The defense calls the defendant, Mr.
9	Owimrin.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Owimrin, please step up.
11	ANDREW OWIMRIN,
12	called as a witness by the defendant,
13	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14	THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your full name and spell your
15	last name for the record.
16	THE WITNESS: Andrew Owimrin, last name is
17	O-W-I-M-R-I-N.
18	THE COURT: Good morning, sir. Welcome.
19	Please be seated. You know the drill by now. Keep
20	the microphone close to your mouth.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. SCHMIDT:
23	Q. Before you get to the beginning, I have a few questions.
24	Did you ever use your cell phone when you worked in
25	Olive Branch to make a sale?

3

5

6

7

8

9

Owimrin - Direct

- 1 A. Maybe once or twice.
 - Q. Now, was it for a nefarious purpose using your cell phone once or twice to make a sale?

4 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

Q. Did you use your cell phone when you left Olive Branch and moved with Mr. Ketabchi to Al in the beginning?

MS. KEARNEY: Objection. Form.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Why?
- 12 A. We were in the processing of starting Al. We didn't have a
- 13 phone system. We were just getting started. We were moving
- 14 | from Olive Branch to work for Arash.
- 15 | Q. Once you had -- withdrawn.
- Now, Andrew, where did you grow up?
- 17 A. I grew in Hillsdale, New Jersey, Bergen County.
- 18 | Q. Who did you grow up with?
- 19 A. I grew up with my family, my brothers.
- 20 | Q. How many brothers do you have?
- 21 A. Three brothers.
- 22 | Q. What are the ages of your brothers?
- 23 A. The one closest in age to me is 35 -- excuse me, 34, he
- 24 | will be 35 in January. The next one is 36 and 39.
- 25 THE COURT: How old are you, sir?

IB18KET2

- 1 THE WITNESS: I just turned 29.
- 2 | Q. Were you raised with your parents?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Now, are your parents from the United States?
- 5 A. No, they are not.
- 6 Q. Where are your parents from?
- 7 A. My father was born in Jordan, raised in Lebanon, Beirut,
- 8 and my mother was born in Greece.
- 9 Q. Do you know if your father reads and writes English?
- 10 A. He does not; he does not read and write English.
- 11 | Q. Now, when you were growing up, what kind of work was your
- 12 | father doing?
- 13 A. Flooring, primarily flooring work.
- 14 | Q. Now, what high school did you go to?
- 15 A. I went to Pascack Valley High School.
- 16 | Q. Did you graduate from Pascack Valley?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 | Q. When did you leave Pascack Valley High School?
- 19 A. When I was 15 years old, 10th grade.
- 20 | Q. Why did you leave high school?
- 21 A. I had dropped out to help my father with the family
- 22 | business.
- 23 | Q. Had you worked with your father before that?
- 24 A. I did, pretty much my entire childhood I would help.
- 25 | Q. Did there come a time that you stopped working for your

- 1 father?
- 2 | A. I did.
- 3 | Q. How long did you work for your father, about?
- 4 A. About, I would say about five, six years -- five, six years
- 5 after I had dropped out, worked full time.
- 6 Q. Why you stop working for your father?
- 7 A. Health reasons. My father's health was deteriorating and
- 8 | the business just kind of slowed down and stopped.
- 9 Q. What kind of work did you do after that?
- 10 A. I have done everything. Construction, landscaping,
- 11 maintenance work, handyman work, busboy, barback, which is
- 12 basically a busboy for a bartender, pizza delivery.
- 13 | Q. What was your last job that you had before you went to work
- 14 | at Olive Branch?
- 15 A. I was a busboy at a restaurant and they had promoted me to
- 16 a barback.
- 17 | Q. Andrew, are you nervous?
- 18 | A. Yes, I am.
- 19 Q. I notice that you smile a lot when you're answering your
- 20 questions.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Why is that?
- 23 | A. When I get nervous I tend to smile or laugh or chuckle, but
- 24 | I do smile when I get nervous.
- 25 | THE COURT: I hope you also smile when you're enjoying

1 something.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

THE WITNESS: I do, but I believe they are different smiles. You know when I'm nervous or when I am happy.

- Q. Now, while you were working at that last job as a back busboy?
- A. A busboy.

THE COURT: A barback. A barback supplies the bartender with clean glasses, takes dirty glasses away, sets up, helps out the bartender?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

- Q. While you were doing that, did you apply for another job?
- 12 A. Yes. Our neighbor that -- when we were living in Cliffside
- 13 Park, our neighbor was the mayor's of Cliffside Park brother,
- 14 and he wanted to offer me the opportunity to apply for the
- 15 | Cliffside Park police department, so I did.
- 16 Q. What process did you go through?
- 17 A. I went in for an -- I went in for two interviews, and then
- 18 | I would have to wait for the police academy, which I believe
- 19 | was every six months or every three months. I'm not sure
- 20 | exactly how long.
- 21 | Q. Did you have an idea about how long you were going to have
- 22 | to wait until you could start the academy?
- 23 A. I would say six months.
- 24 | Q. Now --

25

A. Before I had the academy interview. I wouldn't have just

- 1 | started. I would have to go through a test.
- 2 | Q. Your brothers, are they all employed?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. What do they do?
- 5 A. My oldest brother Alex is a stagehand here in New York
- 6 City, in Manhattan. My brother Rich works for PSEG. And my
- 7 | brother Steve works as pretty much a coach; he runs a company
- 8 | in South Jersey doing sports, coaching, training, private
- 9 parties.
- 10 | Q. While you were bar busing and waiting to be interviewed or
- 11 | take a test at the academy, did there come a time that you were
- 12 offered an opportunity to apply for another job?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What was that?
- 15 | A. My cousin Reagan told me he was working for this company
- 16 with his sister's boyfriend at the time, it's a good
- 17 | opportunity, it could be something that we could do as a
- 18 | career, you know.
- 19 | Q. What were you told to do?
- 20 A. I was told to come in for an interview.
- 21 | Q. Had you known your cousin's -- had you met your cousin's
- 22 | boyfriend before that time?
- 23 A. I did not meet my cousin's boyfriend before.
- 24 | Q. Who is your cousin's boyfriend?
- 25 A. Arash Ketabchi.

- 1 | Q. Now, did you go to that office?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. What happened when you got to the office?
- 4 A. Well, I went there in a suit and tie. I met my cousin
- 5 Reagan in the hallway. He had called Arash Ketabchi out into
- 6 | the hallway, that was the first time I met Arash Ketabchi.
- 7 Then we introduced ourselves there in the hallway. He walked
- 8 | me in the office, led me to Bill Sinclair's office where Bill
- 9 conducted the interview.
- 10 | THE COURT: When was this?
- 11 | THE WITNESS: This was, I believe, in March or April
- 12 of 2014.
- 13 | Q. Now, you have heard testimony about different offices for
- 14 Olive Branch. Do you remember what town that this office was
- 15 | located in?
- 16 A. Yes. It was in Hoboken.
- 17 | Q. Now, you saw a photograph that Mr. Sinclair described the
- 18 | rooms and everything. Was it at that office or another office?
- 19 A. That was another office.
- 20 | Q. Could you tell us about what the interview consisted of?
- 21 | A. It consisted of Bill Sinclair giving me the details about
- 22 | what the job entailed, that it was a telemarketing sales job.
- 23 But he kind of described it as a business development type job.
- 24 He told me that --
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

```
IB18KET2
                                Owimrin - Direct
               MR. SCHMIDT: This is for background and his state of
1
2
      mind.
3
               THE COURT: Just a moment.
 4
               Sustained.
5
               MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, may we approach?
6
               THE COURT: Yes.
 7
               (Continued on next page)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

25

Owimrin - Direct

1 (At the sidebar) THE COURT: I understand it's just background, but I 2 3 would like you to get to the core of what this is about. 4 MR. SCHMIDT: The government has asked for a conscious 5 avoidance charge. They are going to say in some way or the 6 other that he made improper statements, and he had the 7 knowledge of these things, and ultimately -- or at least that he was aware of all the things going on, and therefore he knew 8 9 this was a whole scam. 10 The only way for him to defend himself is for him to 11 be able to testify what occurred that caused his state of mind to believe that this was a legitimate business that he was 12 13 doing. If he can't do that, he can't defend himself. 14 So whether Bill Sinclair told him the truth or not is not relevant. What is relevant is what he heard from Bill 15 Sinclair, what he heard from other people, what he thought 16 17 about that, how it impacted his knowledge and intent. 18 MS. KEARNEY: Perhaps the more appropriate question is, What is your understanding of what the business would be 19 20 based on what Bill told you? 21 THE COURT: I think that's the same thing. 22 Go ahead. Do it. I just don't want a whole lot of 23 hearsay coming in here because it's not an adverse party.

MR. SCHMIDT: I need to develop why he trusted Bill

MS. KEARNEY: I will add, your Honor --

25

```
Sinclair and why he continued to work there. If I can't bring
1
      out what Bill Sinclair was saying to him to impact his state of
 2
 3
      mind, then we can't do it, we can't present our defense.
 4
               THE COURT: There is something called hearsay.
 5
               MR. SCHMIDT: There is hearsay when it's coming out
6
      for the truth, not for impacting his state of mind. If you
 7
      like, you could give a charge that it's not being offered for
      the truth of anything that Bill Sinclair has ever said. I am
8
9
      perfectly happy with that. It's not what he said. It's for
10
      the effect on his state of mind.
11
               THE COURT: All right.
12
               MS. KEARNEY: I understand that, your Honor, but Mr.
13
      Schmidt's question was what did he say to you. There is no
14
      indication of what the topic is going to be. So at that point
      I have to object because --
15
               MR. SCHMIDT: I will be perfectly happy to lead him.
16
17
               MS. KEARNEY: I think you can cabin your question on
18
      the topic or instructions.
               MR. SCHMIDT: I can do this.
19
20
               THE COURT: Do that, but again, state of mind only
21
      goes so far.
22
               MR. SCHMIDT: In this case it's the only way, Judge.
23
               THE COURT: What I mean is in terms of your running
```

exception for state of mind. Make it succinct. Make it a few

with lots and lots and lots of questions that you want an

```
IB18KET2
                                Owimrin - Direct
      questions. Let's move on. And I will give that limiting
1
      instruction. I am giving you some leeway here.
2
 3
               (Continued on next page)
 4
5
6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

4

7

8

9

Owimrin - Direct

1 (In open court)

THE COURT: Rephrase.

- BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. What was the tone of the interview?
- 5 A. It was professional, enthusiastic, the best way I can describe how Bill was.
 - Q. Was it done in a way to try to persuade you to come to work?
 - MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained as to leading.
- 11 | Q. Had you ever worked in a telephone marketing company?
- 12 | A. Never.
- 13 Q. Other than perhaps receiving some telephone calls, did you
- 14 have any idea what how a telephone marketing company worked?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. In your interview with Mr. Sinclair, was he just seeking
- 17 | information from you about your background or was he giving you
- 18 | information about the business?
- 19 | A. It was more of him explaining to me what the business was.
- 20 Q. You said he was professional and -- what was the word you
- 21 used?
- 22 A. Enthusiastic.
- 23 | Q. Enthusiastic, what do you mean by that?
- 24 A. It's just the way -- his demeanor, the way he carried
- 25 | himself, the way he dressed, the way he described everything.

25

0.

Α.

What did he tell you?

Owimrin - Direct

1 He seemed to know what he was talking about and seemed to want 2 me to be there, or at least try. 3 THE COURT: You think he was selling you on the job? 4 THE WITNESS: Looking back on it, yes, sir. 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you, your Honor. Was he successful --6 0. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. -- in selling you the job? 9 Did he talk to you at all about what this job can mean 10 to you as an occupation, as earnings, did he talk to you about 11 that? 12 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. 13 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 14 Did you try to sell him on yourself? 15 THE WITNESS: No. I let him know that I'm completely new to this. I had no idea what it was, but I was willing to 16 17 learn. Because of the fact that he explained it was a career, 18 it could be a career, they were just starting, they were going 19 to be growing, they were going to be getting 401-k, health 20 benefits, he led me to believe this was something that I could 21 do for the rest of my life if I succeeded at it. 22 Did he inform you about the earning potential? Ο. 23 Yes, he did. Α.

He said, if I'm not making \$80,000 a year just to start,

(212) 805-0300

- then there is no reason for me to be working there; he wouldn't want me working there.
 - Q. How did that make you feel?
- 4 A. Nervous but excited.
- Q. Did he talk at all about the kind of products that you were going to be selling?
- 7 A. Yes. He briefly did talk about everything. He gave me a
- 8 pamphlet that described what these products that we would be
- 9 selling were, as well as brief descriptions of them, almost
- 10 like a script. If it had an LLC, it would tell you what the
- 11 | LLC was about and you could read that off to a customer.
- 12 Q. Did you have any understanding of the products they were
- 13 selling prior to taking a look at the documents that he gave
- 14 | you?
- 15 \parallel A. No, not at all.
- 16 | Q. Were you offered a job?
- 17 A. Yes, I was offered an opportunity.
- 18 | Q. When did you start work?
- 19 | A. March or April of 2014. I started the next -- literally
- 20 | that day, actually, not the next day, that day I started
- 21 | listening to people in the office.
- 22 | Q. So what did you do -- withdrawn.
- 23 | Was there a formal training there?
- 24 A. I wouldn't call it formal, but there was a training.
- 25 | Q. What did you do initially for the first couple of weeks?

- A. I started off listening to appointments being set, and then
 I had started getting on the phones to set appointments and
 just get comfortable talking to people on the phone.
 - Q. Now, did you receive any training about how to set appointments?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

7 | Q. Who trained you to do that?

to explain things to me.

- A. Bill had pretty much trained me, but also he wanted me to listen to other people setting appointments, everybody in the office, nobody specific. But Bill taught me, Arash taught me a few things, everybody really did. But primarily Bill because everybody else was working so he was the only one who had time
- Q. Now, when you set appointments, how did you reflect the appointments so people knew that there were going to be
- 16 appointments?
- 17 A. I would set the appointment, and then in the description on the calendar I would put set by Andrew.
- 19 Q. You said a calendar. What kind of a calendar was it?
- 20 A. It was a Gmail Google calendar.
- 21 Q. Had you worked a Gmail Google calendar before then?
- 22 | A. Never.
- 23 | Q. Who trained you to work a Gmail Google calendar?
- 24 A. Bill and coworkers.
- 25 | Q. What was your understanding, once you put an appointment on

- 1 | the Gmail Google calendar, who would be able to see it?
- 2 A. Everybody would be able to see it. Everybody that had a
- 3 | name on the calendar, everybody that had access to the
- 4 calendar, which is basically everybody in the office. Not
- 5 basically, it was everybody in the office.
- 6 Q. Now, when you were doing the appointment setting, did you
- 7 | talk to the people you were setting appointments with about the
- 8 products that the company had?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Who was the person who talked about that?
- 11 A. The sales rep.
- 12 | Q. Now, do you recall the first time that you made a sales
- 13 | call?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Could you tell us about it?
- 16 A. Arash had -- I was setting appointments that day, and he
- 17 | just randomly stood me up and said, you're going to take this
- 18 appointment, made me call it in front of the entire office. It
- 19 | was the only appointment; he made me do it in front of
- 20 everybody. It didn't go so well.
- 21 | Q. What do you mean by it didn't go so well?
- 22 | A. I couldn't really get my words out. It got really hot in
- 23 | there, and I kind of just crumbled, ended up hanging on the
- 24 | client without even saying anything at all.
- 25 | THE COURT: When was this?

2

3

4

5

11

19

Owimrin - Direct

- THE WITNESS: March or April. It was after two weeks, because I did appointments for about two weeks, so it had to be after about two weeks of me working there.
 - Q. Now, when you were making the sales call, did you have a script to follow?
- I did have a script that I should have followed but I 6 7 didn't.
- 8 Q. What happened?
- 9 I just got nervous. I just froze.
- 10 Now, eventually you made -- would it be fair to say you 0. made more and more sales calls?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Do you recall about how long it was before you were first 14 successful?
- 15 A. Almost a month or two months -- it was actually almost two 16 months into it, because the first month I was pretty much 17 training, and then the second month I was actually trying to 18 make sales calls, and it took me about two and a half, three
- 20 Q. Now, when you started making these telephone calls, did you 21 receive -- you said you received a script, is that right?
- 22 Α. I did.

weeks to get a sale.

- 23 Now, I am going to show you Government Exhibit 254.
- 24 MR. SCHMIDT: Can we put that up?
- 25 You had a chance to previously read this?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. This document, right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- Q. Is this a document -- is this one that you actually have
- 5 seen before?
- 6 A. This exact one I did not see when I was still working at
- 7 | Olive Branch.
- 8 | Q. Did you see something that was very similar to this script?
- 9 A. The words Tax Club were just replaced with Olive Branch,
- 10 but it was pretty much the exact same contract, other than the
- 11 | business name, and there were a little tweaks here and there.
- 12 | Q. Is it fair to say this is similar to one of the scripts
- 13 | that you worked off of?
- 14 A. It's fair, yes.
- 15 | THE COURT: It sounds like -- go ahead.
- 16 Next question.
- 17 Q. Now, when there were other products to sell, did you
- 18 receive either a script or information that you would use in
- 19 describing those products?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Now, besides this script, did anyone there inform you that
- 22 | when you're making these sales there's some things you can do
- and some things you can't do?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- THE COURT: Rephrase it.

- Q. Besides just given the script, were you given other instructions by senior people?
 - A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 4 | Q. Who were the people who gave you instructions?
- 5 A. The ownership, Bill Sinclair, Michael Finocchiaro, Arash.
 - Q. Was some of the instructions -- were you told -- what were some of the instructions that you were given?
 - A. There was just certain things that we could not say on the phone, earnings claims specifically was a big one that I could remember, tax -- I can't recall the exact word right now, but pretty much no earnings claims and no leading people to believe that they have to take these products. We are offering them, but they don't have to do it. You can't force somebody to do it.
 - Q. Now, other than Youngevity, which we will discuss later on, do you recall the products --
 - THE COURT: I'm sorry. Before you do that, I am not sure I quite understand what you just said.
 - You said you could not lead people to believe that they had to take the products you were offering. Is that right?
 - THE WITNESS: Yes.
 - THE COURT: What did you mean? That you could not tell them they were under a legal obligation to take the products, or rather it's not a must-have item?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Owimrin - Direct

THE WITNESS: That first part was not specifically told to us. But yes, we couldn't lead them to believe that in order to be successful you need to take our products. They could go to anybody they want. They could go to any company they want to get whatever services they want.

THE COURT: I take it you didn't say that though, right?

THE WITNESS: Occasionally you could say that. people said, are you the company we invested with previously, we would say, no, we are not; we are a separate company. couldn't act as we were the company that had initially spoke to these clients. If Elite called, we couldn't say I am calling from Elite. We would have to separate ourselves and let them know that they could work with anybody; they are not specifically directed to work with us.

- Were you able, though, to indicate in some way that you're aware of their relationship with that prior company?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Now, what was your understanding of -- withdrawn. Q. Were you told what leads were?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Ο. What were leads?
- 23 Leads were potential clients. Α.
- 24 Did you know where leads came from? 0.
- 25 A lead source, that's the word they would say, but I Α. No.

- 1 | didn't know where they came from. It came from a list.
- 2 | Q. When you were at Olive Branch, did you ever see a lead list
- 3 | in the entirety?
- 4 A. Not in its entirety. Bill Sinclair would specifically give
- 5 | me a certain number of lists. So I wouldn't see every lead
- 6 that he had, but they would give me a list to cull through.
- 7 | Q. Now, what was your understanding of the nature of the
- 8 product that you were selling to the people where you had got
- 9 | the list from?
- 10 A. The nature of the products we were selling?
- 11 | Q. Yes. At the time you were at Olive Branch, before
- 12 Youngevity.
- 13 A. They would be products that can potentially help these
- 14 businesses succeed, or make them more complete.
- 15 | Q. So your understanding was the people had already invested
- 16 | in a business?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Other than Youngevity, generally, did you at Olive Branch
- 19 | sell businesses or sell products that would help the business?
- 20 A. Products that were supposed to help the business.
- 21 | Q. Do you have some examples?
- 22 | A. An LLC, search engine optimization, marketing and
- 23 advertising, business plans, things like that.
- 24 | Q. What was your understanding -- withdrawn.
- 25 Was your understanding that some of these items also

- IB18KET2 Owimrin - Direct came with assistance, like coaching or training? 1 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. 2 3 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 4 It's your witness, sir. 5 Q. Did you understand whether all of the products that you were selling stood alone or had other elements involved in 6 7 them? 8 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: I will withdraw that question. 10 Can we put up BS 11, please. What is BS 11? 11 0. This looks like a sales sheet. 12 13 Is this the only sales sheet that you ever saw when you 14 were at Olive Branch? No. 15 Α. Were there more than one sales sheet? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 They changed at times? 19 Yes, depending on the fulfillment company that would be 20 actually doing the work. 21 Q. Now, what was your understanding of what a fulfillment 22 company was? 23 That was a company that actually did the work. I was told

companies went to school for marketing, online marketing or

or led to believe that these people in the fulfillment

24

- business, had business degrees. I was led to believe that
 these fulfillment people specialized in completing these
 services.
 - Q. Who led you to believe that?
- A. Bill Sinclair, Michael Finocchiaro, Arash, the ownership and the management of the company.
 - Q. Now, who were the actual owners?
 - A. Bill Sinclair, Michael Finocchiaro.
- 9 Q. Was there one more active as an owner than the other?
- 10 | A. Yes.

4

7

- 11 \mathbb{Q} . Who was that?
- 12 A. That was Bill Sinclair.
- Q. Now, looking at Defense Exhibit BS 11, if you look down,
 for example, at business plan, it says "two week training" and
- some other words after that.
- 16 What was your understanding about what that meant?
- 17 | A. They got a two week training, they got a business plan,
- 18 business plan booklet, a certain amount of revisions on the
- 19 business plan, depending on how much they invested or what tier
- 20 or package of business plan they purchased.
- 21 Q. And the amount of training, did that depend on how much
- 22 | they are willing to spend for that particular product?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did you believe that it was a real product?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. What was your understanding of what you were selling? 2 3 THE WITNESS: A business plan. They showed us an 4 example of a business plan. They explained it as like -- I 5 don't want to sound like a sales guy, but they explained it 6 like it's a road map for your business, it shows how to get 7 from A to B as quickly and safely as possible. It breaks down the market they are in and things like that. That's what my 8 9 understanding of what business plan is. I don't really know 10 very well what a business plan is. 11 Q. Now, if a customer asked you a question that you did not 12 know the answer to, what did you do? 13 I specifically told clients, if there is an answer I don't Α. 14 know, I'm not going to sit here and pretend that I do, but I 15 will either lead you to somebody that will answer it or try my 16 best to. 17 Did you ask anybody who you were working with to help you? 18 Α. Yes. 19 As a salesperson, the first person that would contact -- do 20 you know the first person who would contact a prospective 21 customer? 22 Α. Yes. 23 0. Who would that be? 24 Α. That would be an appointment setter. 25 And where would that appointment -- if it was successful

- and an appointment was set up, what would the appointment setter do?
- 3 A. They would put it on the Google calendar.
- 4 | Q. Under whose name?
- 5 A. Zach Peterson, which is Arash Ketabchi.
- 6 Q. What was his position at the time you worked there?
- 7 A. He was a sales floor manager.
 - Q. At some point what would happen to that appointment?
- 9 A. About five minutes before the hour he would delegate those
- 10 appointments to whoever was available. We each had a color, we
- 11 | each had a name on the calendar. Let's say it was me. He
- 12 | would put it in Andrew Owens' name. My color was purple. So
- 13 | that appointment on the calendar would show up as purple and I
- 14 | would know that that's my appointment. And he would also tell
- 15 | us you have an appointment, check your calendar.
- 16 | Q. You told us the appointment calendar was under Andrew
- 17 Owens?

- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Your name is Andrew Owimrin?
- 20 | A. It is.
- 21 | Q. Why were you using Andrew Owens?
- 22 | A. We were told to use more American names. It's something
- 23 | that telemarketing people do. If you ever heard or spoke to a
- 24 | telemarketer, it's probably not their real name, even if it's
- 25 | from, I don't know --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Owimrin - Direct

- Q. Did anyone tell you of an example of what happened when they didn't use an Americanized name?
 - A. Yes, one of my coworkers.
 - Q. What did he tell you?

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SCHMIDT: It's for state of mind. It's not for the truth. It's for the state of mind of my client.

THE COURT: All right. I will allow it. Ladies and gentlemen, not for the truth of what this person supposedly told him, but simply for its impact, if any, on the state of mind of Mr. Owimrin.

I will allow it.

- A. A coworker, his name is Louis Jimenez, he worked for The Tax Club. He was closer in age to me so we kind of connected, and he told me, you know, how he used to use Louis Jimenez as his phone name, or he did for a short period of time. And when he would introduce himself, people would say some nasty things and hang up on him because of his ethnicity. So he changed it to Louis Anderson and didn't have that problem again.
- Q. Were you trying to hide your real name?
- A. No. I have actually --
- Q. Now, if the appointment was put in your color, what would you do?
 - A. I would call it.

Owimrin - Direct

- Q. Would you look at the appointment?
- 2 A. Yeah. I would look at the appointment -- I would look at
- 3 | the description. I would open up the appointment and it would
- 4 have a description. It would tell you the name of the client,
- 5 | their phone number, what lead list it came from. And then if
- 6 the appointment setter got any type of information or a feel
- 7 | for the client, they would say simple things like sweet lady or
- 8 | not in a good mood, just things so you'd know going into it a
- 9 little bit, you have some type of feel for it, as well as if
- 10 | the client told you if there's any products, sometimes they
- 11 | would tell them if there's products that they already had.
- 12 | Whatever information the customer told them they would write
- down for us to see so we knew something going in.
- 14 | Q. Now, if the conversation you had -- how long would some of
- 15 | these conversations, how long would these be?
- 16 A. They usually would typically last 30 minutes to an hour and
- 17 | a half or half hour to an hour and a half.
- 18 Q. If the conversation that you had with the customer was
- 19 | successful, what would happen, or what would you do?
- 20 | A. First thing I would do would be bring a sales sheet into
- 21 | Bill Sinclair's office.
- 22 | Q. Who would have filled out that sales sheet?
- 23 A. I would have filled out the sales sheet while on the phone
- 24 | with the client.
- 25 | Q. What would happen when it was brought to Bill Sinclair's

1 office?

- 2 A. He would do what is called a preauthorization on a credit
- 3 | card. If it wasn't being put on a credit card, we would just
- 4 | take it directly to our appointment setters which also did
- 5 compliance.
- 6 Q. Now, was there any restriction of taking only credit cards
- 7 | from customers at Olive Branch?
- 8 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 | Q. Was there any restrictions on the manner that you accept
- 11 payment at Olive Branch?
- 12 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 15 You could accept a check, I take it, then?
- 16 THE WITNESS: You could accept any form.
- 17 THE COURT: You could accept a check?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 19 THE COURT: And cash?
- 20 | THE WITNESS: Nobody sent cash, but you could accept a
- 21 | check, a wire.
- 22 | THE COURT: A credit card.
- 23 | THE WITNESS: Debit card, credit card.
- 24 THE COURT: Anything.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Any form of payment, except for a point

- in time we didn't accept American Express, but sometimes we did, depending on the merchant.
- 3 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. Now, you have heard the testimony about merchant accounts taking certain percentages of sales. Were you aware at that time of what percentage the merchant accounts that Olive Branch
- time of what percentage the merchant accounts that Olive Branch
 worked with was getting per sale?
- 8 A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. Did you have any knowledge of what a merchant account would 10 get from a sale from your local grocery store?
- 11 | A. No.
- Q. You were aware though that they were going to get something?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 15 MR. SCHMIDT: Withdrawn.
- Q. In fact, was a form of payment other than credit card preferred?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 19 | THE COURT: I will allow it, if he knows.
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Why?
- 22 A. Because if you got a check or a wire, a form of cash rather
- 23 | than a credit card, they don't have to pay merchant fees, so
- 24 | they prefer a credit card -- they prefer a check or cash wire.
- 25 That was actually their preference, not credit cards.

Owimrin - Direct

1 THE COURT: And within that check, cash, wire, did they have a preference? 2 3 THE WITNESS: No. 4 THE COURT: It didn't matter whether it was a check or 5 instant cash through a wire? THE WITNESS: It didn't matter. 6 7 The limitation of their preference that was made known to you was noncredit cards are better than credit cards? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. 11 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 12 Now, if it was a credit card and it went to Bill for 13 preauthorization, what did preauthorization mean? 14 A. As it was explained to me, a preauthorization, it just kind 15 of puts a hold on a portion of the funds or the funds; whatever charge you are planning on putting through later, it just holds 16 17 that amount, and it also sees if the client actually has it. If it's declined, they wouldn't be able to make that purchase. 18 19 If they would preauthorize it and the preauthorization went 20 through, you would know that it will be successful after 21 contracts are signed and things like that. 22 Now, if it was preauthorized, then what would happen? 23 Then I would take the same paper I just handed to Bill, I 24 would walk from his office into the compliance room.

hand whichever compliance rep was available that sheet of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Owimrin - Direct I would walk back to my phone. I would congratulate them for joining the team. Then I would transfer them over to compliance to go through the contracts. Q. Now, if they were going to send a wire or a check or a money order, was there any part that you skipped? MS. KEARNEY: Objection. I would have to --Α. THE COURT: Just a minute. Sustained. What would happen if they were going to be paid by check, money order, wire, not a credit card? MS. KEARNEY: Objection. THE COURT: What was the procedure used if a credit card was not the method of payment? THE WITNESS: It depended. If it was a wire, we would have to go and ask Bill which account he wanted to put it to. So we would have to get the account information, wire information, routing number, the address of the bank from Bill, so we could give that information to the client so they could actually conduct the wire transfer.

With a check, we just gave them our address to send the check to our office. And then instead of it going to Bill for preauthorization, it would just go directly to compliance. Q. Now, after it goes to compliance and there were no problems concerning the customer, would you ever have contact with that

- 1 | customer again?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Did there come a time that there were some times problems
- 4 | with the customers?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Generally, were you the one dealing with the problems?
- 7 | A. No.
- Q. What was your understanding of who was dealing with the
- 9 problems?
- 10 A. Michael Finocchiaro was in charge of that.
- 11 Q. Now, did there come a time during the time that you were at
- 12 Olive Branch where you did actually speak to customers after
- 13 | you finished what you understood to be your role?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: I will allow that.
- 17 | A. Typically it would be right after the preauthorization or
- 18 | the completion, when they would be reading through the
- 19 | contract, they would have questions. So I would go and try to
- 20 answer those questions. If I was not available, if I was on
- 21 another call already, Bill would come and answer those
- 22 questions.
- 23 Q. How often did that happen?
- 24 A. A handful of times, maybe two handfuls, maybe ten times.
- 25 | Q. Now, were there other occasions that you would be asked to

- 1 contact a client that you sold a product to?
- 2 | A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

- 3 | Q. What would that be?
- 4 A. That would be when a client was trying to cancel or charge
- 5 back and Michael Finocchiaro was either not in the office or
- 6 | just didn't feel like doing it.
 - Q. What would you do?
 - THE COURT: Let me go back a little bit. When you said that there were a handful of times, maybe two handfuls, maybe ten times, during what period of time was that? Was that both at Olive Branch and at A1?
- 12 THE WITNESS: No. That would just be from the Hoboken
 13 office where I started to when I ended in Clifton, all at Olive

14 Branch.

- 15 | THE COURT: All at Olive Branch.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's what I was speaking about.
- 17 | I wasn't talking about A1 as well.
- 18 Q. Now, did you ever receive any requests from fulfillment
- 19 people?
- 20 | A. Yes. If they were having trouble contacting a client that
- 21 purchased a product, they would either reach out to myself or
- 22 | Fino or appointment setters. They would notify us that they
- 23 | are having trouble reaching the client. So we would call them
- 24 | to get them to answer the phone for their fulfillment
- 25 | appointments.

- 1 | Q. What is your understanding -- withdrawn.
- 2 How often did you deal with fulfillment people?
- 3 A. Not often.
- Q. Were you told what company or people were involved in the fulfillment?
- 6 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: I will allow it for his state of mind.
- 8 Again, not for the truth of what was said.
- 9 A. I was told names and I was also given a printed out list of the company names and the fulfillment members for those
- 11 companies.
- 12 Q. Now, I am going to show you Exhibit A02.
- Do you see that exhibit?
- 14 A. Yes, I do see it.
- 15 \parallel Q. Is this a document that was given to you by anyone?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Do you recall who gave it to you?
- 18 A. Bill Sinclair.
- 19 Q. Do you recall what was the purpose of it?
- 20 | A. To know the names of the fulfillment members. If a client
- 21 | called one of us to say who's Christina, I would know that
- 22 | Christina is part of our fulfillment team, so I would let that
- 23 | client know that's part of our fulfillment team. Or I could
- 24 | notify them that you will be speaking with Sally. She will be
- 25 the one on your account. She is the one that takes care of

- LLCs. So things of that nature. Just so I could know who they
 are and let the customer know who will be speaking to them
 next.
 - MR. SCHMIDT: I offer this as Exhibit AO2.
- 5 MS. KEARNEY: We object on 801 grounds.
 - MR. SCHMIDT: It's being offered for his state of mind, your Honor, not for whether these people are real names.
 - THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection.
 - Q. Were you given other lists concerning fulfillment people who worked for other companies?
- 11 | A. Yes.

4

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Did you have any reason to believe that these fulfillment people were not doing their jobs?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 THE COURT: I will allow that.
- 17 A. No, I did not. Actually, in fact, one of the --
- 18 THE COURT: You have answered the question.
- 19 Next question.
- Q. I want to go back to the calendar. I am going to give you a hard copy of AOC01 to 35.
- 22 Look through briefly the documents.
- 23 | A. I see it.
- 24 | Q. Now, could you describe generally what these documents are?
- 25 A. This is an appointment -- these are all appointments from a

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Owimrin - Direct

- Google calendar. It's not the exact format, but I could tell
 that it's from my Google calendar, which I will see on my
 calendar. When I click on it, this is what would show up.
 - Q. In other words, this is not what you would actually see on the screen at your desk, but this is from the calendar if you printed it out?
- 7 A. If I opened up the bullet point on the screen, this would 8 pop up.
 - Q. Now, you told us that some of the information when you were setting appointments, that you made appointments with leads, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, the information concerning the name and/or telephone number or address of the leads, that would come from some other source, is that right?
 - MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Rephrase it.
 - Q. The original information about the lead came from some other source, didn't it?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- Q. Where did the original information of the lead come from?
 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- A. In the calendar, it would come from the appointment setter, as it shows here. And it's set up --
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection, your Honor. This document is

7

8

9

10

1 | not in evidence.

number from?

- THE COURT: This is not in evidence?
- 3 MS. KEARNEY: No.
- 4 MR. SCHMIDT: It's not in evidence.
- 5 THE COURT: All right.
 - A. Yes, it would be from the appointment setter.
 - Q. Where would the appointment setter -- you were an appointment setter. Where would you get the name and telephone
 - A. They would get it from a list, the lead list.
- 11 Q. Would it be fair to say that you did not know that
- 12 | information was accurate when you saw it on a lead list?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: I will allow that.
- Did you have any understanding as to the accuracy of the information on the lead list?
- THE WITNESS: I believed it to be accurate. I had nothing to tell me otherwise.
- Q. Now, what would the appointment setter do with the information of the name and telephone number of the lead?
- A. She would copy and paste it and put it into the subject;

 she would copy and paste the name, the number, the lead source.
- Q. What was the first thing that an appointment setter would do with the lead?
- 25 A. She would call it, set up the appointment, or try to set up

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Owimrin - Direct

- the appointment, attempt to set up an appointment, and then put it on a Google calendar under that person's name.
 - THE COURT: Is the appointment setter personnel the same as the compliance personnel?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: I take it you were here when you heard testimony that those people were, quote, essentially secretaries. Do you remember that testimony?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you agree with that?

THE WITNESS: I do agree.

- Q. Were the appointment setters required at work to put that information in the Google calendar?
- 14 A. Yes, they were.
 - Q. And it was necessary for the running of the business to have that information put in the calendar, otherwise there wouldn't be a business?

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

- THE COURT: Sustained as to form. This is direct examination, not summation.
 - Q. Now, the record that was made in the calendar, was that made by the appointment setter at about the time that she was setting the appointment with the lead?
- 24 | A. Yes.
 - Q. Were those records put in and kept in the calendar in the

- 1 | course of the business of Olive Branch?
- 2 | A. Yes.

7

8

- 3 Q. Was the entry made by the appointment setter made as a
- 4 | normal part and a regular part of the business?

the calendar at some point, is that right?

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

- 5 A. Yes, to my understanding.
- Q. Now, there's other information that is generally put into
- 9 MR. SCHMIDT: I am trying to lay a foundation, Judge.
- 10 | That's all I am doing.
- 11 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 12 MS. KEARNEY: Just so you know, I think we are going
- 13 | to have a total objection if Mr. Schmidt moves this document
- 14 | into evidence. It may need to be discussed at sidebar for
- 15 planning purposes.
- 16 THE COURT: You can answer that question, sir.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Now, if the appointment was put -- withdrawn.
- 19 Who decided who got the appointment?
- 20 | A. Arash.
- 21 Q. How was it shown that he made a decision?
- 22 | A. He made it seem like it was whoever was available at the
- 23 | time, but we kind of knew he was getting into who he favored.
- 24 | Q. How was it indicated on the calendar that somebody --
- 25 A. They would put it in their name.

3

4

5

7

8

9

Owimrin - Direct

- 1 | Q. What does that mean when you were looking at your calendar?
 - A. I would see if it was in my name or in somebody else's name.
 - Q. Was there any other way of seeing whose name it was under?

 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

6 THE COURT: I will allow it.

- A. You would see whose name it was under at first, you could see it's under Zach, and then he would change it.
- Q. When you looked at the calendar --
- 10 A. You would see the customer names up there.
- Q. How were each individual salesman divided up on the Google calendar, by what?
- 13 A. By colors.
- Q. So it was easy to see who it was because of the color?

 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 18 Q. Now, if it was your color, your appointment, what would you 19 do?
- 20 A. I would call the appointment.
- Q. What was your responsibility in relation to the calendar while you were talking to the person or immediately thereof?
- 23 A. I would have to take notes on the client. When I was
- 24 | talking to them, if I didn't do it while I was speaking, I
- 25 would have to update it and put notes in there after.

IB18KET2

Owimrin - Direct

Was that a requirement for you to do it? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 When you did it, did you do it at the time that you were 4 talking to the person or immediately thereof? 5 Either/or. I wasn't very good at taking notes. 6 Was the calendar kept in the ordinary course of business? Q. 7 Yes, it was. Α. Was it required in the regular practice for the salesperson 8 Q. 9 to make those entries in the calendar? 10 A. Yes. 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Now, your Honor, I move Defense Exhibit 12 AOC01 to AOC35 into evidence. 13 MS. KEARNEY: We object, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Sidebar. 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Owimrin	– D	irect

1	(At the sidebar)
2	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. KEARNEY: There are multiple layers of hearsay in
4	this document. Even assuming arguendo that Mr. Schmidt has
5	laid a business records foundation, which based on Mr.
6	Owimrin's statement that he didn't take very good notes I don't
7	think he has, these notes contain statements of the victims he
8	spoke to on the phone. Those statements are not business
9	records.
10	MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, I don't want to interrupt,
11	but might this be a good time for the jury to take the morning
12	break? It's almost noon.
13	THE COURT: I didn't even realize that. Yes.
14	(In open court)
15	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I didn't realize how
16	late it was. The attorneys just brought that to my attention.
17	I apologize.
18	(Jury exits courtroom)
19	(Recess)
20	(Continued on next page)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Owimrin - direct

1	(In open court; jury not present)
2	THE COURT: Counsel, sidebar we don't have to do
3	sidebar. The jury is not here. Government?
4	MS. KEARNEY: Yes, your Honor, these are calendar
5	entries. The defendant, first off, has testified that he
6	didn't actually take very good notes
7	THE COURT: Yes, I did notice that.
8	MS. KEARNEY: in making these entries.
9	Second, there is no indication he was, no indication
10	he was the one made these entries. Sometimes he testified the
11	appointment person would make it, sometimes he would make it
12	when they were on the calls. To the extent Mr. Schmidt is
13	attempting some sort of recorded recollection, there is no
14	indication these are
15	THE COURT: It doesn't work under recorded
16	recollection. Deal with it under business records.
17	MS. KEARNEY: Since Mr. Owimrin testified he didn't
18	take very good notes, there is actually no duty to accurately
19	record and report any of this information in this document.
20	Putting that aside, your Honor, these are statements
21	by third parties, the victims in this case, who are not
22	THE COURT: There are a lot of entries here by the
23	appointments people just saying when they were setting up
24	appointments.
0 -	MO METADATA

MS. KEARNEY: Most of these entries are recounting

```
things that were told by the appointment setter on the phone,
1
      so just on the first page, "has used all personal funds" --
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Yes, the first page is the weirdest one.
 4
               MS. KEARNEY: Page 3, invested $7,000 in LLC, was
5
      given additional traffic. Page 4, still can't squeeze even a
6
      thousand bucks out of --
 7
               THE COURT: Wait, wait. When you say Page 4?
 8
               MS. KEARNEY: I am sorry. Page 5.
9
               THE COURT: Just a moment. So you're saying that it's
10
      hearsay, it is the statements of who the government calls the
11
      victims?
               MS. KEARNEY: Correct.
12
13
               THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt.
14
               MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, first of all, it is
      admissible under both business records and 803 (6).
15
               THE COURT: It is business records.
16
17
               (Off-the-record discussion).
18
               THE COURT: Let's take it first under 803 (6), and you
19
      can -- under 803 (6), the problem is that it would be hearsay
20
      within 803 (6) documents and a lot of this does seem to be
21
      hearsay. It is the statements of who the government calls the
22
      victims.
23
               MR. SCHMIDT: There is no question that some of the
24
      information was obviously obtained from the person that, the
25
      salesperson, whether Mr. Owimrin or otherwise, got from the
```

25

that it is a scam.

1 customer. One of the problems --2 THE COURT: It is hearsay. 3 MR. SCHMIDT: -- one of the problems we have here, 4 Judge, is that you have started calling the customer the 5 victim. 6 THE COURT: No. I didn't before the jury, absolutely 7 not. 8 MR. SCHMIDT: Here is the problem. 9 If this was a case where the government was saying 10 that Mr. Owimrin lied on these three occasions and committed 11 fraud on those three occasions, and that's why he is quilty, 12 the defense would be much more limited by choice and by law to 13 deal with that issue. 14 The government has not taken that position. 15 government has taken the position that this whole business is a scam, and our client is part of the whole business scam, and so 16 17 that his knowledge and understanding and belief are now 18 completely in issue. So when my client is working in this business that the 19 20 government says is a scam, we are trying to show that how he is 21 dealing with it, how he sees others are dealing with it, right, 22 impacts on his belief on whether or not this is a lawful 23 endeavor, a belief it is a lawful endeavor he is involved in or

You cannot separate that. The statements of the

Owimrin - direct

witnesses, the customers here, right, whether they're accurate or not, right, is not significant. It's how the salesperson, especially Mr. Owimrin, acts because he is now looking or have read that information. He accepts it as true; and, therefore, it impacts on how he is conducting himself, the knowledge that he has and his intent and motive.

THE COURT: Just a moment. I am not quite sure what all of that was about, but I can say I very much agree with you that whether or not your client intentionally committed a crime, his state of mind is important, obviously, that I agree with. I am not quite sure what all the other stuff was.

MR. SCHMIDT: Judge, they've asked for a conscious avoidance charge.

THE COURT: I understand that.

MR. SCHMIDT: Now, conscious avoidance charge acknowledgment means that somebody is confronted with certain facts that they should have been gone forward to check on things.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SCHMIDT: Right, and if they didn't --

THE COURT: They put their head in the sand and they saw all of the warning flags flying. I understand that.

MR. SCHMIDT: What the government is trying to do is only put in the quote warning flags and prevent us from putting in everything else that is going on around him for two and a

25

1 half years and why these were not red flags that should cause him to seek further knowledge. 2 3 It seems to be fundamentally unfair for the government to take the position, right, that there are red flags, but 4 5 prevent us from showing those red flags in context. 6 THE COURT: Why don't you deal with the evidentiary 7 issue we have at the moment, which is the hearsay statements within what's arguably a business record because the hearsay 8 9 statements should not be coming in. 10 For example, on the first page, all of that is, I 11 would think, what the customer, the client is telling 12 Mr. Owimrin. 13 MR. SCHMIDT: So it is coming in for his state of mind 14 for a number of reasons. It is, one, how he conducted himself when he obviously heard --15 THE COURT: But your state of mind, the way you assert 16 the state of mind exception, it lets everything come in because 17 18 by your very verbiage, anything that is happening in the 19 business affects his state of mind. You just said that. 20 MR. SCHMIDT: Absolutely. 21 THE COURT: So anything involved in this business 22 comes into this trial under that theory? 23 MR. SCHMIDT: No, no. If he doesn't know about it, it

doesn't affect his state of mind. He has to know about it.

That is why we have these from his calendar, so these are the

ones that he knows about.

For example, Judge, the government puts in their witnesses some clearly people who are victims and who look like they're taken a advantage of, and is arguing that this is the nature of the business, while trying to avoid having my client explain why he dealt with those particular people the way he did because this is how he dealt with everybody else, and during the course of his work over there he saw people who wanted to go forward, wanted to do something, some people who didn't want to do something, some people who sought his advice, some people said thank you but we are not interested, and he didn't push it any more.

So the government is just trying to take this microcosm of the life of Andrew Owimrin for two and a half years and condense it only for the things that they want to come in.

THE COURT: All right. Government, I understand what you're saying. There is no limiting principle to what you're arguing, sir, and that is the problem.

MR. SCHMIDT: But we are not trying to prove that these people did this, this and this. All we're trying to prove is that my client believed these people did this, this and this, and you can instruct the jury to that. I don't care.

MS. KEARNEY: Mr. Owimrin is free to testify that based on information that he was provided, he believed these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Owimrin - direct

people had gotten XYZ or wanted to purchase ABC, but putting in statements that have gone through an appointment setter to Mr. Owimrin, when it is not clear who made the statements, who wrote down the statements, in what context they were recorded has no indicia of reliability here. To the extent this is a business record, Mr. Owimrin's statement that his notes weren't very good, and we don't know about the practice of other appointment setters, I would argue, your Honor, 806 (e) is implicated. THE COURT: Let me read this about the opponent. MR. SCHMIDT: May I address that one point, your Honor? THE COURT: Not until I read this. (Pause) THE COURT: Yes. MR. SCHMIDT: Two things: One, what Mr. Owimrin meant and would be able to testify is when they say take down good notes, they complained he didn't take down enough notes, so later on he started making better notes. That is what we meant by that. It wasn't that he put down wrong stuff, he wasn't caring about what he wrote down, it was just he hadn't done enough. It is irrelevant to that point. Whether or not the source of the information or the

method and circumstance of the preparation indicate a lack of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
trustworthiness is ridiculous because if the information that
the note the appointment setter was given was not correct, then
they wouldn't be able to contact the people to make an
appointment.
         THE COURT: All right, Ms. Kearney, what is your
point?
        MS. KEARNEY: That is incorrect. The only information
you need to contact the victim is the name and number.
         THE COURT: I already discarded that last phrase.
        Go ahead.
        MS. KEARNEY: The majority of these records are from
2014, which is the beginning of the phase where Mr. Schmidt now
says his notes weren't very good and they got better over time.
         THE COURT: All right. I am going to allow this in,
but with a limiting instruction. Bring the jury in.
trying to make sure that --
        MS. KEARNEY: If it is coming in, your Honor, the
government does not request a limiting instruction. We prefer
your Honor not give one.
         THE COURT: All right. Fine.
        MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, if I understand correctly,
is the government saying they want to use the hearsay --
         THE COURT: The government is saying given my ruling,
they don't want a limiting instruction. Obviously, that lets
```

them cross him on these documents.

```
1
               MS. KEARNEY: Given your Honor's ruling, we withdraw
      our objection to the admission of this document.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: All right. So given the gamesmanship,
 4
     Mr. Smith, are you still proffering it?
 5
               MR. SCHMIDT: Yes.
6
               THE COURT: Bring the jury in. How much longer do you
 7
     have, sir?
               MR. SCHMIDT: Obviously, with all of the objections --
8
9
               THE COURT: It is no different than anything we have
10
      been doing so far. It doesn't add any time.
11
               MR. SCHMIDT: If I have to do this the way to avoid
12
      objections, your Honor, we probably have like two or three more
13
     hours to go.
14
               THE COURT: Jury entering.
15
               (Jury present)
               THE COURT: Mr. Owimrin, if you would take the stand
16
17
      again, you may. You may be seated in the courtroom.
18
     Mr. Schmidt, you may continue with your examination.
19
               What do you want this document to be marked?
20
               MR. SCHMIDT: 80C 01 through 80C 35.
21
               (Defendant's Exhibits 80C 01 through 80C 35 received
22
      in evidence)
     BY MR. SCHMIDT:
23
24
          I ask you to look at 80C 01 in evidence.
25
               THE COURT: Mr. Owimrin has reminding me he is still
```

- 1 under oath. Sir, next question.
- 2 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 3 Q. Andrew, the information on the top that says William
- 4 Frederick Ryan and the phone name. Where did that come from?
 - A. That came from the appointment setter.
- 6 | Q. What does Paramount mean after that?
- 7 A. At that time we were using Paramount and Olive Branch. It
- 8 was directed by Bill Sinclair depending on which lead it was to
- 9 | call, either Paramount or Olive Branch, they were appointments
- 10 | I would put in the subject.
- 11 | Q. So the name Paramount or Olive Branch, did that determine
- 12 | what merchant account any credit card was put under?
- 13 A. Yes.

- 14 | Q. So if the word "Paramount" is on there, what does that mean
- 15 | to you when you speak to the customer?
- 16 | A. It just means that's the merchant account that they would
- 17 be using if they were to be a purchaser or charge.
- 18 | THE COURT: Why is that relevant to you?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Just because we have to let the
- 20 potential client know how it would show up on their statements
- 21 | if there was a charge or what the contract would look like. If
- 22 | it was going through the Paramount merchant account, it would
- 23 | say Paramount. If it was Olive Branch, it would be the Olive
- 24 Branch contract.
- 25 BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- Q. During the time you were at Olive Branch, did they use other merchant accounts, other company names?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Can you tell us some of them.
- 5 A. Paramount, Olive Branch Marketing, Al Business Consultants,
- 6 Al, there is a second Al. I forget what it is called now.
- 7 | Business Development Center was one that we use as a merchant
- 8 account, and Sunset, those are the ones I remember off the top
- 9 of my head.
- 10 | Q. Now, did you have any understanding of what those other
- 11 ones, what kind of companies they were?
- 12 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. Were you responsible in any way in determining the merchant
- 17 | account used or company name used?
- 18 | THE COURT: Did you determine which merchant account
- 19 or company name was to be used?
- 20 THE WITNESS: No.
- 21 THE COURT: Next.
- 22 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 23 | Q. Looking at this, the information after the date, where does
- 24 | that information come from?
- 25 A. That comes from me or the sales rep usually. This one

- 1 | right here came from me.
- 2 | Q. Now, look at 2.
- 3 | A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Now, there is up on the top subject line says, "Bill
- 5 | no-show." What does that mean?
- 6 A. That means they were a no-show, somebody tried calling them
- 7 and they no-showed, they didn't pick up the first time they
- 8 | tried calling.
- 9 | Q. The information under the date --
- THE COURT: Why Bill no-show?
- 11 | THE WITNESS: I think that Bill portion has to do with
- 12 Yahoo.
- THE COURT: You don't think Bill is Sinclair?
- 14 THE WITNESS: No.
- 15 THE COURT: Next.
- 16 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 17 | Q. So the information below was something that you would have
- 18 put in or somebody else had to put in?
- 19 A. That would be what I put in.
- 20 | Q. Does that mean at some point you were able to talk with
- 21 | him?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. When there was a no-show for the specific appointment,
- 24 | would you or the salesperson immediately give up?
- 25 A. No. Either myself or the appointment center would try to

- IB1JKET3 Owimrin - direct call back. 1 2 Q. I'd ask you --3 THE COURT: When it says CC were maxed, what is CC? THE WITNESS: Their credit cards were maxed. 4 THE COURT: What is maxed? 5 6 THE WITNESS: Maxed out, that means they were at their 7 limit, they had no more available credit. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 BY MR. SCHMIDT: 10 Did you attempt to open a credit card for them? 11 Α. No. 12 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. 13 THE COURT: If he remembers, I will allow it. 14 THE WITNESS: I remember at this time --15 THE COURT: Sir? 16 THE WITNESS: No. 17 THE COURT: Next. BY MR. SCHMIDT: 18 19 Q. Did there come a time that you did help customers to open 20 credit cards? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How long were you at Olive Branch before that happened, 23 approximately?

- 24 From about, I'd say, almost a year.
 - How often did you do that?

- 1 A. I didn't do it very often.
- 2 | Q. Was there anybody you were aware of on the sales floor who
- 3 | did that very often?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Who was that?
- 6 A. Peter DiQuarto.
- 7 Q. Now, on this one, did these people -- what was the result
- 8 of your conversation with this person?
- 9 A. The result of this was it wasn't a sale. We just, they had
- 10 | investment.
- 11 THE COURT: How do you know that? Do you know that
- 12 | from this document or you remember this particular couple and
- 13 | know it from your experience?
- 14 | THE WITNESS: We're talking about three now, AC or --
- 15 | THE COURT: Three, I am sorry, turning to three now,
- 16 | the same question, how do you know that there was no sale?
- 17 THE WITNESS: If there was typically a sale, I would
- 18 put in the description sold or sale and the amount.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Next question.
- 20 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 21 | Q. Let's skip to 80C 06. Now, you see the words under the
- 22 date. Is that something that you put there?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Now, what is an Amazon affiliate site?
- 25 A. It would be an affiliate website, affiliate website with

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Amazon.com. You could have your own personal website and sell
 Amazon products on there. Amazon would be acting a as job
 share.
 - Q. You testified earlier you would be selling basically products for people who already had an open company. What were you told? What type of companies would have been opened on behalf before you spoke to them?

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- Q. What type of company? Were you trained when you are selling the product to learn what the company you were selling the products for?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What kind of company did you come in contact with?

What kind of company, to your knowledge, while you

- were at Olive Branch you were selling products for?
- 18 A. It was three at that time, typically three, merchant,
- 19 businesses processing businesses, Amazon affiliate websites and
- 20 drop ship websites businesses.
- Q. Now, let's skip to 08. Below Amy set appointment and found in premier choice richy, there is --
- 23 | THE COURT: Put 08 up, please.
- 24 | Q. -- did you put some of the information down on this?
- 25 A. Yeah, I put the sale amount and the LLC, potential LLC

IB1JKET3

Owimrin - direct

1339

- names that she would have and obviously her account number or 1 2 the payment.
- 3 So what kind of funds were used to make a sale?
- This was either a direct wire or a check. It looks like it 4
- 5 was a direct wire. I didn't have a check number on there so
- direct wire or wire transfer. 6
- 7 Q. Now, I ask you to take a look at 9. Did this document tell
- 8 you who made the sale?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Why was this document be on your website?
- 11 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. Objection. I am confused by
- 12 the question.
- 13 THE COURT: Why was this document on your web --
- 14 MR. SCHMIDT: I'll rephrase the question.
- 15 THE COURT: Rephrase it.
- 16 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 17 Why would a sale by Louis Anderson be on your calendar?
- 18 For an upsell, to try to sell more product.
- 19 So you say the first sale, it was the -- was the
- 20 information that says sales -- withdrawn.
- 21 What were you supposed to do with this information?
- 22 I was supposed to figure out what type of products she had
- 23 bought with Louis, trying to figure out what she bought
- 24 previously and I would see what she would need or potentially
- 25 need.

1 Q. What is an upsell? It is a second sell. 2 Α. 3 THE COURT: Selling more product? 4 THE WITNESS: Selling more product. 5 THE COURT: Getting more business? 6 THE WITNESS: Getting more business. 7 THE COURT: You were a salesman? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: That was your job? 10 THE WITNESS: It was, yes. 11 BY MR. SCHMIDT: 12 Does this indicate whether or not that upsell was 13 successful? 14 Α. Yes. Was it successful? 15 Q. 16 Α. No. 17 THE COURT: And again if I understand your testimony, 18 you know it wasn't successful because there was no statement on 19 this that you sold her anything more, is that it? 20 THE WITNESS: No. This one in the subject bar, it 21 says broke. 22 THE COURT: It says broke? What does that mean? 23 THE WITNESS: We just used simple words to notify if 24 it was broke are or broken leads. She had no funds or she just 25 didn't want to move forward. She just could have --

1 THE COURT: You say broke? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It could have been broke, like 2 3 physically broke or it could have been mean a broken lead in 4 the sense of they do not wish to purchase any more products 5 until they see some results. BY MR. SCHMIDT: 6 7 I ask you to take a look at 11. Did you make a sale here? THE COURT: How many more of these do you have, sir? 8 9 There were 35 separate pages here? 10 MR. SCHMIDT: I going to probably do another 10 or so, 11 your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Move on. 13 MR. SCHMIDT: I am trying to do as best I can. 14 THE COURT: These are in evidence. You can make 15 whatever argument you want. 16 MR. SCHMIDT: There are explanations in here. 17 THE COURT: Your time! BY MR. SCHMIDT: 18 19 I ask you to look at No. 11. Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Did you make the sale there? 22 Α. I did. 23 Why there? What do the names under usual sale name? 0. 24 On 11? Α. 25 11? Q.

- 1 A. The three business names.
- 2 | Q. Yes.?
- 3 A. That was for potential LLC names.
- 4 Q. Did you sell a lot of LLCs during your time at Olive
- 5 | Branch?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You have heard the testimony of the witnesses who purchased
- 8 | LLCs about what the pitch was to them about the LLC or the LLC
- 9 was used for. Do you remember those things?
- 10 | A. Yes, I do.
- 11 | Q. Was that accurate?
- 12 A. Yes, to my knowledge.
- 13 | Q. Now, when it says don't, don't call for a reminder, what
- 14 does that mean?
- 15 A. This client just did not wish -- if she had an appointment
- 16 set, we didn't need to call them to remind them the day before.
- 17 | This particular client did not want the call to -- (inaudible).
- 18 Q. I ask you to take a look at 12. Underneath found on JF
- 19 | marketing, right, other than happy. Is that something that you
- 20 | wrote?)
- 21 | A. At 12?
- 22 | Q. 12, yes?
- 23 | A. I was on 10. Sorry. Do you want me to read it?
- 24 | Q. No. Is that something that you wrote, if you remember?
- 25 A. It looks like something that I wrote, right.

- THE COURT: Why do you say that? It is typed. Why do
 you say it looks like something you would write?
- THE WITNESS: Just because I was fairly broad with my notes. It looks like something I was writing as I was speaking
- 6 THE COURT: Next question.
- 7 BY MR. SCHMIDT:

to the client.

- Q. Now, were there customers that you spoke to that expressed their both either happiness or unhappiness with the companies
- 10 | that they had?
- 11 A. Yes.

- 12 Q. Do you have an idea of the percentage that expressed
- 13 | happiness, neutral and unhappiness?
- 14 A. I don't, I don't have a percentage. It was fairly even throughout.
- THE COURT: If you don't have a percentage, you don't have a percentage. Next.
- 18 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. I ask you to look at 13. Now, it has Andrew a thousand and Steve 997 at the bottom. Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 | Q. How did that come about?
- 23 | A. On this particular pitch, I didn't know how to pitch a
- 24 | logo, so we split. I got him on the phone to do the logo
- 25 portion of it because I didn't know, I never sold a logo, I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

Owimrin - direct

- didn't know how to describe or explain it. I got him on after

 I pitched the LLC, and we split the sale.
 - Q. Now, I ask you to look at 14.

Now, do you know what this person meant when saying supposed that 10,000 customers a site, not there yet?

A. Traffic.

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Pardon me?

MS. KEARNEY: Testifying about --

MR. SCHMIDT: Withdrawn.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- Q. What is your understanding of what that person meant?
- MS. KEARNEY: The same objection.
- 14 THE COURT: No. I will allow his understanding.
- 15 A. You're supposed to get a thousand customers or 10,000
- 16 customers to a site, but the number is not there yet, starting
- 17 | to get direct traffic to his website clicks basically.
- 18 | Q. Did your company do that?
- 19 | A. We did.
 - Q. Is that one of the products that --
- 21 A. That was one of our products, yes.
- 22 Q. I ask you to take a look at 15.
- 23 | A. Right.
- Q. Did you attempt to make any kind of pitch to this woman?
- 25 A. I did not. I did -- (inaudible) -- sorry.

1345

1 Look at No. 17. What is pitched by Andrew Owimrin on the 2 spot mean?

- 3 A. That means the appointment center called to set up an
- appointment, and the client wanted to speak right then and 4
- 5 there and didn't want to set an appointment, so they were
- transferred to whoever was available. 6
- 7 Q. Does the "going for surgery Monday" help you understand 8 what happened?
- 9 A. Yes, they were going for surgery Monday, so we just kind of 10 let go. We said we would call back at a later date after --
 - Look at 18, please. What did you sell there?
- 12 Α. I sold an LLC.

IB1JKET3

- 13 Did you sell a lot of LLCs? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.

- 15 Q. Why?
- 16 That was the product that I was able to learn.
- 17 the one I was most familiar with. That was the easiest for me
- 18 to pitch, and it was what I felt comfortable pitching.
- 19 Q. Let's look at 21. What kind of funds were used for this
- 20 sale?
- 21 It was a check, cash. Α.
- 22 I ask you to take a look at 22. This one has Youngevity on
- 23 it. Now, you talked before about the products that you and
- 24 your company sold that would help distant companies.
- 25 Youngevity doing?

1 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

2 | THE COURT: Rephrase it.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

3

6

- Q. How was Youngevity as a product different than the product that you were selling prior to Youngevity?
 - A. It was sold as a complete business, a complete website.
 - Q. Now, taking a look at this, do you think you sold any
- 8 | Youngevity packages prior to this?
- 9 A. Prior to this, no.
- 10 Q. How did the idea of Youngevity, selling Youngevity at Olive
- 11 | Branch, how were you informed that this was something that was
- 12 going to happen?
- 13 A. I was informed by Bill Sinclair, Mr. Finocchiaro and Arash
- 14 | they would be bringing in Anthony Medeiros, who would be
- 15 | explaining and introducing this new product that we could sell,
- 16 | that we will be selling.
- Q. Did they give you any information that would inform you as
- 18 | to what the basic package contained?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Would you put up Government Exhibit 234 A, Page 6. Do you
- 21 see the highlighted portion?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is this what information they gave you? Is this some of
- 24 | the information they gave you?
- 25 A. Yes, that's Youngevity.

- 1 | Q. Do you know if anything else could be sold with that?
- 2 A. Yes, they told me what products to sell with it.
- 3 Q. What kind of products could be sold or upgraded products
- 4 could be sold?
- 5 A. There is different levels of Youngevity that come with
- 6 higher levels of marketing, but add-ons would be YouTube, Press
- 7 Release, LLC, Corporate Credit Tax.
- 8 Q. Now, you have testified that one of the things that was
- 9 made very clear to you at the beginning, that they were going
- 10 | to -- there must be no earning claims when you sold the
- 11 | products that you sold prior to Youngevity, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Was there anything a little different?
- 14 Was anything explained to you a little differently as
- 15 | it related to Youngevity?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What was that?
- 18 | A. With Youngevity, we could tell these clients that after 60
- 19 | to 90 days, they could potentially receive a check or they will
- 20 receive a check, no specific amount, but a check.
- 21 | Q. Payments, was there anything discuss about after that first
- 22 | check?
- 23 A. Yes, unlike the other businesses they had, we get paid on a
- 24 monthly basis or quarterly basis with Youngevity, you would get
- 25 paid out on a biweekly basis.

Owimrin - direct

1 Who told you that? Who gave you this information?

- Anthony Medeiros. Α.
- 3 Was anyone, was any of your employers with Mr. Medeiros
- 4 when this occurred?

IB1JKET3

5 Α. Yes.

- What was your understanding of how the process would take 6
- 7 place when somebody bought your deal on Youngevity?
- When they purchased the Youngevity program, they would get 8
- 9 a website that would take up to 10 to 14 business days. They
- 10 would get a variety, a sample of products they could choose to
- 11 sell on their website during that same time period, 10 to 14
- 12 business days, then the marketing and the advertising would
- 13 take 60 to 90 days to kick in and actually start generating
- 14 traffic for these clients or potential clients.
- 15 Q. Were you familiar with Youngevity prior to this?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 Now, did anybody in the business, to your knowledge, also 0.
- 18 buy Youngevity package?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 0. Who?
- 21 Bill Sinclair, Michael Finocchiaro, Arash Ketabchi. Α.
- 22 Now, who were the people who were supposed to build a
- 23 website and provide the marketing and the social media, et
- 24 cetera?
- 25 Anthony Medeiros and his fulfillment team.

- Q. What was your expectation that would happen if you sold a Youngevity package to a customer?
- A. That they would get everything that was promised to them,
 they would get their website, their marketing and they would
- Q. Now, we talked about previously people making either
 charge-back requests or complaints. Did you see any
 charge-back requests related to Youngevity while you were at
- 9 | Olive Branch?

make money.

- 10 A. Not, not, not till later.
- Q. Now, were you told to change your statement about
 Youngevity at any point while you were selling it?
- 13 | A. Yes.

5

- 14 | Q. Could you tell us about that.
- A. Yes. Bill Sinclair had called a meeting with the office,
 and he told us that Anthony Medeiros and his fulfillment team
 was having trouble keeping up with the volume of sales and
- getting the websites built, so instead of saying 60 to 90 days,

we would have to stick with 90 days, it would take 90 days just

- 20 because they were backed up in actually getting the products
- 21 completed or services completed.
- 22 Q. Were you involved in any way of payments to the Youngevity
- 23 owners of the money that they were supposed to get for selling
- 24 | the product?
- 25 A. No.

- What was your understanding about what a person who 1
- purchased a Youngevity package needed to do to get their
- 3 website up and running and the marketing and social media done?
- Nothing. 4 Α.

- 5 Who told you that? Ο.
- Anthony Medeiros and Bill Sinclair, Michael Finocchiaro, 6
- 7 Arash Ketabchi.
- When you were selling media, social media and marketing 8
- 9 packages for other companies, all right, to people --
- 10 withdrawn.
- 11 Prior to Youngevity and after Youngevity, when you
- 12 sold things such as YouTube or marketing or SEO, search -- or
- 13 social media packages to people, were those people required to
- 14 do anything to get those products?
- 15 Α. No.
- 16 Who was supposed to do all of those things? 0.
- 17 Our fulfillment team. Α.
- 18 Q. Now, could a person purchase a Youngevity package
- 19 participate in ways that might increase their chances of
- 20 earning more money?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Ο. How would that be?
- They would be able to direct people to their website, they 23
- 24 could advertise on their website, they could physically go
- 25 door-to-door and sell products, or they could have people sign

- up and get a Youngevity website themselves. There are three ways basically.
- Q. I ask you to take a look at 23. Do you see the bottom line of 23, is that something that you wrote in?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What did you mean by that?
- A. I told to reach out to coach for answers. He was asking me questions, but I didn't have answer for him so I told him to
- 9 reach out to his coaches or whoever he spoke to prior.
- 10 Q. Were there times when you actually spoke to customers that
- 11 | you sold to to help get them to start communicating with their
- 12 | coaches?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How would that happen?
- 15 A. I would get either a notification from Bill, Fino, one of
- 16 the secretaries, or I could get a notification on our
- 17 | messenger, we used Skype at the time, the fulfillment team
- 18 would each out and ask us to try to call them so they could get
- 19 them on their fulfillment call and get them on their
- 20 appointments.
- 21 | Q. I ask you to take a look at 25. Do you see how the pitch
- 22 of \$9,997.00 dropped to \$7,997.00?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | 0. How would that have occurred?
- 25 A. That would have occurred because he didn't want to go with

Owimrin - direct

- that highest package or that high package, so we would have lowered some of the weeks, a size of the SEO, social media marketing, and we would lower that product, approximately throwing a complimentary or free press release it says here, so we would drop the price to get the sale.
 - Q. Did many of the products have different levels?
- A. Yes.

THE COURT: Was it your decision when to reduce the price, drop products and reduce the price?

THE WITNESS: If it was just dropping the tier of the product, it was a business plan, and for me to drop it from gold tear to a silver tier, I could make that decision, but if I was just changing the price in general, let's say LLC, which is 1200, if I wanted to sell it for 600, I had to ask for permission to do that.

- Q. Was there some flexibility that you were allowed to have before you had to ask permission, say, for an LLC, for example?

 A. Yeah, we were allowed to go down to it was either 700 or \$900.00 before we had to ask. I think it was dependent on the state.
- 21 THE COURT: Next question.
- 22 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 23 Q. Now, I ask you to take a look at 31.
 - THE COURT: How many more of these do you have because you're over your estimate already and I want to move forward

1 here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. SCHMIDT: I apologize, your Honor. I am moving as fast as I can.

THE COURT: How many more of these do you have?

MR. SCHMIDT: I have three more, including this one.

THE COURT: That is essentially every one. Let's go.

Next question.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- Q. I ask you to look at 31.
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Now, did you make a sale here?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. Did you have a number of people that you spoke with that
- 14 were having problems with their fulfillment of the companies
- 15 | that they purchased from?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. How would you deal with that?
- 18 | A. Either, let's say, here can't get a website, part of the
- 19 | website they get it, we were able to get permission from Bill
- 20 | to give them a free website and obviously sell them other
- 21 | products with that free website.
- 22 Also what I would do, I would tell them to reach out
- 23 | to the company that they spoke to or purchased with previously
- 24 and figure out what that issue is.
- 25 | Q. I ask you to take a look at 33. Do you recognize the name

- 1 of it, don't you?
- 2 | A. I do.
- 3 | Q. Did you sell her the Youngevity, the Corporate Credit and
- 4 | the Silver Bookkeeping on September 17th, 2014?
- 5 A. I don't recall when I sold her on that September 17th. I
- 6 did do the upsell.
- 7 | Q. You did sell, you did sell Diane Weissenberger?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. Now, when you went to work with Arash, you went to work for
- 10 | the company called A1. Is that right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. But A1 was used before while you were at Olive Branch
- 13 || wasn't?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 17 MS. KEARNEY: To form, your Honor.
- 18 | THE COURT: Rephrase it. It is unclear what you're
- 19 asking, sir.
- 20 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 21 | Q. Now, were you familiar with A1 prior to moving with Arash
- 22 | to another sales?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What was your understanding how -- what was your
- 25 understanding about the existence of A1?

1355

- 1 account, his merchant account was through A1. 2
- 3 Q. How come it was used while you were on the Olive Branch 4 floor?
 - A. Because Arash was basically partners with Bill, and although he was the manager, he, you know, he got merchants to help us conduct business.
 - Were you ever asked to open up a merchant account?
 - Α. I was.

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10 How did that come about?

month if could I do it.

11 Bill had confronted me and told me that they were having 12 issues with processing. He said we have processing, but he 13 always wants to have more, his businesses runs by processing, 14 lives by processing. He always wanted to have backup 15 processing and have more, and he asked me to apply and asked me if I wanted now to apply. I could use a few extra dollars a 16

THE COURT: What is a few extra dollars?

THE WITNESS: It would vary depending on how much I got approved for or how much the merchant account was left to put through for each month and actually how much they used it, so he said I can make anywhere from 500 to up to \$3,000 a month.

THE COURT: Depending on how much was sold?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- IB1JKET3 Owimrin - direct 1 THE COURT: To use that merchant account? THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 3 THE COURT: Sell more and make more? 4 THE WITNESS: Exactly. BY MR. SCHMIDT: 5 6 So what did you have to do first to open up a merchant 7 account? 8 Α. I had to get an LLC. 9 Q. Did you do it? 10 Α. I did not. 11 Ο. Who did it? 12 I paid for it, but our fulfillment team. 13 What happened after forming an LLC? 0. 14 You go down to the bank with your EIN number, employer Α. 15 identification number, and open up a business bank account, a business checking, business savings, and then apply for -- I 16 17 assume apply for a merchant account. I don't know. Bill had 18 me fill out a bunch of papers. 19 MS. KEARNEY: He testified he doesn't know how it 20 worked. 21 THE COURT: Let's move on. 22 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 23 Let's back up. What was the name of the LLC?
- 24 Α. It was Core Business Services.
- 25 So after you opened up the account, bank account, what was

- 1 | supposed to be done to apply for a merchant account?
- 2 | A. I gave all that information to Bill. He filled out, had me
- 3 | fill it out, and he filled out himself a booklet for a merchant
- 4 account, for an application for a merchant account.
- 5 THE COURT: In your name?
- 6 THE WITNESS: In my name, yes.
- 7 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 8 | Q. Was it in your name or your company's name?
- 9 A. The business name, Core Business, Core Business was in my
- 10 name.
- 11 Q. Did you ask anyone else that you knew to open up a merchant
- 12 | account?
- 13 | A. I did.
- 14 Q. Who did you ask?
- 15 A. I asked my girlfriend at the time if she wanted to do it.
- 16 | Q. Anybody else?
- 17 | A. Ah --
- 18 Q. I withdraw that.
- 19 Now, did you think there was anything wrong or illegal
- 20 or bad in opening up a merchant account in your name to help
- 21 | basically Olive Branch Marketing?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. Would you have asked your girlfriend to do it if you
- 24 | thought there was anything wrong?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

- 1 | THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 2 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. Did anyone else, to your knowledge, open up a merchant
- 4 account to assist in the processing for Olive Branch?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | O. Who?
- 7 A. There were several co-workers, my cousin, they asked my
- 8 aunt to open up a merchant account. I do know people that had
- 9 applied and actually had been approved and used their merchant
- 10 | accounts.
- 11 Q. Now, were you aware of the issue of Charge-backs at some
- 12 point at Olive Branch?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What was your understanding of what the charge-back was.
- 15 A. It was somebody that called their credit card companies up
- 16 and charged-back, initiated a dispute.
- 17 | Q. Did you ever see any papers relating to what the customer
- 18 | said was a dispute?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt, before we get into the
- 21 | subject of charge-backs, it is 5 of 1:00. It this a logical
- 22 point to give the jury its lunch break?
- 23 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, it is.
- 24 THE COURT: Be back by 2:00 o'clock. Keep an open
- 25 mind. Enjoy the beautiful day.

```
IB1JKET3
                                 Owimrin - direct
                (Jury excused)
 1
                THE COURT: You may step down, sir. 2:00 o'clock.
 2
 3
                (Luncheon recess)
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

IB18KET4

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 2:00 p.m. 3 (Jury not present) 4 THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt, there is an issue? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, your Honor. One of the exhibits 6 that I am going to be offering into evidence is the arrest 7 photo of Mr. Owimrin to show what he looked like when he was arrested, and it has on top supervision.uscourts.gov. He is 8 9 going to testify that this was a photo taken when he was 10 arrested on this case. 11 THE COURT: And the purpose? 12 MR. SCHMIDT: The purpose is to show this is what he 13 looked like when he was arrested. 14 THE COURT: And the purpose? 15 MR. SCHMIDT: As opposed to the photograph that the government put in and what he looked like. 16 17 THE COURT: Government. 18 MS. KEARNEY: The government sees no relevance of 19 putting in a photograph of Mr. Owimrin. They have been looking 20 at him for a week and a half. 21 THE COURT: I think that's right. Explain a little 22 more, sir. You're showing me a photograph of Mr. Owimrin. I 23 see it. Surprisingly, it looks very much like Mr. Owimrin. 24 What is the purpose? I don't mean to be flip. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: I understand, your Honor.

government chose to put in a photograph of my client when he looks really, really bad.

THE COURT: Let me see the photograph that you have.

MS. KEARNEY: We also object on 403 grounds, and I can lay that out after you have had a chance to take a look.

THE COURT: I have two photos in my hand. Which one is the one that's in evidence?

MS. KEARNEY: The one with a blue back.

THE COURT: The one that says Government Exhibit 706.

MS. KEARNEY: That's the DMV photograph.

THE COURT: That's on his license?

MS. KEARNEY: Correct. It's my understanding that was taken after his arrest.

MR. SCHMIDT: It was not taken after his arrest. It was taken before his arrest, and the photograph from the previous license was put onto the newer one after the arrest.

THE COURT: Government Exhibit 706 is in evidence put in by the government. What do you want to show, Mr. Schmidt?

Do you want them to see this picture?

MR. SCHMIDT: That is correct.

THE COURT: Let me look at it. It says on it AOL.2. On top it says supervision.uscourts.gov.

MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, he is going to be testifying shortly about his drug use, and he is going to be testifying that at the time of the arrest he was no longer using drugs.

They have a photo of him over there where there is no indication when that photo was taken.

THE COURT: Are you talking about Government Exhibit 706?

MR. SCHMIDT: Yes. I want the photograph of him taken when he was arrested.

THE COURT: AOL.2.

MR. SCHMIDT: Yes.

To confirm his testimony when he says that he was not on drugs when he was arrested.

THE COURT: How does this confirm one way or the other whether he was on drugs?

MR. SCHMIDT: It certainly distinguishes what he looked like in the government exhibit at an unknown date.

THE COURT: I understand.

Government, what difference does it make?

MS. KEARNEY: I see no relevance to this.

Based on Mr. Schmidt's opening, in which he referenced the government trying to put his client away -- I think your Honor at sidebar said he was drawing bars across him -- putting in this picture, which is clearly a mugshot, bolsters that argument for Mr. Schmidt that the government is somehow bearing down on his client and trying to put someone away.

In addition, Mr. Schmidt indicated to me when we took our lunch break that he wants that superscript at the top to

IB18KET4

prove that his client is telling the truth when he says that that was taken while he was on supervision. He is trying to bolster his client's testimony here.

MR. SCHMIDT: Judge, I want that to come in to show and to confirm that when he testifies he was not on drugs when he was arrested, his life had changed, that they don't have any kind of doubt that the photograph in the government exhibit is what he looked like at the time of his arrest. This is what he looked like. It confirms that when he was arrested his life has changed.

THE COURT: I don't think it makes much difference one way or the other. I am not going to exclude it under 403.

This is the picture, AOL.2.

MS. KEARNEY: If they would like to take a picture of Mr. Owimrin in his current state, in which I assume he is not using drugs, we would be happy to have them offer it. I don't know for what reason. But to offer something that is clearly a mugshot with a government Web site on the top implies that the government is using its resources to basically crush Mr. Schmidt's client.

MR. SCHMIDT: I don't know what you are talking about. He was arrested.

THE COURT: Bring this jury in. I will allow it. I will give the jury all the pictures of Mr. Owimrin they want.

What is your estimate now that you have had lunch to

	IB18KET4 Owimrin - Direct
1	whittle it down, sir?
2	MR. SCHMIDT: It's a long and difficult process
3	because my client has a lot of witnesses that he has to testify
4	about.
5	THE COURT: What is your estimate now that you had
6	lunch to whittle it down, sir?
7	MR. SCHMIDT: An hour and a half.
8	THE COURT: Let's move.
9	(Continued on next page)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	(Jury present)
2	ANDREW OWIMRIN, resumed.
3	THE COURT: Please be seated in the courtroom.
4	Mr. Schmidt, you may continue with your direct
5	examination of Mr. Owimrin.
6	BY MR. SCHMIDT:
7	Q. Mr. Owimrin, I am going to show you a photograph marked
8	AOL1-2.
9	THE COURT: Show it to him. Walk up here and give it
10	to him.
11	Next question.
12	There it is. It's up.
13	Q. Is that you?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. When was that photograph taken?
16	A. This is when I was arrested.
17	THE COURT: In this case?
18	THE WITNESS: In this case.
19	THE COURT: Next question.
20	MR. SCHMIDT: Could you show 706, government 706.
21	THE COURT: Is that a picture of you, sir?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: When was that taken?
24	THE WITNESS: A few years prior.
25	THE COURT: Next question.

- Q. Were you using drugs when that picture was taken?

 THE COURT: When what picture was taken, 706?
- 3 | 0. 706.
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Before you started working at Olive Branch, had you
- 6 experimented with any drugs?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What drugs?
- 9 A. Marijuana. I tried mushrooms before, and Ecstasy a couple of times as well.
- 11 Q. Had you stopped using -- were you still using marijuana
- 12 | when you first went to work at Olive Branch?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 | Q. Now, did there come a time at Olive Branch when you were
- 15 | introduced to oxycodone?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. How did that happen?
- 18 A. Michael Finocchiaro had invited me to go to a Giants game
- 19 | with him. I went with him to a New York Giants game. We were
- 20 | tailgating. He offered me a pill and I took it.
- 21 | Q. Had you known that Fino had been using drugs before then?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. What happened after that first time you used drugs with
- 24 Fino?
- 25 A. He became more comfortable showing his drug use, I guess

KET4 Owimrin - Direct

- his addiction or his habit. I saw him doing it in the office, and I would join him and do it with him.
- 3 Q. Where did you obtain these drugs?
- 4 A. From Michael Finocchiaro, as well as his friend Anthony
- 5 Medeiros, who also introduced Youngevity to us.
- 6 Q. Now, did you become addicted to them?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. How many were the most that you were taking a day?
- 9 || A. 15.
- 10 | THE COURT: 15 what?
- 11 THE WITNESS: 30 milligram Roxicet, which is like a
- 12 oxycodone.
- THE COURT: 30 milligram what?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Oxycodone, Roxicet.
- 15 | Q. Where were you obtaining those from?
- 16 A. Anthony Medeiros and Michael Finocchiaro.
- 17 | Q. How much were you paying either Anthony Medeiros or Mike
- 18 | Finocchiaro?
- 19 | A. 18 to 22 dollars each, depending on how many I got at once.
- 20 | Q. Did there come a time that you tried to stop using it?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What did you do to try to stop using it?
- 23 | A. I went to a detox, Bergen Regional Medical Center detox.
- 24 | THE COURT: When was this?
- 25 | THE WITNESS: This was in the end of winter, beginning

- 1 of spring 2015, I believe.
- 2 THE COURT: Next.
- 3 | Q. How long were you in detox?
- 4 A. About a week.
- 5 | Q. What did you do afterwards?
- 6 A. I went right back to work the day I got out.
- 7 | Q. Was Mr. Finocchiaro still working there?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Was he still doing oxycodone?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What happened after -- did you start doing oxycodone
- 12 | immediately?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Did there come a point where you started doing it again?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Was there an event that led to it?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What happened?
- 19 A. A close friend of mine got into an accident and he became
- 20 paralyzed, and it was just something that I leaned on as a
- 21 crutch.
- 22 | Q. When you started using it again, how much were you paying
- 23 | for it?
- 24 A. \$22 each.
- 25 | Q. Where were you getting it from?

- 1 A. Michael Finocchiaro and Anthony Medeiros.
- THE COURT: Approximately when was this?
- THE WITNESS: This was, I want to say --
- THE COURT: How long was it after the detox?
- 5 THE WITNESS: About six months.
- 6 THE COURT: So you were clean for about six months?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 8 THE COURT: Next.
 - Q. How were you paying for it?
- 10 | A. Cash.

- 11 | Q. Where were you getting the money?
- 12 A. From work.
- THE COURT: You mean your earnings?
- 14 | THE WITNESS: My earnings, yes, my earnings from work.
- 15 Q. Did the purchase and the use of oxycodone pills put a
- 16 | financial strain on you?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What did you do to continue paying for your drugs?
- 19 | A. I got a loan. I asked a friend if he knew anybody that
- 20 could give me a loan. He referred me to a loan shark, the
- 21 | quy's name was John, I don't know his last name.
- 22 | Q. Who is the friend?
- 23 A. Brian Shalansky.
- 24 | Q. Where did you know him from?
- 25 A. From work. He worked at The Tax Club and then he worked

1 with us.

- 2 | Q. That loan, how much were you paying off on that loan?
- 3 | A. It was about a \$10,000 loan or \$8,000 loan, and I was
- 4 | paying \$1,000 a week. They call it seven points were going to
- 5 | interest and the -- I had to give him \$1,000. \$700 would go
- 6 into his pocket and \$300 would actually go the principal debt.
- 7 | Q. Did there come a point that you were paying money for the
- 8 | loan to a person other than who you borrowed it from?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Who was that? Who did you pay that money to?
- 11 A. To Arash.
- 12 Q. How did that happen?
- 13 A. It became a little bit too much for me to -- I couldn't
- 14 | obviously afford my drug habit and \$1,000 a week loan. So I
- 15 | had asked Arash -- I actually started working for him, and I
- 16 asked if I could get a loan from him. He said no, but he said
- 17 | that his uncle would be able to do it. So I got a loan from
- 18 his uncle to pay off the loan shark. Then I had to pay off his
- 19 | uncle with an agreed upon \$1500 a month rather than a \$1,000 a
- 20 week.
- 21 | Q. At what interest rate?
- 22 A. What interest rate for his uncle?
- 23 | O. Yes.
- 24 A. Like I said, it was \$1500 a month.
- 25 | Q. For how long?

- 1 | A. 12 months.
- 2 | Q. How much money was borrowed?
- 3 | A. \$10,000.
- 4 | Q. How was the money collected?
- $5 \parallel A. Arash.$
- 6 Q. How did he collect that money?
- 7 A. He took it out of my pay; he took it out of my earnings.
- 8 Q. How were you paid when you worked there, was it salary or
- 9 | commission?
- 10 | A. Commission.
- 11 | Q. At that time, did you have any kind of arrangements with
- 12 | any of the workers about how you would split the commissions?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What was that?
- 15 A. Me and my cousin Reagan were the only two sales reps on the
- 16 || floor at that time, so we came up with an agreement that
- 17 | whatever he sold we would split, and whatever I sold we would
- 18 | also split our commission.
- 19 | Q. Now, did there come a time that you no longer could afford
- 20 | to use Oxycontin?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. What did you start doing?
- 23 A. Heroin.
- 24 | Q. How long did you do that?
- 25 A. It seems like a long time, but it was -- obviously I

- 1 | couldn't even give you -- maybe three, four months.
- THE COURT: When did you start that?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Pretty much right when we moved from
- 4 | Clifton to work for Arash.
- 5 THE COURT: When was that?
- 6 THE WITNESS: This was in late 2015.
- 7 | THE COURT: All right. Next.
 - Q. Did there come a time you went into detox again?
- 9 | A. Yes.

- 10 | Q. Approximately when was that?
- 11 A. That was in, I want to say spring of 2016.
- 12 | Q. Now, after you came out of detox there, did you go back to
- 13 | work for Arash?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Where did you go?
- 16 A. I went to work for Bill Sinclair at Consumer Shield.
- 17 | Q. When you went back to Bill Sinclair, what happened in
- 18 regards to drug use?
- 19 A. I started using again.
- 20 Q. Where did you get it from?
- 21 A. Michael Finocchiaro and Anthony Medeiros.
- 22 | Q. Did there come a point when you left them?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. After you left them, did you continue using drugs?
- 25 A. For a short period of time.

- 1 | Q. When you were arrested were you using drugs?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Have you used drugs since that time?
- 4 A. I was smoking marijuana at that time, but I was not doing
- 5 opiates or heroin.
- Q. After you were arrested, have you been using any kind of
- 7 drug?
- 8 | A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. I want to ask you to take a look at Exhibit 140.
- 10 You see that exhibit?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. Now, you see what was sold to Ms. LaMorte?
- 13 A. Yes. It looks like Youngevity.
- 14 | Q. Did you sell any other product that had that paragraph?
- 15 A. Yes. I added corporate credit.
- 16 | Q. The part that has starter kit, etc., up to corporate
- 17 | credit, is that paragraph unique to the Youngevity sales?
- 18 A. Yes. That's the Youngevity products.
- 19 Q. Now, when you sold Youngevity, did the customer, the
- 20 potential customer -- withdrawn.
- 21 You explained what was the difference between selling
- 22 | the Youngevity package with the other items in relation to
- 23 statements concerning earnings. Do you remember that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Did some of the potential customers ask you to give them an

- 1 estimate of how much they were going to make?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 \parallel Q. How would they do that?
- 4 A. They would just ask me, how much am I going to make, give
- 5 me a number. They would push for me to give them an amount of
- 6 how much they would make.
- 7 | Q. What was your response to that?
- 8 A. My response was, I can't. I would literally tell them it's
- 9 | illegal for me to make earnings claims. I can't promise you.
- 10 | There are so many different variables that come into play. I
- 11 | couldn't predict how much they would make.
- 12 | Q. If the potential customers were persistent, what would you
- 13 | say?
- 14 A. I would give them examples of how much clients have made
- 15 previously with us using Youngevity.
- 16 Q. What kind of amounts would you talk about?
- 17 | A. A couple hundred dollars, \$200, \$300, \$800. We had one
- 18 client, to my knowledge, that earned \$3,000. It varied.
- 19 | Q. So how did it come to your attention that the customer made
- 20 | \$3,000?
- 21 | A. Management -- Arash Ketabchi, Bill Sinclair, Michael
- 22 || Finocchiaro -- would notify us when a check would come in for
- 23 whatever client that had Youngevity.
- 24 | Q. As to that one person who made that large amount of money,
- 25 | how did you hear of that?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Owimrin - Direct

- A. They announced it to the office. They showed

 us -- actually, Arash Ketabchi lifted up the check at his desk

 and showed us. They got the guy on a conference call, and he

 was speaking about how he made money.
 - THE COURT: This was a check made out to the purchaser of Youngevity?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Why did Arash have a check made out to somebody else?

THE WITNESS: Basically, the checks would go to the office first and they would distribute it. Because they were, I guess, the top of the --

THE COURT: Pyramid?

THE WITNESS: Pyramid.

- Q. Did you hear anything about whether Mike, Arash or Bill invested in Youngevity?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What did you hear?
- 19 A. They all had -- they all invested in Youngevity.
- 20 Q. Did you have a conversation with Arash about investing in
- 21 | Youngevity?
- 22 | A. Yes. I asked him if I could invest in Youngevity myself.
- 23 | Q. Why did you ask him that?
- 24 A. To try and make some extra money.
- 25 Q. What did he say?

25

1 Α. He said no. At that time, did you have any reason to disbelieve what 2 Q. 3 Bill, Mike or Arash were saying about Youngevity? 4 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. 5 THE COURT: Sustained. Did you believe them? 6 Ο. 7 Α. Yes. 8 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. What time period? 9 THE COURT: Pardon me? 10 MS. KEARNEY: What time period are we talking about? 11 THE COURT: What time period? 12 THE WITNESS: When Youngevity pretty much first 13 started. 14 Q. How many times did you hear about money going to customers 15 who purchased Youngevity during the first eight months of Youngevity being sold? 16 17 THE COURT: What were the first eight months, what 18 time period are we talking about? When was Youngevity being 19 sold early on? 20 THE WITNESS: From when Youngevity started or when we 21 got it? 22 THE COURT: From when you were selling it. 23 THE WITNESS: Right when we first got Youngevity, or

was introduced to Youngevity, about two weeks after being introduced to it we were selling it.

- THE COURT: On the calendar, when was that?
- THE WITNESS: That was probably late or early 2015
- 3 to -- probably early 2015, to my knowledge.
- 4 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, I would like to show Mr.
- 5 Owimrin Defense Exhibit AOC 22.
- 6 THE COURT: Next question.
- 7 Q. Now, do you have a better memory of when actually a sale of
- 8 | Youngevity first took place at Olive Branch?
- 9 A. September, end of September 2014. So late 2014, early
- 10 2015.
- 11 | Q. You heard the testimony in the video of Charlene Foster.
- 12 | Did you sell Charlene Foster?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. SCHMIDT: Would you put up Exhibit No. 102.
- 15 | Q. What did you sell Charlene Foster?
- 16 A. An LLC, a business plan, corporate credit, tax prep, and we
- 17 gave her a free laptop.
- 18 | Q. What would that mean as to what she had already owned if
- 19 | you sold her those products?
- 20 A. She would have a business already, that's what it would
- 21 mean, a running business.
- 22 | Q. Now, would these items here necessarily provide a regular
- 23 | earnings from these products?
- 24 A. These products wouldn't generate you an income, no. You
- 25 | wouldn't make money off of these products. The LLC could help

- 1 | with legitimacy, but it wouldn't make you money.
- Q. Now, at the time that you were selling these products, were
- 3 you aware of other products that were being sold that would
- 4 provide earnings to a customer?
 - MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 7 Q. Would you sell products like these to someone who did not
- 8 have an actual -- withdrawn.
- 9 Would you sell these products to somebody if you
- 10 | didn't believe they had an actual business?
- 11 | A. No.

- 12 | Q. Why not?
- 13 A. There is no point in having them if you don't have a
- 14 | business.
- 15 | Q. And when you sold this to Ms. Foster on or about the 15th
- 16 day of October 2015, what was your belief or understanding of
- 17 | whether she had a business at that time?
- 18 A. She had a business at that time.
- 19 Q. Do you know what the business was?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What was it?
- 22 A. Youngevity.
- 23 | Q. Did you sell her Youngevity?
- 24 | A. I did.
- 25 | Q. When did you sell her Youngevity?

- 1 A. At Olive Branch, maybe a month prior.
 - Q. When you talked with her --
- 3 THE COURT: So your testimony is, if I understand you
- 4 correctly, that by selling her Youngevity, she was engaged in
- 5 conducting a business of her own, is that right?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 7 | THE COURT: All right.
- 8 Q. So when you talked with her, did you have any trouble in
- 9 communications?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Now, after this sale, did you receive your commission for
- 12 | it?
- 13 | A. I did.
- 14 Q. What happened to that commission?
- 15 \parallel A. I had to give it back.
- 16 | Q. Why?
- 17 A. Because she charged back; she canceled.
- 18 Q. How did you know that?
- 19 A. Arash Ketabchi informed me that she charged back.
- 20 | Q. How were you paid for your commission?
- 21 A. By check.
- 22 | Q. How did you pay back Mr. Ketabchi?
- 23 A. By cash.
- 24 | THE COURT: What was the amount of your commission on
- 25 | the sale of Youngevity to Ms. Foster?

IB18KET4

Owimrin - Direct

- 1 | THE WITNESS: It would have been 10 percent.
- 2 | THE COURT: And what was that?
- THE WITNESS: That was a \$15,000 sale or 10,000. It
- 4 | would have been 10 percent. So it would have been either
- 5 | \$1,000 or \$1500.
- 6 Q. Did you ever learn whether or not the chargeback for
- 7 Ms. Foster was reversed?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you ever receive any money back from Mr. Ketabchi?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you ever speak to Ms. Foster after that?
- 12 A. No, I did not.
- 13 Q. Were the products that you sold her, did that include
- 14 | coaching and training?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Who would be involved in that activity, the coaching and
- 17 | training?
- 18 A. The fulfillment center, the fulfillment team.
- 19 Q. Now, do you have any idea of how much the fulfillment team
- 20 | is paid to fulfill contracts that you or other salesmen entered
- 21 | into with customers?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. Did you know how much, either at Olive Branch when you were
- 24 | there or with Mr. Ketabchi when you were there, how much they
- 25 were paying for leads to customers?

IB18KET4

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. Did you know how much they were paying, the percentage, for
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. Now, you have heard the testimony of Diane Weissenberger,
- 6 correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you sell her?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you up-sell her?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Now, Diane Weissenberger testified that you or someone said
- 13 | that the goal for the first year in earnings was six figures.
- 14 Do you remember her testifying to that?
- 15 A. I do remember.
- 16 | Q. Did you say that?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 Q. Would you ever say anything like that for a Youngevity
- 19 product?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did you ever say anything like that for any product?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. Now, who was the first person who caused you to know the
- 24 | name of Jane Thompson?
- 25 A. Emily Miller and Arash.

- 1 | Q. Now, did you sell to Jane Thompson?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- MR. SCHMIDT: Can you put up the first contract,
- 4 please.

5

- Q. Do you recall the products that you sold Jane Thompson?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. What were the products that you sold Jane Thompson?
- A. It was the normal products I usually sold: LLC, business plan, corporate credit, tax services.
- 10 Q. Now, what would that mean to you that you sold those products?
- 12 A. That would mean that she already had a business.
- 13 Q. Do you remember the conversation that you had with Ms.
- 14 | Thompson when you were trying to sell her some of the products?
- 15 | A. I do.
- 16 Q. Would you tell us about the conversation you had with her?
- 17 A. From what I remember, she had a merchant processing
- 18 | business and another business, I believe it was an affiliate
- 19 | market Web site, and she was excited. She was excited about
- 20 | having the opportunity to work from home and she was excited
- 21 about these endeavors that she was pursuing.
- 22 Q. Would you have made any earnings claims --
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 24 | Q. Did you make any earning claims to --
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

- 1 | Q. -- Ms. Thompson relating to that sale?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Now, after you made that sale, did you become aware of
- 4 | another sale to her from A1?
- 5 A. After I made that sale? Repeat the question. I'm sorry.
- 6 0. This is Government Exhibit 163.
- 7 You see that the date of this sale is the 29th of
- 8 December?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And you see the products that are being sold?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Do you recall if you or another salesperson made this sale?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Who made this sale?
- 15 A. Connor Swanson.
- 16 | Q. Who was Connor Swanson?
- 17 | A. That was my cousin, Reagan Owimrin.
- 18 Q. Was Connor Swanson his phone name?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Did you adopt a different phone name when you left Olive
- 21 | Branch and went to A1?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What name did you adopt?
- 24 A. Jonathan Stewart.
- 25 | Q. Why did you adopt a different name?

- A. Because we switched companies, just Arash told us to change names. We were working for different companies. It was a
- different ownership, different people, so he told us that we need to switch our names.
- Q. Now, during the time that you were at Olive Branch, did you use any other name other than Andrew Owens when you were selling?
- 8 A. Not when I was selling, no.
- 9 Q. When you were at A1, did you use Jonathan Stewart when you 10 were selling?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you give out your cell phone number to people while you
- 13 were at Olive Branch?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What was the name on the cell phone?
- 16 A. Andrew Owimrin.
- Q. When you gave out your cell phone to people while you were working at Al, what was the name on the cell phone?
- A. I actually might have switched over to my mother's name,

 Daphney Owimrin.
- Q. Did you try to make your, I guess your identification restricted on your phone?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. So that telephone number that came up, unless somebody put in a different name, the first time it would come up with

- 1 Daphney Owimrin while you were at A1, is that right?
- 2 A. If they had caller ID, yes.
- 3 Q. When you were at Olive Branch, the name that would have
- 4 came up, if they called that number, would have been Andrew
- 5 | Owimrin?

- 6 A. Correct, if they had caller ID.
 - Q. Now, why did you go with Arash to the other company?
- 8 A. For two reasons. One, the business was kind of slowing
- 9 down at Olive Branch; we were struggling to get leads,
- 10 processing. Arash and Bill had gotten into some type of
- 11 | disagreements that I didn't know about, didn't know what they
- 12 | were about, but they got into a disagreement. Arash decided he
- 13 was going to start his own company, and he asked me and my
- 14 cousin Reagan to go him. He was my cousin's fiance so I really
- 15 | didn't have a choice.
- 16 | O. Where was it located?
- 17 | A. In his basement in their home, my cousin at his home.
- 18 | Q. Was there an expectation that you were going to stay there
- 19 or move?
- 20 | A. We were going to move. He had plans on getting an office.
- 21 | It was just an in-between place that we were going to be until
- 22 we got our feet on the ground.
- 23 | Q. By the way, by the time that you left Olive Branch, did
- 24 | Olive Branch have more space than that one area that was
- 25 discussed by Mr. Sinclair?

- 1 A. Yes, much more.
- 2 | Q. What else did they have?
- 3 A. They had an office across the hall that had two rooms in
- 4 | it, and they had a whole office space downstairs that had an
- 5 office that was the size of basically the two offices upstairs,
- 6 and then a basement that was a part of that.
- 7 | Q. Do you know what was going on in the other offices?
- 8 | A. Yes.

- 0. What?
- 10 A. In the office upstairs that was across the hall was where
- 11 | we put the appointment setters because we had hired more sales
- 12 reps, or they had hired more sales reps, so they put the
- 13 appointment setters separate.
- 14 Then downstairs he opened up strictly an SEO floor,
- 15 | search engine optimization floor. It's like a Google floor;
- 16 | they were just selling people Google, SEL.
- 17 Q. Now, that sale, again, what was necessary for Connor to
- 18 | make a sale of those items?
- 19 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 Q. What does the sale of the YouTube, social media package,
- 22 | SEO/SEM, and custom merchant Web site mean to you?
- 23 | A. That means that she had a merchant processing business.
- 24 | Q. Now, when you talked to customers, other than the first
- 25 couple of weeks when you didn't have a phone, when you went for

- a sale initially, did you speak to them on an office phone or your cell phone?
- 3 A. My cell phone.
- 4 | Q. When was that?
- 5 A. That was when we first moved from Olive Branch to Arash's
- 6 basement.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . Why was that?
- 8 A. We didn't have phone systems set up yet.
- 9 Q. When the phone systems were set up, what did you do?
- 10 A. We used the office phones.
- 11 | Q. Did you use your cell phone at some point?
- 12 | A. Occasionally, yes.
- 13 Q. Did you give out your cell phone number to customers you
- 14 | thought that it would be helpful to have it?
- 15 A. If they asked for it, I would give them my cell phone
- 16 | number. I wouldn't just offer it, but if they asked, I would
- 17 give it to them.
- 18 Q. Now, I ask you to take a look at 165, on page 8.
- 19 Do you remember talking to Ms. Thompson on that date?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Now, looking at these notes there, can you tell us what you
- 22 | talked to her about?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- MR. SCHMIDT: I will withdraw that question.
- 25 Q. Could you tell us what you talked to her about?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

Owimrin - Direct

- A. I spoke about the products I sold her -- the LLC, business plan, corporate credit -- the first initial sale.
 - Q. Based on Exhibit SP4, which is the telephone chart, would it be fair to say that --

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Change the phrasing.

Go ahead.

- Q. Do you remember if you talked to her with your cell phone or the office phone?
- A. My cell phone.
- 11 Q. I ask you to look at SP4.
 - MS. KEARNEY: Objection. The witness has answered.
- MR. SCHMIDT: He answered that question, but I have another question.
- 15 THE COURT: He can show him whatever he wants.

Go ahead.

- Q. Do you see the chart of the telephone calls made with your cell phone?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you tell us if you spoke with Ms. Thompson on your
- 21 cell phone or on your office phone?
- 22 A. My cell phone.
- 23 | 0. Where is it listed there?
- 24 A. The first three 1/5/16 --
- 25 Q. We are talking about now --

- 1 MR. SCHMIDT: Could we show page 9 of 165.
- 2 | Q. You see the date on there?
- 3 A. December 17.
- 4 Q. On that date, do you recall whether you spoke to her on
- 5 your cell phone or on your landline?
- 6 A. Landline.
- 7 Q. Were there times that you spoke with Ms. Thompson on your
- 8 cell phone?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | O. After that?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Now, you said you had communication with a person named
- 13 | Emily Miller, is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Prior to going to A1, were you familiar with that name at
- 16 | all?
- 17 A. I was familiar with the name, yes.
- 18 | Q. How were you familiar with that name?
- 19 A. We would see it in lead lists, in appointments; an
- 20 appointment setter would just copy and paste whatever was in
- 21 | the lead list, and you would see the previous sales rep and
- 22 previous company and you would see her name a lot.
- 23 Q. Did there come a time that you communicated with her on the
- 24 | telephone?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. How did that occur?
- 2 A. That happened through Arash when we moved into his basement
- 3 | to work for A1. She sent him over some leads and she had, I
- 4 | believe, either texted or called me to explain to me what those
- 5 | leads were, what they had purchased, and things of that nature.
- 6 Q. Now, after the sale that you made and the sale that Connor
- 7 | made to Jane Thompson, was there any other products that you
- 8 | knew of that was available to be sold to Jane Thompson?
- 9 | A. No, sir.
- 10 | Q. The products that you sold, at the time that you sold them
- 11 | to Jane Thompson, did you think that they were real?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you think they were fulfilled and being serviced?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. The products that Connor sold to Ms. Thompson, did you
- 16 | think that they were real?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you think that they were being serviced?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, you did participate in other sales with Ms. Thompson,
- 21 | didn't you?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 \ Q. How did it come about, after the sales by you and Connor,
- 24 | that other sales happened?
- 25 A. Could you repeat that?

- Q. You previously just testified that you sold the products
 that you had to Ms. Thompson. But you also testified that you
 also were involved in later sales with Ms. Thompson?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- Q. So how did it come about, after you and Connor made those first two sales, that other sales occurred?
- A. It was initiated by Arash Ketabchi and Emily Miller, they
 notified -- Emily basically notified that there were other
 products that we could sell.
- 10 Q. What was the product that Emily told you that was available 11 to be sold?
 - A. A merchant processing business. I think it was a little different than merchants that I was aware of. That was the one that KB sold for \$50,000 prior to us getting her lead. But a merchant terminal.
- 16 Q. Had you sold anything like that before?
- 17 | A. Never.
- 18 | Q. Did you know much about that?
- 19 A. No.

12

13

14

- 20 | Q. Did anyone explain that to you?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Who?
- 23 A. Emily Miller.
- Q. Whose idea was it to sell Jane Thompson these merchant processing things?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Owimrin - Direct

- 1 A. It was both Emily and Arash.
 - Q. Did you have an adequate understanding at the time that you participated --

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

- Q. Did you believe that the merchant processing program, as explained to you by Emily and Shahram, was lawful?
 - MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

MR. SCHMIDT: I apologize. Emily and Arash. I apologize.

MS. KEARNEY: Same objection.

THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

- Q. What was your understanding about the legitimacy of the merchant processing program as explained to you by Arash and Emily?
- 17 A. I thought it was a legitimate business.
- 18 Q. Did you have any idea really how it ran?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. Did you know how much the program would be sold for?
- 21 A. Not at that time, no.
- 22 | Q. Did you learn what the prices were?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. How did you learn about it?
- 25 A. They told me.

1	Q. When you say "they," who do you mean?
2	A. Emily told me the prices. Arash didn't tell me that.
3	THE COURT: Your job was to sell what the company was
4	selling, right?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: I take it you never refused to sell a
7	product of either Olive Branch or Al, did you?
8	THE WITNESS: No, sir. Unless I wasn't knowledgeable
9	about it, then I would get assistance to do so.
10	Q. Now, I ask you to look at one moment.
11	(Continued on next page)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

Owimrin - direct

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, why don't we stand up and stretch and take a break. We're doing that primarily because I felt the need to stretch.

(Pause)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Next question.

- 6 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- Q. You testified that you did speak with Ms. Thompson on your cell phone on January 5th. Is that right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, do you remember who you spoke to before you had that
- 11 | conversation?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. With Jane Thompson on the 5th?
- 14 A. Yes, I remember.
- 15 | Q. Who was the person that you talked to?
- 16 A. Emily Miller.
- 17 | Q. What was the conversation about that you talked to Emily
- 18 | Miller?
- 19 A. She was giving me a brief summary, a rundown of what
- 20 products to talk about and things like that.
- 21 | Q. So were you planning on then, after speaking to Ms. Miller,
- 22 | to speak to Ms. Thompson?
- MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- MR. SCHMIDT: I'll withdraw that question.
- 25 BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- Q. Now, did you call Ms. Thompson and try to sell a product to her?
- 3 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
- 4 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you remember what the product was?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 \parallel Q. What was it?
- 9 A. It was a merchant terminal.
- 10 Q. How did that conversation go?
- 11 A. Pretty bad.
- 12 | Q. Can we put up 474, please.
- 13 (Off-the-record discussion)
- 14 MR. SCHMIDT: 475.
- 15 | Q. Now, do you see that email?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have a conversation after your not so good attempt
- 18 with Jane Thompson with Emily Miller?
- 19 A. Not right away, no.
- 20 Q. Now, I ask you to take a look at the marked SP-2. I am
- 21 | handing this to you.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 Q. Did you have a number of conversations with Emily Miller on
- 24 | the 5th, 6th, and 7th of January?
- 25 A. Yes.

IB1JKET5

1

- Do you recall what those conversations were about?
- 2 They were about other leads, they were about Jane Thompson, Α.
- 3 about merchant processing, things like that.
- 4 Q. Now, did you have other conversations with Jane Thompson
- 5 after that?
- Α. Yes. 6
 - Now, I ask you to take a look at 165, Page 19.
- Okay. 8 Α.
- 9 Did you have a conversation with Ms. Thompson about that
- 10 time?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 What was the conversation about?
- 13 It was about getting a merchant terminal up and running. Α.
- 14 Were you a little bit -- how were you at that time in Q.
- 15 discussing the merchant processing?
- THE COURT: Sustained. 16
- 17 Q. How comfortable were you discussing merchant processing
- 18 with Ms. Thompson at that time?
- 19 Not very comfortable. Α.
- 20 Was this a conversation in which Emily participated in?
- 21 Yes, sir. Α.
- 22 Who did most of the talking about the actual merchant
- 23 processing?
- 24 Α. Emily.
- 25 Now, between you, Jane Thompson and Emily Miller, who

Q.

Owimrin - direct

seemed to be the least informed about merchant process? 1 2 MS. KEARNEY: Objection. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 Do you recall who talked the least about the merchant Q. 5 processing in that conversation? Α. Me. 6 7 Now I ask you to take a look at Page 22. 8 THE COURT: I take it that is because Emily was doing 9 the sale, right? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 Q. Did Jane Thompson seem knowledgeable about merchant 12 processing? 13 A. Yes, she did. 14 THE COURT: Jane Thompson appeared to be knowledgeable to you about the merchant processing process. Is that your 15 16 testimony? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: All right. BY MR. SCHMIDT: 19 20 Actually, have you reviewed with us --21 I should say more knowledgeable than myself. 22 THE COURT: No, that is not what I was asking. 23 Did she seem more knowledgeable than Emily? 0. Α. 24 No.

I ask you to look at --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Owimrin - direct

THE COURT: Let me ask you another way because I want to make sure your testimony is clear to the jury.

Is it your testimony that in that conversation you came to the conclusion that Jane Thompson understood what merchant processing was about?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you believe -- next.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

conversations?

- Q. Did you come to the conclusion that Jane Thompson understood what merchant processing was from earlier
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Now I show you Page 22 of Government Exhibit 165. Now,
 were you a participant in that conversation?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. Where would you rank yourself in the frequency of you talking in that conversation among those four people -- excuse me -- yes, the four people?
- 19 A. Three other than myself, at the bottom.
- Q. Now, by the end of this conversation what was your understanding as to the next product that would be sold or attempted to be sold to Jane Thompson?
- 23 A. Merchant, more merchant processors.
- 24 | Q. How many?
- 25 A. Three of them.

- Q. By this time, did you have an idea of what the costs would be for the merchant processing program?
- 3 \parallel A. \$50,000, judging by the initial \$50,000 sale from KB.
- 4 Q. You understand whether she -- was it your understanding at
- 5 some point she was offered a merchant processing site for less
- 6 | than \$50,000?
- 7 A. The site itself is different than the actual -- the site
- 8 would be a separate entity.
- 9 Q. I ask you to take a look at Page 31 of the same exhibit.
- 10 Did you speak with Ms. Thompson on that day?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. What was your conversation with Ms. Thompson about?
- 13 A. The terminals were beginning to write the check out of, you
- 14 | know, things like that.
- 15 | Q. After you had a conversation about the terminals, did you
- 16 give the phone to someone?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Who did it give it to?
- 19 A. To Zack Peterson.
- 20 | Q. Did you participate in the conversation that appears to be
- 21 | reflected in the paragraph with the asterisk?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- 23 | Q. Did you learn about that conversation?
- 24 | A. I did.
- 25 Q. Who did you learn about that conversation from?

IB1JKET5

Owimrin - direct

- 1 | A. From Arash.
- 2 | Q. At the time were you getting paid the commissions for sales
- 3 | at A1?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was Reagan getting paid?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you recall if someone else, any other salespeople were
- 8 | working at that time?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Who else was working there?
- 11 A. There was another sales rep, Louis, had other business
- 12 partners and there was two, a private centers compliance
- 13 rep/secretaries that worked there also.
- 14 | Q. At that point did you have, did you have an understanding
- 15 about the costs related to a sale, including the lead,
- 16 | fulfillment and the merchant account?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. To your knowledge, did you understand that A1 was making
- 19 money?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Were you making money?
- 22 | A. I was.
- 23 | Q. Did you know what 20 percent of the residuals after
- 24 | everything was paid from A1 would be?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . Why is that?
- 2 A. Because I don't know, that wasn't my -- I didn't keep track
- 3 of all the finances. It wasn't my business.
- 4 | Q. Now, did you have conversations with Ms. Thompson other
- 5 | than conversations specifically about the things that you sold?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. What kind of conversations did you have?
- 8 A. Things about life, just talking about regular, everyday
- 9 | life issues, you know, she was going through, issues I was
- 10 going through in my personal life, things like that. We
- 11 | talked.
- 12 \parallel Q. What did you think about Jane Thompson as a person?
- 13 A. I thought she was a sweetheart.
- 14 | Q. How do you feel now what you've seen what has happened to
- 15 | Jane Thompson?
- 16 A. I feel like, I feel horrible, honestly. I can't really put
- 17 | it into words, honestly.
- 18 | Q. Were you aware that Jane Thompson continued to give money
- 19 | to Emily Miller after these last deals?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did you learn Emily Miller's real name at some point?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. What is her real name?
- 24 A. Brooke Marcus.
- 25 | Q. Did there come a point while you were still working for

- 1 | Arash Ketabchi that you were forwarding leads to Bill Sinclair?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. During the time that you were working for Arash, had you
- 4 seen Bill or other people work there on and off?
- 5 A. I used to go up to Bill's offices, yes.
- 6 Q. Was there any kind of reason that what you were doing in
- 7 | Bill's office?
- 8 A. I would go get drugs from him, Mike Finocchiaro and I would
- 9 | meet Anthony Medeiros sometimes, too.
- 10 | Q. Did there come a time where you had a conversation with
- 11 | either Bill or Mike about going back to work with them?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Did you have a conversation with them about helping them
- 14 | with leads?
- 15 | A. Yes, I did.
- 16 \parallel Q. What was your understanding at that time, when you had that
- 17 | conversation with them, what they were selling at that time?
- 18 A. They were selling debt consolidation basically.
- 19 Q. Did there come a time you forwarded debt leads to them?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Did you get paid at any time?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What did you get paid?
- 24 A. It is hard for me getting my job back for that or beginning
- 25 | a job. He gave me a thousand dollars. I wasn't making money

- at the time at Arash's. He gave me a thousand dollars cash
 spending money until to live until I got on my feet and started
 making sales.
- Q. When you first started working at Olive Branch, where did you live?
 - A. I lived in Cliffside Park.
- 7 | Q. Did you live with anybody?
- 8 A. I lived with my parents.
- 9 Q. At some point while you you were working at Olive Branch,
- 10 | did you get your own apartment?
- 11 A. Well before.

- 12 | Q. Did you share your own apartment?
- 13 A. Before that, I moved in with my brother. We ended up
- 14 getting -- having to move. I moved in with my brother. I
- 15 stayed there for a little bit while was working with Olive
- 16 | Branch. I did eventually get my own apartment.
- 17 | Q. What happened to that apartment?
- 18 A. I got evicted.
- 19 | Q. Why did you get evicted?
- 20 A. I was not paying my rent.
- 21 | Q. How much before going into rehab in 2000, spring-summer of
- 22 | 2016 did you get evicted from your apartment?
- 23 A. Can you repeat that? I am sorry.
- 24 | Q. How much before you went into rehab the second time did you
- 25 get evicted from your apartment?

- 1 A. Again.
- 2 Q. You saw the evidence, put in evidence of your bank accounts
- 3 | through March, if I am not mistaken of 2016. What happened to
- 4 | your bank account?
- 5 A. They were all delinquent. They were in the negative and
- 6 they all pretty much got checked out, but if they weren't being
- 7 | checked out, they just weren't being used.
- 8 Q. When you went back to Olive Branch, what were you selling
- 9 | at that time?
- 10 A. It was Consumer Shield at that time when I went back and we
- 11 were selling debt consolidation.
- 12 MR. SCHMIDT: I would like to play some audio.
- MS. KEARNEY: What exhibit is this?
- 14 MR. SCHMIDT: 122.
- 15 (Audio played)
- 16 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 17 | Q. Now, would you tell us what that conversation was about.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What was it about?
- 20 | A. We had gotten \$40,000 in sales the week prior, but we
- 21 | didn't have processing at that time to actually run the
- 22 charges. Arash had found, he had found a merchant processing
- 23 company. He had told that merchant processor that following
- 24 week that we have signed contracts with these clients already,
- 25 we are expecting to put through the \$40,000 in sales that week

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Owimrin - direct

1 we got the week before.

We were having trouble contacting some of these clients, so he was passing whatever I could tell him, he said we have \$40,000, we have signed contracts and we don't. I basically told him we don't have control over these people. If they spoke to somebody else, they may not want to work with us, work with somebody else. That is basically what I was telling him.

(Audio played)

- 10 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 11 Q. Now, you had a conversation with Charlene about selling her
 12 private debt?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. What did you understand Arash Ketabchi meaning was
- 15 dangerous?
- 16 A. Because putting one charge on one card on one account
- merchant account, if they decided to charge-back or cancel
- 18 even, it could ruin the merchant account with a charge that
- 19 high.
- 20 | Q. How did you respond to that?
- 21 A. I told them that we could break it up into separate
- 22 charges.

- 23 Q. What did you tell him about the conversation that you had
- 24 | with Charlene?
 - A. I told her that -- I spoke to her. She initially thought

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Owimrin - direct

that she had invested \$25,000 with Elite for the services. I actually ended upselling her.

She was under the impression that she did, but they never charged her. They actually refunded her for some reason. I explained to her that we're going to be the same services except it would be for \$5,000.00 less. Instead of 25,000, we would do it for 20,000.

Q. You heard Bill Sinclair testify about what he claims you said to him about what happened with Jane Thompson.

Now, at the time that you ended all your relationship with Jane Thompson, did you believe that she was a victim of a scam?

- A. No, not at that time.
- Q. Now, part of the contract that she had, she was supposed to get money from A1, 20 percent?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Did you learn whether she ever got any money from A1?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Did you learn whether A1, indeed, was using its own merchant account by the time that you went back to Bill
- 21 || Sinclair?
- 22 | A. They weren't using their own merchant account.
- Q. By the time that you went back to Bill Sinclair, did you think that Arash and Emily Miller did, indeed, rip her off at

25 | least for that part about being a partner?

- 1 A. With that part, I was questioning it.
- 2 Q. Did you get paid from Arash for a commission for the sales
- 3 to Ms. Thompson?
 - A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Did you laugh about what happened to Ms. Thompson?
- 6 A. No.

4

- Q. Have you learned anything in this past year and a half in this case by going through documents?
- 9 MS. KEARNEY: Objection.
 - MR. SCHMIDT: I have no further questions.
- 11 | THE COURT: Thank you. Is there cross-examination?
- MS. KEARNEY: Yes. Thank you.
- 13 | THE COURT: All right. I am sorry. Is there anything
- 14 | from --
- 15 MR. PAUL: We'll go afterwards, but I will go now
- 16 because I only have a few questions.
- 17 THE COURT: Let's do that.
- MS. KEARNEY: That is fine.
- 19 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. PAUL:
- 21 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Owimrin.
- 22 A. Good afternoon.
- 23 | Q. You were asked some questions with regard to at least one
- 24 | phone conversation that popped up on one of the charts that was
- 25 connected to Steven Ketabchi's phone. Do you remember that?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Approximately, if you can estimate, how many times did you
- 3 communicate with Steven Ketabchi during the time of your
- 4 | employment at either Olive Branch or A1?
- 5 A. None at Olive Branch, and maybe at most 10 times at A1 at
- 6 most.
- 7 Q. If you can tell us, what would be the purpose of any of
- 8 those conversations or what did those conversations pertain to
- 9 when you spoke to Steven Ketabchi?
- 10 A. Primarily they're about me Fed Ex-ing personal documents of
- 11 | Arash's to Shahram.
- 12 | Q. What kind of personal documents?
- 13 A. Tax stuff, tax information, also I know he, from what I
- 14 understand, was sending gift baskets out to clients.
- 15 | Q. Did you have any understanding with regard to Steven
- 16 Ketabchi's role concerning charge-backs?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. What was the role that, as best you can tell us, the role
- 19 | that Mike Finocchiaro had at the office at Olive Branch?
- 20 A. He was in charge of charge-backs.
- 21 | Q. What about Sinclair?
- 22 | A. To an extent, he was also in charge of charge-backs. He
- 23 was the owner of the company. They both were.
- 24 | Q. You testified that you reached out to a number of customers
- 25 during the course of your selling both at Olive Branch and A1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Owimrin - cross

During the time you were employed at A1, did you reach out to customers who were happy with their purchases? Yes. Α. How would they portray that to you in terms of your Q. conversations with them? MS. KEARNEY: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained as to form. BY MR. PAUL: Q. How did you come to conclude that customers you spoke to were happy with their purchases? They were excited. They were thanking for the gift basket and they were excited to be a part of the company. Q. You told us that -- could you estimate, if possible, the percentage of customers you spoke to who were happy with the products they were purchasing? I would say like 50 percent. THE COURT: I take it that the gift baskets were given shortly after the sale was made? THE WITNESS: Yes, it was part of the welcoming call. THE COURT: So they're indicating -- I don't want to put words in your mouth -- were they indicating their excitement about joining the team and thanking you for the gift basket? THE WITNESS: Yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

THE COURT: Shortly after joining the team and

- 1 receiving the goodies?
 2 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 3 THE COURT: All right.
- 4 BY MR. PAUL:
- Q. You testified you spoke to Steven Ketabchi with regard to
- 6 his tasks on behalf of his brother, Arash Ketabchi's personal
- 7 effects and things like that. Is that right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Had you ever met Steven Ketabchi?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Had you ever met his sister, Mona Ketabchi?
- 12 | A. Yes, I did.
- 13 | Q. What would be the circumstances of your having met Mona
- 14 Ketabchi?
- 15 A. Me and Arash and Reagan were in Las Vegas, and she ended up
- 16 showing up to surprise Arash. She was in Las Vegas as well.
- 17 | Q. Was Steven in Las Vegas at that time as well?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- MR. PAUL: Nothing further.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination.
- MS. KEARNEY: Thank you.
- 22 | THE COURT: Do you have an estimate of the length of
- 23 | your cross-examination?
- MS. KEARNEY: I don't, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: All right.

- 1 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 3 Q. Mr. Owimrin, you testified about your time at Olive Branch
- 4 and then at Al Business Consultants. Do you remember that?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you were at Olive Branch, you said, from maybe March or
- 7 | April 2014 through September 2015. Is that right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. So that's 18 months, maybe?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. When you started out, you were pretty new, right? You
- 12 | didn't know what you were doing?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. You listened to some people make some calls, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. You listened to Arash, right?
- 17 A. Occasionally.
- 18 | Q. That was one of the people that Bill suggested you listen
- 19 | to, right?
- 20 A. It was one of the six.
- 21 Q. He was your manager, right?
- 22 A. He was the manager, yes.
- 23 | Q. Your manager?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Right, and so he presumably he had been there for a long

IB1JKET5

- 1 | time, right?
- 2 A. Yes, and some of the other reps.
- 3 | Q. And Arash knew what he was doing, right?
- 4 A. As most people on the floor, yes.
- 5 Q. And Arash made a lot of sales, right?
- 6 A. He was the sales manager, so, yes.
- 7 | Q. Where would you rank him?
- 8 A. Like as a sales rep?
- 9 Q. You were here when Mr. Sinclair testified about the tiers.
- 10 Where would you rank Arash?
- 11 A. In terms of production, he was up there.
- 12 | Q. So that means he made a lot of sales, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. So you listened to some other folks at Olive Branch,
- 15 || right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you learned about the various products that they were
- 18 offering?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And that was the LLCs, right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And the business plans?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Corp. Credit?
- 25 A. Corp. Credit Coaching, yes.

- 1 | Q. Would you explain to us what Corporate Credit coaching is.
- 2 A. Not in great detail, but basically corporate coaching to me
- 3 would be a Corporate Credit coach would work on helping you
- 4 | establish business lines of credit.
- 5 Q. A Corporate Credit coach coaches you in corporate credit.
- 6 | Is that right?
- 7 A. They help you establish corporate lines of credit. They
- 8 | don't coach you. It is like a training.
- 9 | Q. Is that the description you would give to a customer on the
- 10 phone who asked you what Corporate Credit was?
- 11 | A. No. I had a description in front of me I could read from.
- 12 | Q. But you don't remember that now?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. Some other products that you offered were tax services,
- 15 | that's right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. You talked about Youngevity, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And that was just kind of the buffet of options you could
- 20 offer to people that you called on the phone?
- 21 A. It was one of the options.
- 22 | Q. Now, when you called people when you were working at Olive
- 23 | Branch, you told them your name was Andrew Owens. Is that
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 | Q. Who is Zack Peterson?
- 2 A. Arash Ketabchi.
- 3 | Q. You told us that Connor Swanson, that was your cousin
- 4 Reagan Owimrin?
- 5 A. Yes, that was Reagan.
- 6 Q. Who is Sophia?
- 7 A. She was an appointment setter.
- 8 | Q. What was her real name?
- 9 A. Lissette, I think.
- 10 THE COURT: Lissette?
- 11 THE WITNESS: L I S S E T T E, I believe.
- 12 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 13 Q. And Emily Miller, you told us her real name was Brooke
- 14 | Marcus, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 \parallel Q. How did you learn that?
- 17 A. From when she started giving Arash leads when we were
- 18 working for A1. I actually didn't even learn it right away,
- 19 | but I learned it through Arash, Arash told me what her name
- 20 was.
- 21 | Q. Brooke Marcus went by Emily Miller. Is that right?
- 22 A. As I know it, yes.
- 23 Q. And now when you were at Olive Branch, you eventually
- 24 | figured out what you were doing, right?
- 25 A. Somewhat.

1415

- 1 | Q. You were able to make some sales?
- 2 | A. I was.
- 3 Q. And the bulk of the sales, I think you told us, were LLCs,
- 4 | right?
- 5 A. LLC, business plan, corporate credit, correct.
- Q. Primarily LLCs because that is what you felt comfortable pitching on the phone, right?
- 8 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor; misstates his 9 testimony.
- 10 | THE COURT: It is cross. I'll allow it.
- 11 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 12 | Q. Mr. Owimrin, do you remember telling us you primarily sold
- 13 | LLCs because you were most comfortable with that product?
- 14 A. I was most comfortable with that product, yes.
- Q. You primarily sold LLCs because that is what you were most
- 16 comfortable pitching on the phone, correct?
- 17 A. It was part of the packages I was selling, yes.
- 18 Q. Was it primarily the product you sold or just a part of the
- 19 package?
- 20 | A. I would sell the LLCs along with business plan, Corporate
- 21 | Credit typically.
- 22 | Q. When you told us primarily you sold LLCs because that is
- 23 what you were most comfortable with, did you just --
- MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Just a moment. Let her finish.

- Did you just sell the LLCs, or when you sold the LLCs, were they part of a package?
- THE WITNESS: Both, they were both. I would sell both on their own and as well as part of a package, yeah.
- 5 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 6 Q. So you eventually were able to make some sales, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. After that first disastrous call you told us about?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. And you called Jo Ann La Morte, right?
- 11 | A. I did.
- 12 | Q. You heard her testify at this trial?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And you sold her in July 2015 a \$8500.00 starter kit,
- 15 | right?
- 16 A. Youngevity.
- 17 | O. And when you called her, you told her your name was Andrew
- 18 | Owens, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. You also sold products to Diane Weissenberger, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Multiple times?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. So you sold products to her on September 17, 2015, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

IB1JKET5 Owimrin - cross

- Do you remember seeing that phone chart where there was no 1 entry for September 17th, 2015 for your cell phone? 2
 - I don't remember off the top of my head, no.
- I think it was Defense Exhibit SP-5. Could you go to the 4 Q. 5 last page, please.
 - So there is a sale on September 17th, 2015, but there is no call from your cell phone. Is that right?
- Correct. 8 Α.

3

6

7

9

- Was that because you called her from your office phone?
- 10 Yes, I think that would be. Α.
- 11 You also spoke to her on September 25th, right, 2015?
- 12 I can't remember off the top of my head.
- 13 Ms. Lee, could you please put up Government Exhibit 503. Ο.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- That is an appointment with Diane Weissenberger, right? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Correct.
- 17 As you spoke to her on September 25th, 2015, right? Q.
- 18 Α. Ah-huh.
- 19 At 11:00 am? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Right there it says signed COS. That means Continuation of
- 22 Service, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 At that point she had already tried to charge back the
- 25 previous sale that you made just a week earlier?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. And someone had talked her into not doing that?
- 3 A. To not signing the COS?
- 4 | Q. Not charging back that sale?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You called her again on October 7th, right?
- 7 A. Also September 25.
- 8 Q. Also October 7th, right?
- 9 A. Yes. That is what is listed here.
- 10 Q. Ms. Lee, could you put up Government Exhibit 154.
- 11 So this is a refund authorization form for Diane
- 12 Weissenberger, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. That is dated September 18th, 2015. That is one day after
- 15 | you had sold her on September 17th, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. So she tried to refund one day after you sold to her?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Then you called her again on September 25th, 2015?
- 20 | A. I did.
- 21 Q. And again on October 7th, 2015?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And on October 7th, you entered a \$15,000 contract with
- 24 her, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. When you first spoke to her on September 17th, you told her your name was Andrew Owens, right?
- 3 A. When I was at Olive Branch, yes.
- 4 | Q. Who is Doug Nielsen?
- 5 A. That is Reagan.
- 6 Q. He used a couple of different names?
- 7 A. When we switched companies, he switched names, as I stated.
- 8 | Q. He is Connor Swanson of A1, but Doug Nielsen of Olive
- 9 | Branch?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. You said on direct testimony that you only used Andrew
- 12 Owens and Jonathan Stewart when you were making sales.
- Did you use other names when you were doing other
- 14 | things?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. So then on October 7th, 2015, you're at Al now working for
- 17 | the Arash, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You called Diane Weissenberger again?
- 20 A. What was that date?
- 21 | Q. October 7th, 2015?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. This time you tell her your name is Jonathan Stewart,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 | Q. Do you tell her she was talking to the same person?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. You also testified about your calls to Charlene Foster. Do
- 4 you remember that?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You said that you sold Charlene Foster the Youngevity
- 7 | contracts, right?
- 8 A. Yes, as per contract.
- 9 Q. You looked at one of those long contracts?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 \parallel Q. That includes like the starter website and --
- 12 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 | Q. And after that, you called her again, right, from A1?
- 14 A. I really don't remember off the top of my head right now.
- 15 | Q. Let's look at Government Exhibit 1205. Ms. Lee, can you
- 16 please zoom in on the top. This is a contract with Charlene
- 17 | Foster, right?
- 18 | A. Ah-huh.
- 19 | Q. With Al Business Consultants, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. It is dated October 15th, 2015?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And look at the products and services, are those the
- 24 | Youngevity products?
- 25 A. No those are LLCs, Business Plan, Corporate Credit, tax

- 1 | preparation as well as a --
- 2 Q. You sold her Corp. Credit, LLC?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. You sold her a business plan?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 | Q. You sold her Corp. Credit again?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. You sold her Corporate tax prep?
- 9 A. I don't know if I sold her Corporate Credit previously.
- 10 | Q. You gave her a laptop?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And that is because she had told you she didn't have one,
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. She said she didn't have a laptop, and we asked if she
- 15 wanted one, and she said sure.
- 16 \mathbb{Q} . That contract is for \$20,000, right?
- 17 A. That's what it says, yes.
- 18 | Q. When you talked to Charlene Foster on October 15th, you
- 19 | told her your name was Jonathan Stewart?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. What name did you give her when you spoke to her about
- 22 | Youngevity?
- 23 | A. When I was working at Olive Branch, it was a different
- 24 company, I gave her Andrew Owens.
- 25 | Q. When you spoke with Charlene Foster, is it your impression

- 1 | that she understood the Youngevity business?
- 2 A. Yes, she understood what we were talking about.
- 3 Q. She understood it involved marketing, right?
- 4 A. She understood that fulfillment team would do the marketing
- 5 | for her.
- 6 Q. Help making sales? You can't make money from Youngevity
- 7 | unless there is some sales, right?
- 8 A. She didn't have to physically make the sales.
- 9 Q. If she didn't make sales to make money, people would have
- 10 | to come in under her, right?
- 11 A. Or her, she could have been selling perhaps on her website.
- 12 | Q. On her website? Got it!
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. So when you spoke to her about it, you felt she understood
- 15 the mechanics of this pyramid, as you described it?
- 16 A. Bill described it that way and, yes.
- 17 | Q. Bill described it to you or to her?
- 18 A. He described it in this courtroom.
- 19 | Q. You sold Youngevity, right? It is a pyramid?
- 20 | A. I didn't look at it like that at the time. Now I see it
- 21 | was a pyramid, but at the time I was selling her Youngevity.
- 22 | Q. And Youngevity involves marketing things to other people
- 23 | and selling things through a website and bringing other people
- 24 | in under you, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. So when you spoke with Ms. Foster, it is your sense she understood all of that prior to this upsell?
- A. Yes. Well, technically this isn't an upsell. It is a different company.
- Q. You can upsell from one company to another, right? That is what Al does with a lead, right?
- 7 A. That is not the way I looked at it, but I guess, yes, you 8 are right.
- 9 Q. So you're taking a sale made by one company, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And you are adding on products on top of that sale, right?
- 12 A. Correct. Sorry.
- Q. Mr. Owimrin, were you in this courtroom when you saw the video of Charlene Foster?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. Do you think she understood what the Youngevity program was?
- MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor. We are talking about almost four-year difference.
- 20 THE COURT: It is cross. You can redirect.
- 21 | A. In that video, it seems like she didn't know.
- 22 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 23 | Q. You testified a lot about Jane Thompson.
- You testified that you initially spoke to her about \$19,000, right?

IB1JKET5 Owimrin - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And that was the corporate setup?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And the business plan?
- 5 A. Yes, the same thing.
- 6 | Q. Corporate tax, right? Tax prep?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Tax plan?
- 9 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 10 | Q. And that was to help her with the \$50,000 that you knew she
- 11 | had spent with First Trend or Elite, right?
- 12 A. With the emerging processing business she invested into, as
- 13 | I thought at that time.
- 14 | Q. Right, as you thought, okay.
- 15 And then a week or so later you and Reagan sold her
- 16 | this search engine optimization, right?
- 17 A. Reagan did.
- 18 Q. Reagan did that?
- 19 | A. And --
- 20 Q. And you split the profit with him, right?
- 21 A. We split everything in A1.
- 22 Q. What was your commission at A1?
- 23 | A. 10 percent.
- 24 | Q. So the two of you would each get 5 percent of everything?
- 25 A. No. It was 20 percent of the split would be 10 percent, so

- 1 | I would receive my commission off that would be 10 percent.
 - Q. So off that \$19,000 sale, you got \$1900?
- 3 You're smiling?
- 4 A. I am trying to do the math in my head, that's why. Yes, I
- 5 believe so. Sorry.
- 6 Q. Off Reagan's \$9,995.00 sale, you got --
- 7 THE COURT: No.
- 8 Q. I am sorry. You got 900 -- now I am smiling.
- 9 You got \$9995.00?
- 10 | A. No. I got \$500.00, I believe. 10 percent of 10,000 would
- 11 be a thousand, right?
- 12 | Q. Right, but you got 10 percent of a thousand?
- 13 A. Yes, you're right. Sorry. Now I see.
- 14 Q. But, in fact, Ms. Thompson spent more than \$99,995.00 on
- 15 | that sale --
- 16 THE COURT: No. You are --
- 17 MS. KEARNEY: It is numbers, your Honor.
- 18 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 19 \parallel Q. \$9,995.00, she spent more than that, right?
- 20 A. Not on that initial sale, but previously, yes.
- 21 | Q. Didn't she spend \$149,995.00 and put part of it on a credit
- 22 | card?
- 23 A. Not that I can remember. I don't recall.
- 24 | Q. You didn't ask her to split up the two?
- 25 A. I don't remember. I don't think so, not -- you're talking

- 1 | about Jane?
- 2 Q. Ms. Thompson, yes.
- 3 A. No, I don't believe so. I don't remember. I believe I
- 4 don't. Sorry.
- 5 | Q. You talked to Jane frequently, right?
- 6 A. Later on, yes.
- 7 | Q. You were on a first-name basis with her?
- 8 A. I would say so.
- 9 Q. That is why you're calling her "Jane" now?
- 10 A. It is just what came out now. There is no special reason.
- 11 | Q. And she was an eager customer, right?
- 12 A. I wouldn't say, "eager."
- 13 | Q. She had a lot of questions, though, right?
- 14 A. I didn't hear a lot of questions from her.
- 15 | Q. You didn't ask her a lot of questions?
- 16 A. Not a lot.
- 17 | Q. She seemed very interested in her business?
- 18 A. She was excited.
- 19 | Q. And she talked to you about it a lot?
- 20 A. Alongside a lot of things, yes.
- 21 | Q. So when it came time to sell her \$20,000 in these merchant
- 22 processing terminals that you talked about, you thought that
- 23 | would be a good opportunity for her, right?
- 24 A. Yeah, I did, I did.
- 25 Q. You thought she really understood what these terminals

- 1 | were, right?
- 2 A. I said she had a better idea what they were than I did. I
- 3 don't know about how much she understood, but she seemed pretty
- 4 knowledgeable.
- 5 | Q. But you yourself, you weren't really that comfortable
- 6 pitching them, right?
- 7 A. I tried and I didn't --
- 8 Q. You didn't really understand what it was?
- 9 A. I had no idea what it was. I don't know the details.
- 10 Q. You were on the phone with Ms. Thompson, right, and you
- 11 were trying to explain some of the details to her, right?
- 12 A. I was trying to.
- 13 | Q. Then again, she made a second purchase, right, a \$30,000
- 14 | purchase?
- 15 A. With Brook Marcus. I am sorry.
- 16 THE COURT: Brook Marcus ended up pitching her?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Pitching her, yes.
- 18 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 19 Q. Were you on the call?
- 20 A. I was on the call.
- 21 Q. Did you participate?
- 22 | A. No. I listened.
- 23 | Q. You sat there silently?
- 24 A. Pretty much, yes.
- 25 | Q. You sat there silently while Brooke Marcus pitched Jane

- 1 Thompson?
- 2 Yes. Α.
- 3 Did you talk to Arash about that? 0.
- 4 (Inaudible). Α.
- 5 What? Ο.
- 6 Could I could learn the merchant processing pitch.
- 7 Q. You heard some testimony earlier, and I think you testified
- as well that when you get a lead from a lead source, there is a 8
- 9 payment to that lead source, right? It is not for free?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So when you received Jane Thompson from First Trend, from
- Emily Miller, First Trend got paid for that, right? 12
- 13 I would assume so. I wouldn't know. Α.
- 14 Q. In fact, you yourself paid Emily Miller for that lead,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You didn't deposit a thousand dollars into her bank
- 18 account?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 Isn't that how you learned what her real name was? Ο.
- 21 Α. No.
- 22 Q. Did you get commission on the merchant terminal sales?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Ο. How much?
- 25 10 percent. Α.

- 1 | Q. 10 percent of each?
- 2 A. From what she signed up with us, yes, not the prior ones.
- 3 The prior one --
- 4 | Q. The 10 percent of 20,000, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. 10 percent of 30,000, right?
- 7 A. Correct, 5,000.
- 8 | Q. You talked about this partnership interest when you were on
- 9 | the phone and Jane Thompson learned about that, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Your testimony was you were not on the phone when Jane
- 12 | Thompson learned about the partnership interest?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How did you find out about it?
- 15 A. Arash had told me.
- 16 | Q. Just in passing?
- 17 | A. Later on that evening after he did it.
- 18 Q. But you got a commission on that, right?
- 19 A. Yes, because we sold merchant processing terminals.
- 20 | Q. You got a commission on the 20,000 from the merchant
- 21 processing terminal, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You got a commission on the \$30,000 from the merchant
- 24 processing terminal, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

4

5

6

9

10

11

Owimrin - cross

- Q. You got a mission on \$150,000 in partnership that you sold to Jane Thompson, right?
 - A. That wasn't what I thought that was for at first. It was for merchant terminals.
 - Q. You thought she got \$50,000 in merchant terminals?
 - A. Yes, three merchant terminals --
- 7 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor --

were for merchant terminals.

- 8 THE COURT: You should allow him to finish. Go ahead.
 - A. -- I thought that she was purchasing three merchant terminals at \$50,000 each. The 20,000 and the 30,000 prior
- Q. So she had the first one from First Trend, right, that was \$50,000?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. And there was the second one for \$20,000 that you were on the call for when you were learning about the merchant
- 17 processing, right?
- 18 | A. Ah-huh.
- 19 Q. And then --
- 20 | THE COURT: You have to say, "yes."
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- Q. There was the third one for \$30,000, and that was actually a third and fourth, right, for two?
- 25 A. I don't recall if it was for two or for one.

- 1 Q. You were on the call, though, right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Was there something different about the third and fourth
- 4 | from the second merchant terminal, that it costs something
- 5 | different?
- 6 A. I think that the 50, those two -- can we see the contracts?
- 7 | Is that possible?
- 8 | Q. I am asking you a question. What do you remember?
- 9 | A. I don't recall.
- 10 | Q. And she bought three more for \$150,000, and that is your
- 11 | testimony?
- 12 A. My testimony is yes, I thought that she was purchasing
- 13 three merchant terminals for \$50,000 each.
- 14 THE COURT: Did you get a commission from that
- 15 || \$150,000 sale?
- 16 THE WITNESS: I did.
- 17 BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 18 | Q. And you got a commission for that \$150,000 even though you
- 19 weren't even on the phone?
- 20 | A. I was on the phone for the merchant terminals pitch. I
- 21 | wasn't on the phone for the partnership part of it.
- 22 | Q. You didn't know about the partnership, right?
- 23 A. I knew about it after.
- 24 | Q. But you got a commission on that, right?
- 25 A. Yes, that was part of it. It was all wound-up into one.

1	There was three merchant terminals as well as the partnership.
2	I didn't know the partnership was even going to be there until
3	after.
4	(Off-the-record discussion)
5	THE COURT: Ms. Kearney, I need to give the jury a
6	break at some point.
7	MS. KEARNEY: This is great.
8	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I am sorry to have
9	kept you so long.
10	(Jury excused)
11	THE COURT: You may step down, sir. Approximately how
12	much longer do you think you have, Ms. Kearney?
13	MS. KEARNEY: I am really not sure.
14	THE COURT: Are we talking an hour.
15	MS. KEARNEY: What time is it now?
16	THE COURT: 4:00 o'clock.
17	MR. SCHMIDT: I want to let my client know, because he
18	is on cross-examination, that I can't talk to him about his
19	examination.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. SCHMIDT: I can talk to him about timing, but not
22	about his examination.
23	THE COURT: Right, that is correct.
24	(Recess)
25	(Continued on next page)

Owimrin - Cross

THE COURT: Bring in the jury in, please. 1 Let's try to complete the cross if you can this 2 3 afternoon, maybe even redirect. 4 Do you have a sense of redirect, Mr. Schmidt, how 5 long, if any, on redirect? 6 MR. SCHMIDT: Very brief so far. 7 THE COURT: We may be able to finish that this 8 afternoon then. 9 Would that mean you would be resting? 10 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, we have a stipulation that 11 we need --12 THE COURT: You will have a stipulation. 13 MR. SCHMIDT: That's not quite ready. And then I have 14 to make a determination based on the cross-examination whether 15 or not I am going to call my expert. THE COURT: The name person, the Americanization of 16 17 Emily person. MR. SCHMIDT: That's correct. 18 19 THE COURT: The jury wants to know about next week. 20 So we will talk when this afternoon is over. I will talk to 21 the lawyers on the record about what it looks like, and then I 22 will be able to tell this jury. They would like to know today 23 so they can make plans. 24 (Continued on next page)

1 (Jury present)

2 | THE COURT: Please be seated.

Ms. Kearney, the continuation and conclusion of your cross-examination.

MS. KEARNEY: Thank you.

- BY MS. KEARNEY:
- 7 Q. Mr. Owimrin, when we left off we were discussing Jane
- 8 | Thompson. Do you remember that?
- 9 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

- 10 Q. We were discussing the merchant terminals and the
- 11 partnership offer that she invested in, right?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. We were talking about the call, I believe it was Government
- 14 Exhibit 165, page 31, on February 3, 2015. And it was your
- 15 | testimony, I believe, that you were initially on that call
- 16 because you were talking about the merchant terminals, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And then at some point you handed off that phone to Zach
- 19 | Peterson, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And you handed it off to Zach, and that was Arash, right?
- 22 A. That was Arash? Is that what you said?
- 23 | O. Zach is Arash?
- 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. So you hand the phone to Arash, right, and you left the

- 1 room?
- 2 A. No, I got on another call.
- 3 | Q. So you stuck around. You were in the room. And Arash --
- 4 sorry?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 0. You were not in the room?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. You left the room?
- 9 A. I could have walked upstairs with my headset on.
- 10 | Q. You left Arash alone on the phone with Jane Thompson?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. You had no idea what he was saying?
- 13 A. He was supposed to be welcoming her, because we had already
- 14 | previously made the sale for the merchant processing. I was
- 15 || getting the billing information basically set. Then I gave him
- 16 | the phone to welcome her. He wanted to speak to her to welcome
- 17 her, you know, congratulate her, whatever he wanted to say.
- 18 | Q. This is a welcome call to Jane Thompson?
- 19 A. Not a welcome call, but he was congratulating her
- 20 | on -- obviously, it's a big investment, it's a big step, so he
- 21 wanted to speak to her.
- 22 | Q. So you hand off the phone so that Arash can welcome Jane
- 23 | Thompson to the merchant terminal world, right?
- 24 A. To congratulate her for making a big decision and to talk
- 25 | to her, just to talk to her in general.

IB18KET6

- 1 | Q. Then you leave the room?
- 2 A. I get on another appointment.
- 3 | Q. In another room, right? You just told us that.
- 4 A. Yes, upstairs.
- 5 | Q. So you left the room?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. OK. And it's your testimony that you didn't know what Zach
- 8 | said to her, you didn't know what Arash said to her?
- 9 A. No, not at that time.
- 10 | Q. And yet you got paid commission on that, right?
- 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 12 | THE COURT: I will allow it. It's cross.
- 13 | Q. You got paid commission on that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 MS. KEARNEY: Can we look at Government Exhibit 909.
- 16 Ms. Lee, can you zoom in on the February 8, 2016
- 17 | entries.
- 18 Q. On February 8, 2016, \$12,500 was deposited into your
- 19 | account, isn't that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. Now, Mr. Owimrin, you testified about your use of oxycodone
- 22 | while you were working at Olive Branch?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And while you were working at Al too, and again when you
- 25 were at Consumer Shield, right?

IB18KET6

- 1 | A. Correct.
- 2 Q. You testified that sometimes you bought oxycodone from
- 3 | Michael Finocchiaro, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 | Q. And I think you said Anthony Medeiros?
- 6 A. He was the primary person I did, yes.
- 7 Q. When you were at Olive Branch and Al, was Arash Ketabchi
- 8 | selling oxycodone?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge at that time.
- 10 | Q. So Arash Ketabchi -- I just want to back up.
- So when you get a legal prescription for oxycodone,
- 12 you get that from a doctor, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Part of the process of maintaining that prescription is you
- 15 | have to submit urine tests, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. When you submit a urine test, the doctor is looking for
- 18 | verification that the patient is the one taking the pain
- 19 | medication, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. Because if the patient isn't taking it, maybe he is selling
- 22 | it to someone else, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And you at the time were using oxycodone, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. So there came a time then when Arash Ketabchi asked you for your urine, right?
- 3 A. This was at Olive Branch?
- 4 | Q. At Olive Branch or at A1, you tell me.
- 5 A. At Al Arash asked me, but at Olive Branch Mike Finocchiaro
- 6 asked me.
- 7 | Q. So you were asked on a couple of occasions for your urine?
- 8 A. Four or five.
- 9 Q. And you gave it to Michael Finocchiaro, right?
- 10 | A. I did.
- 11 | Q. And you gave it to Arash, right?
- 12 A. Later on at Al I did.
- 13 Q. Your understanding of why Arash needed that was so that he
- 14 could obtain oxycodone prescriptions, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. And your understanding was that he himself was not using
- 17 | oxycodone, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. Otherwise he wouldn't need your urine, right?
- 20 A. Not necessarily, but that is correct with Arash.
- 21 | Q. So he wasn't using oxycodone?
- 22 | A. He was not.
- 23 | Q. But he was obtaining prescriptions for oxycodone?
- 24 A. I came to find out at that time he was.
- 25 | Q. But it's your testimony that he wasn't selling oxycodone?

5

6

8

9

10

11

- 1 A. No. You were talking about Olive Branch first.
- Q. I said at Olive Branch and at Al, was Arash Ketabchi selling oxycodone?
 - MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor. It's a compound question.
 - THE COURT: Thank you.
- 7 You may answer.
 - A. From what I understood of your question, you were asking me about Olive Branch first, and at that time I wasn't aware that Arash was selling Oxycontin. But when I had left to A1, later
- 12 | Q. How did you find out?

on I did find out that he was.

- 13 A. Through Fino.
- Q. Then there came a time when Arash asked you if he could use
- 15 your dirty urine to obtain a prescription for oxycodone, is
- 16 | that right?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 | Q. So it's your testimony now that he was selling oxycodone?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. And he asked you on several occasions to do that, right?
- 21 A. He asked me once.
- 22 Q. It was an understanding between the two of you of what he
- 23 was using that for, right?
- 24 A. Yes, because he would give me a couple of them if I did it.
- 25 | THE COURT: You mean in exchange for your providing

- your dirty urine, that is, one that showed evidence of drug use, to Arash, you would receive oxycodone pills in return?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. He would give me -- he gave me
 five of them in return.
- 5 | Q. So that's like a hundred bucks?
- 6 A. Around there.
- Q. Now, we talked earlier about some of the rules that were in place at Olive Branch when you worked there. Do you remember
- 9 | that?
- 10 | A. I do.
- 11 Q. And you testified that you weren't allowed to make earnings
- 12 representations, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. And you weren't allowed to make representations about
- 15 people's taxes, right?
- 16 A. Specific tax claims, yes.
- Q. There were also some rules about the kinds of customers you
- 18 could sell to, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You weren't supposed to sell to people over 80 without
- 21 approval?
- 22 A. It was anyone over 65 you had to get approval.
- 23 | Q. You had to get approval from Bill Sinclair for that, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. There were also caps on the amount of money per transaction

- 1 | you could process, right?
- 2 A. That varied, but yes.
- 3 Q. But there was a cap, whether or not the amount varied,
- 4 | right?
- 5 A. There was a cap that they had in place, but it was
- 6 | flexible. It depended on if Bill Sinclair approved you or not.
- 7 | Q. So to go over the cap, you had to get Bill's approval?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And that cap at various times was \$10,000 or \$15,000?
- 10 A. Per transaction. Per sale. Excuse me.
- 11 Q. You testified about you moving from Olive Branch to Al. Do
- 12 | you remember that?
- 13 | A. I do.
- 14 | Q. Part of that decision were these rules were getting in the
- 15 | way, right?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Well, the cap on the transactions, that's limiting the
- 18 amount of commission you could get, right?
- 19 A. The cap was always there.
- 20 | Q. And it limits the amount of commission you could get,
- 21 | right?
- 22 A. The cap was always there.
- 23 Q. And it limits the amount of commission you could get,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. I guess, yes.

IB18KET6

3

Owimrin - Cross

1442

- If you can't charge more than \$10,000, you can't make more 1 than \$1,000 if you're getting a 10 percent commission, right? 2
 - That statement that you're making is true, yes.
- And around the time that you were leaving Olive Branch, 4 Q.
- 5 Olive Branch instituted what we have heard talked about as a
- retention policy, right? 6
- 7 It was about three months, four months before I left.
- That's because they were experiencing a lot of chargebacks, 8
- 9 right?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 So they started holding money in reserve from each of the
- 12 salespeople to cover those chargebacks, right?
- 13 Α. A small percentage, yes.
- 14 Q. You weren't making as much commission because of that
- either, right? 15
- It wasn't that much less, but yes, it wasn't as much. 16
- MS. KEARNEY: Ms. Lee, could you please put up 17
- 18 Government Exhibit 909 again.
- 19 Could you please zoom in on the September 2015
- 20 entries.
- 21 Q. So in July 2015, you're getting more than \$8,000 from Olive
- Branch, right? 22
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Then for all of September 2015, a little more than \$1,000,
- 25 is that right?

- 1 | A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And after that you left?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. So when you were at Olive Branch during those final few
- 5 months, you were aware of the volume of chargebacks that were
- 6 happening, right?
- 7 A. Not a specific number, but I was aware chargebacks were
- 8 happening.
- 9 Q. Well, you were aware when your commissions would be held up
- 10 because there might be a chargeback, right?
- 11 A. My commissions were never really held up because of that.
- 12 Q. You didn't get any chargebacks?
- 13 A. I did, but my commissions weren't really held up because of
- 14 | that. They would usually come in later on after I had already
- 15 | gotten paid, and I really wasn't aware of when they came in.
- 16 | Q. Wasn't that the point of the retention policy, is that you
- 17 were getting paid before the chargeback was being settled, and
- 18 | so therefore Olive Branch would have to hold onto something?
- 19 A. That was the point of the retention.
- 20 | Q. Mr. Owimrin, you're familiar with the term "whale," right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. That's a term that's used to refer to a biz-op customer
- 23 | with a lot of cash, right?
- 24 A. And specifically willing to spend it.
- 25 | Q. So whale is someone with access to a lot of cash and is

- 1 | willing to spend that cash?
- 2 A. A lot of cash, available credit.
- 3 | Q. Liquidity?
- 4 A. I don't know what that means.
- 5 Q. You're also familiar with the term "laydown," right?
- 6 A. Say that again.
- 7 | Q. A laydown?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. A laydown is someone who is an easy sale, right?
- 10 A. Somebody that is excited to get things going and is an
- 11 | easier sale.
- 12 | Q. Someone like Jane Thompson?
- 13 A. I guess, yes, you could say that, yes.
- 14 | Q. She was a whale too, right, she had a lot of cash?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And she was willing to and eager to spend it, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And you know what it means to "save a sale," right?
- 19 | A. I do.
- 20 | Q. You save a sale when the customer is trying to get a refund
- 21 or trying to withdraw their contract, right?
- 22 A. Or if they get nervous and want to cancel immediately, or
- 23 don't want to sign the contract. There's a bunch of different
- 24 ways you can save a sale.
- 25 | Q. Or when they file a chargeback and you want to talk them

1 | out of it, right?

- A. That wouldn't be up to me.
- 3 Q. That's also part of saving a sale, right?
- 4 A. That would be saving the owner's deal. It wouldn't be
- 5 considered saving a sale. Saving a sale to me would be trying
- 6 to save the actual sale, her trying to cancel, not charging
- 7 back.

2

- 8 | Q. You were involved in saving sales during your time at Olive
- 9 | Branch, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You had talked to the customers and you would hear the
- 12 | kinds of things that made them want to cancel, right?
- 13 A. If they had questions about their contracts, I would answer
- 14 them.
- 15 | Q. And if they had doubts about their contracts, you would
- 16 address them, right?
- 17 | A. To the best of my knowledge, I would.
- 18 | Q. I think you testified that that was primarily Michael
- 19 || Finocchiaro's job, right?
- 20 A. Not to go through the contract with them if they had
- 21 | questions. Finocchiaro's job was, if they had initiated a
- 22 chargeback or wanted to cancel past their 14 days or three to
- 23 | 14 days right to rescind, then they would be on him. If they
- 24 did it in between those days, first the sales rep would talk to
- 25 | them, try to answer questions, try to get them to stay on. If

- we couldn't, we would have to send it to Michael Finocchiaro to initiate the refund or try to save it himself.
- Q. So sometimes you would talk to customers when they were your own sales, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And sometimes Michael Finocchiaro would ask you to do saves for him if he wasn't around, right?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you ever listen to Michael Finocchiaro save a sale?
- 10 A. Not that I could remember. I may have overheard it, but I
 11 never sat down to actually listen to him save a sale.
- Q. There are some kind of stock phrases that salespeople use when they are trying to save a sale, right?
- 14 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 15 Q. Things like "Rome wasn't built in a day," right?
- 16 A. I never used that or heard of that.
- 17 | Q. Never heard anyone --
- 18 A. I heard that saying, but not in sales.
- 19 | Q. You never heard Pete DiQuarto use that at Olive Branch?
- 20 | A. No.
- Q. But you heard the reasons why the customers were backing out of these contracts, right?
- 23 A. Some of the verbiage in the contracts that worried them.
- 24 | Q. Sometimes they would just tell you they were out of money?
- 25 A. Or they were nervous.

- 1 | Q. Or they were out of money, right?
- 2 A. Sometimes.
- 3 | Q. Or they were broke, like on your calendars, right?
- 4 A. Correct. They wouldn't say broke.
- 5 | Q. No. You said broke?
- 6 A. I said broke.
- 7 | Q. Which means they have got nothing?
- 8 A. Or they don't want to move forward.
- 9 Q. But some of these people were colloquially broke; they had
- 10 no money, right?
- 11 | A. Yeah.
- 12 | Q. Or some people just wanted to see some results before they
- 13 | spent any more money, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. Mr. Schmidt asked you about trying to open a merchant
- 16 processing account, right? Do you remember that?
- 17 A. Yeah. He brought that up.
- 18 | Q. You testified that you weren't able to, but you had asked
- 19 some other people if perhaps they could?
- 20 A. I asked.
- 21 | Q. You weren't able to open a merchant processing account?
- 22 A. I was not. I got declined.
- 23 | Q. But you did open a bank account, Mr. Owimrin, right?
- 24 A. I was a cosigner on an account.
- 25 | Q. That was the Element bank account?

Owimrin - Cross

1 I actually don't recall the name of it.

- 2 You were a cosigner on the account though, right? Q.
- 3 Yeah. I did cosign on one of -- the account that Bill had
- asked me to cosign on, I did cosign the account. 4
- 5 And your other cosigner was Masoud Kouchek Manesh? 0.
- Correct. 6 Α.

IB18KET6

- 7 But you don't remember what the name on that account was?
- I don't off the top of my head. 8
- 9 But the purpose of that bank account was to receive the
- 10 funds from the merchant account that Mr. Kouchek Manesh was
- 11 able to set up, right?
- 12 Α. I have no idea.
- 13 0. You just opened up a bank account?
- 14 I didn't open it up. They asked me to be a cosigner. Α.
- 15 Q. And you put your name on it?
- 16 Α. I did.
- 17 Without knowing what it was for? Ο.
- 18 I did. Well, they explained that it was just a bank Α.
- 19 account, and if they needed to access the bank account, they
- 20 wanted somebody that was in the office to be able to do it,
- 21 because that gentleman named Masoud, the primary account
- 22 holder, was not -- they didn't live close by, so if they needed
- 23 access to it, they wanted somebody close by to be able to
- 24 access it, which I never had to do.
- 25 So they just needed you to access Mr. Kouchek Manesh's

II IB18KET6

account?

1

2

- A. Yeah. He was their partner, I guess, in a sense.
- 3 | Q. And that account, it was your understanding, was used to
- 4 process transactions for Olive Branch and for A1, right?
- A. I thought it was just a business checking account that I
- 6 signed.
- 7 | Q. You didn't know it was connected to a merchant account?
- 8 A. I didn't. I found out later, but at the time I had no
- 9 idea.
- 10 Q. Do you remember testifying about Government Exhibit 122,
- 11 | that's that voice mail recording?
- 12 | A. Yes, I do.
- 13 | Q. Do you remember it well or do you want me to play it again?
- 14 A. I remember it. You could play it if you want, but I
- 15 | remember it.
- 16 | Q. I have just a couple of questions about it.
- I think it was your testimony that -- that was you on
- 18 | that recording?
- 19 A. It was me, Arash, Reagan and Jenn.
- 20 | Q. So when you said "so they went and bought with someone
- 21 | else, you can't control them," you were talking about customers
- 22 | who went to other companies for their purchases, right?
- 23 | A. I was talking about customers that we had pitched and sold
- 24 or came to agreements with the previous week, and who might
- 25 have gone and purchased products from other companies.

- So they went and bought with someone else, so they hadn't 1 2 done that yet?
 - A. I had no idea. I was basically just explaining to Arash if you want to tell the merchant we can't get in touch with them, maybe they went and bought with another company. There is nothing we could do to control them. We sold them, we had a signed contract, but we never actually were able to charge the funds. So if they decided that they didn't want to do it, they could have went with another company. So that's what I was
- 10 explaining to him. 11 So you were hoping Arash would come up with an excuse to
- 12 give to the merchant processer, is that what I understand?
- 13 A. No.

IB18KET6

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 14 In any event, if they went and bought with someone else, that's actually totally possible, those customers could have 15 bought with other companies, right? 16
- 17 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the form of that question. 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 There are a lot of biz-op companies out there, right? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And customers get calls from a lot of different companies, 22 right?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 In fact, sometimes when you talk to customers they would 25 tell you about the other companies that had called them?

- 1 Α. Correct.
- And so biz-op is a competitive business, right? 2 Q.
- 3 It's a fair statement. Α.
- 4 So there is incentive to lock down the sale, get that Q.
- 5 signed contract, as soon as you get a yes on the phone, right?
- I mean -- can you repeat that? 6 Α.
- 7 Let me ask it a different way.

8 As soon as you get a credit card number, you want to 9 run that, right?

- 10 You want to preauthorize the charge.
- 11 And that's so no one else can get to that customer between
- 12 when those charges go through and you calling them, right?
- 13 That's so we secure the deal. Α.
- 14 Then we talked a little bit about Charlene Foster. You Q.
- 15 said "I will get Charlene today"?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 And that you were referencing you were going to try to sell
- 18 her again?
- 19 No. I had already had her verbally commit and sign
- 20 contracts. I was going to actually run the charges that day,
- 21 after I had contacted her.
- 22 So she had already agreed to a sale?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Ο. That's your testimony?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 | Q. But you hadn't run her card?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. What was the amount of the sale she agreed to?
- 4 A. \$20,000.
- 5 Q. But you said you are going to put at least 20 grand on that
- 6 | card, right?
- 7 A. Yeah, that was just the verbiage I was using with Arash. I
- 8 | wouldn't have put more.
- 9 | Q. Because she didn't agree to that, right?
- 10 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 Next question.
- 13 Q. Did you tell Arash, I am going to put at least 20 grand on
- 14 | that card?
- 15 A. I meant out of the 40,000 in sales that we had the previous
- 16 week, I would get at least 20,000 of that done today for us as
- 17 | a company -- as a company.
- 18 Q. From Ms. Foster?
- 19 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. At least 20 grand from Ms. Foster?
- 21 A. At least, yes.
- 22 | Q. Arash told you that was actually risky, right?
- 23 A. To put that amount through, yes.
- 24 | Q. On one card, right?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. But it's only risky to put that amount on a card if there
 is a chargeback, right?
- 3 A. Or even a cancel, even if we had to refund it, to my
- 4 knowledge, that would put a red flag on a merchant account. So
- 5 even if you have to refund it, it's like a negative hit on that
- 6 account and could cause it to be shut down.
- 7 Q. That \$20,000 charge on its own would not have been a
- 8 problem at A1, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. But you also told Arash that Charlene Foster thought that
- 11 | 25 was going through already?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And that was from a sale that Elite had made to her?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And Elite canceled that sale?
- 16 A. She canceled that sale with Elite.
- 17 | Q. So Elite refunded Charlene Foster her money?
- 18 A. She canceled with them.
- 19 Q. Then you were going to run it through as yours because she
- 20 | didn't understand that they had canceled?
- 21 | A. That's not what I said.
- 22 | Q. Well, you said, "They never charged her the 20,000, the
- 23 | initial sale of the 20,000, but she thinks she did it. So I
- 24 | told her, I was like, no, we just have to rerun it."
- 25 So is it your testimony that you did not mean that you

- 1 | were going to rerun the Elite sale as an A1 sale?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Then you were just going to send out the initial contract,
- 4 | that is the A1 contract, to cover the amount of the Elite sale,
- 5 | right?
- 6 A. Correct. That's not what I was going to do.
- 7 | Q. In fact, you did try to sell to Charlene Foster on November
- 8 | 3, right?
- 9 | A. I did.
- 10 | Q. Did you call her before you did that?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Use your cell phone?
- 13 A. I can't remember off the top of my head.
- 14 | Q. Did you tell her that Elite had canceled?
- 15 | A. I told her, yes -- she told me that she canceled with
- 16 | Elite.
- 17 | Q. Did you tell her that, no, that was going to be a charge
- 18 | with A1 now?
- 19 | A. I said, no, we could do it for \$20,000 instead. She was
- 20 | uncomfortable with the amount. I said we could do it for
- 21 | 20,000 instead of 25,000.
- 22 | Q. Remind me, Elite is a lead source for A1, right?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 | Q. So Al bills out the business that Elite sets up, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 | Q. Let's talk about some other kinds of leads.
- 2 You testified earlier about the various business lines
- 3 | that A1 had going, I think you called it an Amazon affiliate
- 4 | business?
- 5 A. I was speaking about Olive Branch at the beginning.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry. Olive Branch. Did Al have a similar line of
- 7 | business?
- 8 A. Yes. But there was a fourth one that got introduced at
- 9 Olive Branch later on and then continued in A1.
- 10 Q. Was that grants?
- 11 A. We would seek grant leads, yes.
- 12 | Q. And a grant lead are people who have been told they have
- got a government grant, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. So they are going to have money coming in, right?
- 16 A. They would be getting a grant and then they would also get
- 17 | like a business sold with that grant.
- 18 | Q. They would use the grant to buy their business?
- 19 A. No. When they initially sold them the grant, they would
- 20 sell them a business too, a Web site as well.
- 21 | Q. You're using air quotes. Is that because the grant doesn't
- 22 | exist?
- 23 A. Yes, because the grant doesn't exist.
- 24 | Q. But Olive Branch used grant leads for a period of time,
- 25 | right?

1 Α. Yes.

IB18KET6

- And A1 used grant leads for a period of time, right? 2 Q.
- 3 I believe every biz-op floor uses those leads. Α.
- In fact, you sold grant leads back to Bill Sinclair, right? 4 Q.
- I brought them with me. I wouldn't say sold them. 5 Α.
- 6 Did you paid for them? 0.
- 7 I got a job opportunity. Α.
- Nevertheless, you gave grant leads to Bill Sinclair to use 8
- 9 for Consumer Shield, right?
- 10 Α. Correct.
- 11 And that was his debt consolidation pitch?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And part of the pitch, when you're selling a grant lead, is 0.
- 14 that you need to run this business or take advantage of this
- 15 opportunity because that grant might never come, right?
- I don't know. I never pitched a grant. 16
- 17 You just sold grant leads? Ο.
- 18 Well, they had a business too, so I didn't sell the grant. Α.
- 19 You sold the grant lead? Q.
- 20 I quess, yes. It was still a biz-op lead at the same time.
- 21 It was labeled as a grant lead, but it was a biz-op lead as
- 22 well.
- 23 Q. At a certain point you realized no money ever came from
- 24 grants, right?
- 25 Pretty quickly. Α.

- 1 Q. That's why these leads are a good candidate for debt
- 2 | consolidation, right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Because these are people who sunk a lot of money, and that
- 5 money never came back, right?
- 6 A. Yeah. Yes.
- 7 | Q. In fact, one candidate for debt consolidation was Ms.
- 8 | Thompson, right?
- 9 A. I did bring her to Bill's attention, right.
- 10 Q. Because she had spent \$19,000 with you, right? And then
- 11 | other --
- 12 THE COURT: You're shaking your head.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Another 10 with Reagan, right?
- 15 A. I believe those were cash deals, but --
- 16 | O. Cash?
- 17 A. I believe those were cash deals. I believe she wrote us a
- 18 check for those.
- 19 Q. So she wrote checks for those?
- 20 A. That wouldn't be considered debt consolidation.
- 21 | Q. Then she wrote another check for 20,000?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 \parallel Q. Then another one for \$30,000, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And then there is that 149, right?

Owimrin - Redirect

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. Then after that --
- 3 | THE COURT: 149?
- 4 | Q. \$149,000 check, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Then after that she wrote another check, right?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 | Q. \$10,000?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That was for her CPA tax services?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. And those were all checks?
- 13 A. I believe so, if I am not mistaken.
- 14 | Q. But when you came back to Consumer Shield, you thought she
- 15 | might be a good candidate for this new product that Bill
- 16 | Sinclair was working on?
- 17 A. I learned from talking to her, I knew that she did also
- 18 have credit card debt, so yes.
- 19 MS. KEARNEY: No further questions.
- 20 MR. SCHMIDT: Briefly, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Redirect.
- 22 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 24 | Q. Andrew, you learned about Olive Branch selling -- did Olive
- 25 | Branch sell grant leads at the same floor that you were on?

Owimrin - Redirect

1 For a time. They introduced it. I was not there for it,

- 2 but from what I have heard, yes.
- 3 When you came back, it was no longer there?
- It was no longer there. 4 Α.
- Was the floor used for something else? 5 Ο.
- 6 We put our appointment setters in there. Α.
 - You never sold a grant, is that correct?
- 8 Α. No, never.

7

IB18KET6

- 9 Q. But when you forwarded leads to Mr. Sinclair that you 10 received, you forwarded every single lead that Arash received,
- 11 is that right?
- 12 A. Yeah, I forwarded a bunch of list of leads, grants being
- 13 one of them.
- 14 Q. But for debt consolidation -- withdrawn.
- 15 The purpose of debt consolidation for grants was to
- 16 help people who now have credit card debt as a result of
- 17 grants?
- Not just grants, just credit card debt in general. 18
- 19 But people who were sold grants, that would be an
- 20 indication that they would probably have credit card debt?
- 21 Α. Yes, sir.
- 22 Did you think that the credit card consolidation relief was
- 23 a real thing?
- 24 Α. I did.
- 25 Who explained it to you?

Owimrin - Redirect

- 1 A. Mr. Sinclair.
- 2 | Q. Did you believe Mr. Sinclair?
- 3 | A. I did.
- 4 | Q. Now, you understood what the term "whale" was?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Did you ever use it?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Now, when you peed in the cup for Fino, you knew he was
- 9 | using Oxycontin, didn't you?
- 10 \parallel A. He was, yes.
- 11 | Q. Did he explain to you why he needed your urine as opposed
- 12 | to his own if he also was using oxycodone?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What did he tell you?
- 15 A. Because the urine couldn't contain any other drug but that
- 16 drug.
- 17 Q. Were you using any other drug?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 | Q. Was Fino any other drug?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What was he using?
- 22 A. Cocaine, marijuana, the list goes on.
- 23 Q. Now, we saw some of the checks that you received as a
- 24 result of the sales to Ms. Thompson. Not the full 10 percent
- 25 was there. How come?

- A. Because I had to pay Arash's uncle the loan off. He would just take the money out of my paychecks, or I would cash it and give him the cash, but sometimes he would just take it directly out of my pay before I even received the check.
 - Q. Have you knowingly ever tried to defraud anybody?
- 6 A. No.

7

9

10

11

15

16

- MR. SCHMIDT: No other questions.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
 - MS. KEARNEY: I have recross.
 - THE COURT: Go ahead.
 - Mr. Paul, I take it nothing?
- 12 MR. PAUL: I have no questions.
- 13 | RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. KEARNEY:
 - Q. Mr. Schmidt asked you about the grant leads that you were selling. I just want to make sure we are clear on this.
- 17 There are companies that sell people grants, right?
- 18 A. There are companies that sell people grants, correct.
- 19 Q. Those grants are fiction, those grants don't exist?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And when you get a grant lead, you are selling biz-op to
- 22 people who have previously bought grants, is that right?
- 23 A. Well, when they bought the grant, they also bought a Web
- 24 | site with it; that company, whatever company that was, also
- 25 gave them a Web site along with it. Whether it be a merchant

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Owimrin - Redirect

- processing, Amazon affiliate, they would get a Web site with it, and we would add on to that.
 - Q. And that Web site was for something in connection with their grant?
 - A. I don't know. I know it was a Web site.

MS. KEARNEY: No further questions.

THE COURT: Anything sir?

MR. SCHMIDT: Very briefly.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

- Q. The Web site that you understood that these people purchased was one of the three that you understood could make money: Merchant processing, affiliate and --
- 14 A. Drop shipping.
- 15 | Q. -- drop shipping. Is that correct?

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

17 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

- Q. The Web site that you understood that people also wasted their money on grants received were what kind of Web sites?
- A. The three I stated earlier: The merchant, affiliate, or drop ship.
 - Q. So while you understood that people spent money for grants that they were not going to get, they also had a Web site that can make them money -- withdrawn.

MS. KEARNEY: Objection.

1	THE COURT: I will allow it.
2	Q. You understood that the people who purchased grants also
3	had a Web site that had the ability to make money?
4	A. Correct.
5	MR. SCHMIDT: No other questions.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down. You are
7	excused.
8	Next witness for the defense.
9	MR. SCHMIDT: May we have a moment, your Honor?
10	THE COURT: A moment, yes.
11	MR. SCHMIDT: May we approach, your Honor?
12	THE COURT: Sidebar.
13	(Continued on next page)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (At the sidebar) MR. SCHMIDT: The good news is that I am saving the 2 3 courts \$3,000 by not calling the expert. 4 THE COURT: Not the courts. But go ahead. The CJA 5 fund. MR. SCHMIDT: It comes from the administrative office 6 7 by the way. 8 The bad news is we are working on a very brief 9 stipulation for a prior inconsistent statement that the 10 government, because they have been very busy, hasn't finished. 11 And we are perfectly willing to rest with the idea that we 12 could put the stipulation in tomorrow, or we could not rest now 13 and rest after we put the stipulation in, whichever way your 14 Honor wishes. 15 THE COURT: Can you do the stipulation right here and 16 now? 17 MS. FLETCHER: It's in a Word document, your Honor. Defense sent us a version of the Word document last night. We 18 have changes to it. In order for us to agree, we would need to 19 20 go to a computer and make the changes. 21 THE COURT: Is that something you can do now? 22 MS. FLETCHER: I could. But the jury would be 23 waiting. 24 THE COURT: How long will that take?

MS. FLETCHER: A few minutes. I am happy to do it,

your Honor, if your Honor wants the jury to wait.

(Counsel conferred)

THE COURT: The parties are going to work on a stipulation now. That was the off-the-record discussion, but just between the lawyers, not with the Court.

Then Mr. Schmidt will read the stipulation into evidence and rest.

Now, let's turn to you, sir. What is your case?

MR. PAUL: We have our expert flying in as we speak,
unfortunately, to the expense of our tax dollars.

We have Mr. Fino, who is supposed to be here at 9:15 tomorrow morning, by subpoena. His attorney has assured me he will be here.

We have a character witness, my client's sister, that I have informed the government about.

The only issue remaining, and I told the government I would let them know by this evening, before Mr. Schmidt's bedtime, whether my client is testifying or not. That's the only issue remaining. If he does testify, we are going to be taking up a good part of tomorrow.

THE COURT: How long is your West Coast expert?

THE COURT: How long is your direct on Mr. Fino?

MR. PAUL: Your Honor hadn't ruled. I intend to submit a response to Ms. Kearney's letter motion that she

MR. MITCHELL: No more than 30 minutes.

1 | submitted last night that I didn't see until I woke up.

MR. MITCHELL: Rule 611 issue.

THE COURT: Let's assume it's direct, because I think that's what it's going to be, how long?

I want to see your paper.

MR. PAUL: I wouldn't think it would be more than an hour.

THE COURT: All right. So an hour plus on Fino. 45 minutes on the expert.

Character witness.

MR. PAUL: 15 minutes.

THE COURT: Then you will decide whether or not you are going to put on your client tomorrow.

MR. PAUL: Correct.

THE COURT: I would like to be able to give this jury the afternoon off. They have been really very good. They also want to know what about next week. We are going to go into next week regardless of whether we work tomorrow afternoon or not. In large part, it depends on whether or not your client is going to testify. I guess between summations, charge, and deliberations, we are talking probably Monday and Tuesday for this jury, it seems to me.

MR. PAUL: I agree to me.

THE COURT: And if they don't decide on Tuesday, it will go on.

MS. KEARNEY: I think Tuesday is Election Day.

THE COURT: It's not a federal holiday. I need to give them time to vote and there are some who are from Rockland or Westchester.

(In open court)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, there is no need for you to be here while we are talking. It will just be a few moments. Why don't you go into your deliberation room and rest and I will get back to you certainly within 15 minutes at the latest. Then we will be able to excuse you for the evening after you come back in.

(At the sidebar)

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. PAUL: Your Honor wants to give the jury tomorrow afternoon off. Will that still happen with or without my client testifying? That's my question. If he testifies, there is no way this jury is going to be excused for the afternoon. It's going to be into the afternoon.

THE COURT: There is no way he is not going to have to continue his testimony on Monday.

MR. PAUL: So that's my question.

MR. SCHMIDT: If I may, based on the timing, it seems to me, I think I understand their case, if Mr. Ketabchi testifies, because his case is so different than my client's, I think we will be done with all testimony on Friday, and then we

can sum up and charge on Monday. If he is not testifying, then they will have the afternoon off.

THE COURT: Let me think about this for a second. If he is not testifying, that's easy, the case is over. Then it's for the lawyers. If he is testifying, what?

MR. SCHMIDT: I think the nature of his case, that his testimony will not take more than the afternoon. So if they are sitting in the afternoon, I think we will be finished with the testimony, at least the defense testimony, on Friday afternoon, and we can sum up and charge on Monday.

THE COURT: But you will be able to do that anyway.

MR. SCHMIDT: I understand. But I think that under any circumstance, whether he testifies or not, we will be able to sum up and charge on Monday.

THE COURT: I agree.

MR. SCHMIDT: The only question is the afternoon tomorrow.

MR. PAUL: You're not going to tell the jury that you're tentatively thinking of giving them the afternoon off tomorrow, because I don't want to be blamed for them not having it off.

MS. FLETCHER: There is another variable, your Honor.

THE COURT: Under this theory, whether they have the afternoon off or not tomorrow, it sounds like we will be summing up on Monday.

25

MS. FLETCHER: Barring a government rebuttal case, 1 which is possible based on Mr. Owimrin's testimony and as yet 2 3 undetermined testimony from Mr. Finocchiaro. 4 MR. PAUL: Can we inquire as to how long you would 5 estimate that? 6 MS. FLETCHER: I think it depends on the scope of your 7 direct, and what therefore is the scope of my cross. I may ask at some point during Mr. Finocchiaro's testimony to make him my 8 9 witness rather than have to have a separate rebuttal case, 10 primarily to have him testify about things that are 11 inconsistent with Mr. Owimrin's testimony, but that testimony 12 has just happened so we haven't worked that out yet. But I 13 didn't want your Honor to promise summations on Monday. 14 THE COURT: I am not telling the jury any of this. 15 MR. PAUL: You're going to be telling them we are going to be working into next week, Monday, possibly Tuesday? 16 17 THE COURT: No. I am going to tell them they should 18 plan for Monday and Tuesday. 19 Do the stip. 20 MS. FLETCHER: If your Honor dismisses the jury 21 tomorrow, is it the Court's intention to have a charge 22 conference tomorrow afternoon? 23 THE COURT: No. You don't have the charge yet. 24 haven't given you the proposed charge.

MS. FLETCHER: I understand.

THE COURT: I would give it to you probably sometime tomorrow. And the charge conference, which I don't think is going to be very long, Monday morning. I don't think there is that much at issue.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Apparently the stipulation is agreed to?

MS. FLETCHER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So Mr. Schmidt I will ask for your next witness. And Mr. Schmidt, you are going to read the stipulation into the record and then rest, is that correct?

MR. SCHMIDT: I am going to read it, correctly pronounce the names, and then rest.

THE COURT: I think, so that we don't run into the problem of the jury blaming Mr. Paul and to give the jury a heads-up, I am going to tell them that we are going to work through tomorrow until 2:00, so they should bring food for the mid-morning break. We will adjourn at 2. And I am going to tell them they should assume they will get their case for deliberation about Tuesday. Is that fair?

MR. PAUL: If your Honor is telling them they are breaking at 2, and my client chooses to testify, that means we will do that on Monday.

MR. PAUL: Ideally, if he is going to testify, I would

like to get his testimony in and the cross. I don't think having a weekend for the government to prepare their cross is really appropriate. That's my concern. If he is going to testify, I don't think the jury should be told that they are going to be excused in advance. If he is not going to testify, then you can tell them tomorrow. But I think to give them an advance notice that they are going to be excused at 2:00, and then my client testifies for an hour of his three or four hours —

THE COURT: I am trying to understand that. What is wrong with that?

MR. PAUL: Depending on the timing, it's breaking up his testimony, which I would rather not do.

THE COURT: I understand that.

MR. PAUL: And it allows the government an entire weekend to prepare their cross with regard to his testimony, and I think that's unfair. Not that I don't think the government has been preparing their cross in any case assuming that he may or may not testify.

THE COURT: Is it similarly unfair if he begins his testimony on Monday?

MR. PAUL: No.

MS. FLETCHER: It's unfair the other way, your Honor.

THE COURT: Why?

MS. FLETCHER: It would essentially require the

government to prepare a cross and its closing this weekend without having heard any of the testimony. The government is always prepared and we will be prepared whenever your Honor calls on us to sum up or to cross Mr. Ketabchi. But if we are talking about fairness of requiring parties to prepare or not prepare tasks, it's equally unfair the other way.

THE COURT: I am not going to tell the jury about tomorrow. We will see if your client testifies and we will take it from there. I will tell them that everyone's expectation is that they will get this case for their deliberation on Tuesday. Fair?

Bring the jury in.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Next witness for Mr. Owimrin.

MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, we have a stipulation to be entered. It's a testimonial stipulation.

THE COURT: Go ahead, sir.

MR. SCHMIDT: The United States of America v. Andrew Owimrin and Shahram Ketabchi.

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between Andrew Owimrin, by and through his attorneys, Sam A. Schmidt and Abraham Abegaz-Hassen, and United States of America, by Geoffrey S. Berman, United States Attorney, Kiersten A. Fletcher, Benet J. Kearney, and Robert B. Sobelman, Assistant

Unit	ted States	Attorneys	, 6	and Sh	nahran	n Keta	abchi,	рy	and	through
his	attorneys	, Kenneth	Α.	Paul	and 3	Jacob	Mitche	ell,	tha	at:

1. If called at trial, Detective Chris Bastos would testify that, while conducting a post-arrest interview with Bill Sinclair on March 21, 2017, Detective Bastos took notes. The notes of that interview indicate that Mr. Sinclair said to Detective Bastos that "more than half" were "happy." Detective Bastos interpreted Mr. Sinclair to be referring to his customers.

It is further stipulated and agreed that this stipulation may be received in evidence at the trial in the above-referenced matter.

It's dated today. It's Exhibit AO3.

Your Honor, I offer that into evidence, and Andrew Owimrin rests his defense.

THE COURT: AO3 admitted.

(Defendant's Exhibit AO3 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you have heard Mr. Owimrin rest. Tomorrow when you come in we will see if Mr. Shahram Ketabchi wishes to put on a defense because, as I have told you, defendants are never under any obligation to present any case whatsoever because the burden is always on the government to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

For planning purposes, you should assume that you will receive this case for your deliberation on Tuesday. So we will

sit tomorrow. We will sit Monday. We will sit Tuesday. I can't promise that's the end of the case because obviously you need to deliberate. That's everyone's best estimate at this time.

Thank you. We will see you tomorrow at 9:15.

MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, if I may, Tuesday is Election Day.

THE COURT: Tuesday is Election Day. It is not a federal holiday. We will make sure you have time to vote. We will work that out. So you will tell us when your polling places are open.

(Jury exits courtroom)

THE COURT: All right. 9:15 tomorrow. I thank everyone for their cooperation.

MR. PAUL: Your Honor, I know it's late in the day, but rather than put in a submission, I would just like for ten minutes to argue the issue of cross-examination versus direct of Mr. Fino.

THE COURT: Yes, of course. Go ahead.

I do have a sentencing that's been waiting outside.

MR. PAUL: I will be very brief.

The only thing I would point out to the Court, in addition to what we argued the other day, is that basically the cases show — that I have been able to find anyway — with regard to one case specific, *Ellis v. City of Chicago*, which is

cited at 667 F.2d 606, from the Seventh Circuit, where it talks about Rule 611(c), it says: The drafters of Rule 611(c), however, determined that these limitations — that were apparently present before 611(C) — represented an unduly narrow concept of those who may safely be regarded as hostile without further demonstration.

THE COURT: That's why there was a change in 611(c).

(Continued on next page)

25

MR. PAUL: Correct. It says the --1 THE COURT: That is why they added adverse party or 2 3 witness identified with an adverse party. 4 MR. PAUL: Correct. My point to the court is quite 5 frankly that I don't see how Finocchiaro is anything but a witness associated or with the adverse party being the 6 7 government. Simply by looking at his cooperation agreement, it specifies a number of things he must do that require his 8 9 cooperation in return for the government providing a letter to 10 the sentencing court, your Honor being that Judge. 11 THE COURT: Let me see his agreement. 12 MR. PAUL: Sure. 13 THE COURT: Somebody should let me see it. 14 (Off-the-record discussion) 15 THE COURT: May I have a clean copy from the 16 government. Go ahead, sir. 17 The issue is that Ellis in particular said MR. PAUL: 18 it is not necessary for there to be actual hostility. I think the government is relying on the fact he is voluntarily coming 19 20 forward and he is going to be testifying voluntarily and 21 answering my questions. 22 THE COURT: You subpoenaed him, correct? 23 MR. PAUL: Yes. As you said, witnesses are subpoenaed 24 I don't know if that necessarily means anything every day.

other than he is required to be in court. I don't see how he

is not a party affiliated and associated with the government, given the nature of his cooperation agreement, being that he had proffered even without an attorney being present, being that he is under this cooperation agreement.

I will cite a few other cases. U.S. v. Bryant, 461 F.2d 912, which is a 2006 case in the U.S. Court of Appeals from the Sixth Circuit, where it talks about where the witness was closely identified with the interest of the government.

Another case is Clinigan, C L I N I G A N, versus USA, 400 Fed.2d 849, a Fifth Circuit case, 1968.

U.S. v. Freeman, 302 F.2d 347 (1962).

All of these cases state that it is not necessary to show the hostility of the witness for your Honor to determine that he is, in fact, affiliated or associated with the government in this case. I can't see how a cooperating witness who is signed on as a cooperating witness, who is going to testify before the government decided not to call him as their own witness and, in fact, was proffered three days before in preparation for his testifying, the fact that they have chosen not to call him as their witness should limit me with regard to my calling him as a witness and not being able to present his testimony by cross instead of direct.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, the government endeavored to find a case addressing exactly the question that your Honor

had posed; in other words, whether someone who is a signed up cooperating witness is de facto identified with an adverse party for the purposes of Rule 611 (c). We didn't find any cases under that particular fact pattern. I don't understand Mr. Paul to be saying that any of his cases are along that factual pattern.

The case the government did find and cited in its letter is an 11th Circuit case, United States v. Diaz. In that case, the government didn't offer the witness a cooperation agreement, but instead provided the witness with immunity. The witness testified for the government, and the Court, the District Court, still declined to rule that that witness was identified with an adverse party once defense counsel in that case sought to make the witness his own.

There is simply no basis here, your Honor, for what Mr. Paul has argued is the law, i.e., the cooperator is identified with the government. In fact, the factual circumstances here make clear that that is not the case. The government determined not to call Mr. Finocchiaro, and now Mr. Paul, ostensibly because he believed Mr. Finocchiaro has relevant and helpful testimony for his client, has decided to call that witness.

There is simply no reason to let Mr. Paul begin his questioning of Mr. Finocchiaro by treating him as a hostile witness. I expect Mr. Finocchiaro will answer his questions in

precisely the same way he would have answered the government's questions on cross.

While Mr. Finocchiaro had limited interaction with Mr. Paul's client, Mr. Finocchiaro was friendly with the other defendants in this case even after the breakdown of the relationship between Bill Sinclair and Arash Ketabchi. Michael Finocchiaro remained friends with Arash Ketabchi. He has years of interaction with these people preceding his months of proffering with the government.

If anything, he remains adverse to the government. He still is on the opposite side of the "V" to the government. To the extent he may have been willing to testify on behalf of the government in this case, the government has told him we do not want his testimony.

MR. PAUL: That is interesting. He may have been willing to testify. He is under a cooperation agreement with the government. That is --

THE COURT: I understand everybody's point. I am looking at the cooperation agreement. "Shall truthfully testify before the grand jury and at any trial and other court proceeding with respect to any matters about which this office may request his testimony."

I am going to look at these cases, okay? We found a couple which are not, also not directly on point, but it suggests to me that he is not hostile unless and until he shows

his hostility. The language of 611 suggests that as well.

Leading questions should not be used on direct exam except as necessary to develop the witness's testimony if he is not being hostile and is testifying truthfully as he is obligated to do, not only because it will be under oath, but because of his cooperation agreement.

Then one would think any questions are not necessary to develop his testimony. It then limits the area in which the court should allow leading questions. Of course, cross-examination and what we're talking about, a hostile witness, an adverse party or a witness identified with an adverse party is on the same side of the "V." Again there is no hostility that has come to mind so far. So my preliminary research suggests that Mr. Paul is not allowed to ask the leading questions, but I will look at these cases.

9:15 tomorrow. Thank you.

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, I apologize. How does the court intend to make the parties aware of its ruling on this issue?

THE COURT: Of what?

MS. FLETCHER: Of its ruling on this issue?

THE COURT: Why should the jury be aware of the ruling on the issue? He is going to ask whatever questions, type of questions are permitted. I should also say that Rule 611 provides that my obligation is to exercise reasonable control,

so I have the ability to decide what is appropriate under the law and under 611.

MS. FLETCHER: We would agree, your Honor. The government was inquiring as to how the court intended to advise the parties of its ruling with respect to this issue.

THE COURT: At 9:14 I'll give you my ruling. I assume you don't want it after examination begins, okay?

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you.

MR. SCHMIDT: I do have actually a question, Judge, that if the government is going to be using or adopting him as its witness, just even the expression that they could adopt him as their witness does I think somewhat change the dynamic.

Obviously, if they adopt him as their witness, then there is no question that we can cross-examine him.

The fact is that they are discussing adopting him as their witness seems to me as evidence that that witness is identifiable with the government. We'll wait and see.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. FLETCHER: It means only the government anticipates the possibility that it may wish to question him beyond the scope.

THE COURT: I understand. I am not in the habit of determining things that don't need determination. See everybody tomorrow.

(Court adjourned until Friday, November 2, 2018)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	SAMUEL TUREFF
4	Direct By Mr. Schmidt
5	Cross By Ms. Fletcher
6	Redirect By Mr. Schmidt
7	ANDREW OWIMRIN
8	Direct By Mr. Schmidt
9	Cross By Mr. Paul
10	Cross By Ms. Kearney
11	Redirect By Mr. Schmidt
12	Recross By Ms. Kearney
13	Redirect By Mr. Schmidt
14	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
14 15	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS Exhibit No. Received
15	Exhibit No. Received
15 16	Exhibit No. Received SP-1
15 16 17	Exhibit No. Received SP-1
15 16 17 18	Exhibit No. Received SP-1
15 16 17 18	Exhibit No. Received SP-1
15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit No. Received SP-1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit No. Received SP-1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit No. Received SP-1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit No. Received SP-1